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Vision Statement

We will live in a safe community supported by a safety and justice system that works together to focus on prevention and restoration, while balancing intervention and enforcement. The system will be built on a solid foundation of constitutional principles, statutory laws and community values which honor and promote personal responsibility, family and neighborhood involvement, and trust among people and institutions.

Guiding Principles

- We will prevent crime by promoting conditions, behaviors, and individual and community attitudes that result in a safe community.
- We will hold youth and adult offenders accountable and employ sanctions which fit the circumstances of the crime and the offender.
- We will promote the rights of victims and the community to be compensated and restored.
- We will provide opportunities for skill training, rehabilitation, and reintegration of offenders into the community.
- We will assist community members to understand and accept their responsibility to contribute to and maintain a safe and just society.
- We will coordinate the programs and activities of governmental and private agencies that affect community safety and justice, and will ensure agencies work in partnership with the business community and citizens.
- We will make effective community safety decisions based on research data from a comprehensive information management system.
- We will support the rights of all individuals to a fair and non-discriminatory legal process.
Report Card Data: Part A – Adult and Combined Criminal Justice Data
Category I: Crime and Safety

Crime and Safety includes: reported crime; adult assault; drug, and alcohol arrests; domestic violence; child abuse; traffic accidents; and victimization.

- Reported Crime.

★ Figure 1.1 Rate of Reported Serious Violent Crime per 10,000 Population

★ Figure 1.2 Rate of Reported Property Crime per 10,000 Population

Source: FBI, Crime in the United States

Source: FBI, Crime in the United States
The figure below shows Lane County’s ranking for serious crimes when measured against other metropolitan counties in the United States. For instance, Lane County is in the 95th percentile in Motor Vehicle Theft, meaning only 5% of the counties had a motor vehicle theft rate higher than Lane County’s.

Figure 1.3 Serious Reported Crime in 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009 per 100,000 Population
Lane County’s Rank Among 259 Metropolitan Counties of 100,000 to 1,000,000 Population

Source: FBI, Crime in the United States
Assault, Drug, and Alcohol Crimes.

Note: Several factors influence arrest rates such as system capacity to arrest, prosecute, and hold offenders.
Figure 1.6 DUII Arrests per 10,000 Population

Source: Easy Access to FBI Statistics
Domestic Violence.

Figure 1.7 Lane County Reported DV Assaults per 10,000 Population

Source: Area Information Records System
Child Abuse.

Figure 1.8 Child Abuse Victimization Rate per 10,000 Children Under Age 18

Note: Reporting period changed to Federal Fiscal Year in 2003 to conform to federal reporting requirements.

Source: Lane and Oregon – DHS: The Status of Children in Oregon’s Child Protection System 2004
Traffic Accidents.

Figure 1.9 Traffic Injury Accidents (Excluding Fatal Crashes) per 10,000 Population

Source: Lane and Oregon - Oregon Department of Transportation
Figure 1.10 Fatal Crashes per 100,000 Population

Figure 1.11 Percent of Alcohol Involved Crash Fatalities

Source: Lane and Oregon – Oregon Department of Transportation

Source: US DOT, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Fatality Analysis Reporting System
Category II: Resource and Capacity

*Resource and Capacity* includes: number of officers; jail capacity; custody and overcrowding releases from Lane County Adult Corrections; District Attorney intakes per lawyer; District Attorney prosecution rates; and Probation Officer caseloads.

- **Number of Officers.**

![Figure 2.1 Number of Officers per 10,000 Population](image)

**Note:** Number of Officers includes all law enforcement officers in the county including State Police stationed in Lane County. 
*Source: Lane and Oregon - Law Enforcement Data System, Uniform Crime Report*
*Source: US – FBI, Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted*
Jail Capacity.

**Figure 2.2 Jail Beds Occupied per 1,000 Reported Crimes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.3 Funded Jail Beds per 1,000 Reported Crimes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The number of jail beds occupied used in this calculation is a one-day snapshot of beds occupied at the Lane County Jail, Forest Work Camp, and Community Corrections Center.

Source: Lane County Sheriff’s Office
Source: US – Bureau of Justice Statistics, Prisoner and Jail Inmates at Midyear

**Note:** The number of funded jail beds does not include Community Corrections Center beds in order to utilize data comparable with the state data.

Source: Lane County Sheriff’s Office
Source: Oregon - Oregon Jail Managers Association, Washington County Sheriff
Figure 2.4 Built vs. Funded Bed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Built Beds</th>
<th>Funded Beds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lane County Sheriff's Office

Figure 2.5 Built vs. Funded Beds By Facility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Jail</th>
<th>CCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Funded Beds</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Built Beds</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Operated</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Jail</th>
<th>CCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Funded Beds</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Built Beds</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Operated</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lane County Sheriff's Office
- Custody and Overcrowding Releases.

Figure 2.6 Releases Triggered By Overcrowding as a Percent of Lodgings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>% Releases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “Percent of Lodgings” is the number of inmates released as a percent of all inmates housed at that time in the Lane County Jail.
Source: Lane County Sheriff’s Office
- District Attorney Intakes per Lawyer.

**Figure 2.7 Total DA Intakes per Lawyer**

- **Source:** DA’s office; DACMS

**Figure 2.8 DA Felony Intakes per Lawyer**

- **Source:** DA’s office; DACMS

**Figure 2.9 Number of Lawyers Needed in DA’s Criminal Division**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Lawyers in DA's office</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTE Needed</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Staffed (Lawyers/FTE Needed)</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** APRI study, DACM; LCOG using same methodology
Probation Officer Caseloads.

Figure 2.10 Average PO Caseload Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003 Average Caseload Size</th>
<th>2008 Average Caseload Size</th>
<th>2010 Average Caseload Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source 2003: Multnomah County Community Justice Department Survey of Community Corrections Directors in August 2003
Source 2008: LCOG Survey of Oregon Community Corrections Programs
Category III: Efficient and Effective Use of Resources

*Efficient and Effective Use of Resources* includes: arrests per officer; rate of reports to arrests; successful prosecutions; speedy trials; and alternatives to incarceration. Data is not collected or reported to measure the number of criminal cases not being investigated or filed due to lack of resources.

○ **Arrests per Officer.**

![Figure 3.1 Rate of Arrests per Officer - Violent Crime](image1)

![Figure 3.2 Rate of Arrests per Officer - Property Crime](image2)

Source: Lane and Oregon – Law Enforcement Data System, Uniform Crime Report
Source: US – FBI, Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted;
Easy Access to FBI Arrest Statistics
Arrests to Reports.

Figure 3.3 Rate of Arrests to Reports for Violent Crimes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lane</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: FBI, Crime in the United States, 2003; Easy Access to FBI Arrest Statistics

Figure 3.4 Rate of Arrests to Reports for Property Crimes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lane</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: FBI, Crime in the United States, 2003; Easy Access to FBI Arrest Statistics
- Speedy Trials.

★ Figure 3.5 Percent of State Court Felony Cases Completed Within 180 Days

Note: Oregon goal is 98%.
Source: Oregon Circuit Court

★ Figure 3.6 Percent of State Court Misdemeanor Cases Completed Within 180 Days

Note: Oregon goal is 98%.
Source: Oregon Circuit Court
Category IV: Justice and Accountability

Justice and Accountability includes: failure to appear; failures on supervision; Driving Under the Influence of Intoxicants enforcement; and average sentence and supervision length.

- Failure to Appear (FTA).

---

**Figure 4.1 Percent of Court Events Where Defendant Fail To Appear**


**Figure 4.2 Percent of Individuals Who Fail To Appear**


Source: PCAIRS
Failures on Supervision.

**Figure 4.3 Three Year Re-offense Rate for Felony Offenders on Parole/Post-Prison Supervision**

Note: The Oregon goal is no more than 31%.
Source: Oregon Department of Corrections

**Figure 4.4 Three Year Re-offense Rate for Felony Offenders on Probation Supervision**

Note: The Oregon goal is no more than 23%.
Source: Oregon Department of Correction
Driving Under the Influence of Intoxicants (DUII) Enforcement. The DUII Enforcement Index is the ratio of the number of DUII arrests to the number of drivers in fatal crashes with any level of blood-alcohol concentration.

Source: DUII Arrests: Easy Access to FBI Arrest Statistics
Source: Drivers with any BAC in Fatal Accidents: US DOT, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Fatality Analysis Reporting System
Report Card Data: Part B – Juvenile Data
Category I: Crime and Safety

*Crime and Safety* includes: juvenile referrals and arrests; dropouts; and drug and alcohol abuse.

- Juvenile Referrals and Arrests.

**Figure 1.1 Juvenile Rate of Criminal Referral to Juvenile Services per 1,000 Youth Age 17 and Under**

Source: Lane County Department of Youth Services, Juvenile Justice Data

**Figure 1.2 Juvenile Arrest Rate per 10,000 Youth Age 10-17**

Source: Easy Access to FBI Arrest Statistics
Figure 1.3 Juvenile Violent Crime Arrest Rate per 10,000 Youth

Source: Easy Access to FBI Arrest Statistics
Figure 1.4 Juvenile Drug Abuse Arrest Rate per 10,000 Youth

Source: Easy Access to FBI Arrest Statistics

Figure 1.5 Juvenile Property Crime Arrest Rate per 10,000 Youth

Source: Easy Access to FBI Arrest Statistics
- **Dropouts.** Lane County’s Dropout rate is lower than the state’s and the nation’s.

Note: Prior to 1997, students receiving a GED were counted as drop-outs.

*Source: Lane and Oregon - Oregon Department of Education, Early Leave Report. As cited by Oregon Progress Board, Oregon Benchmarks 2003 County Data Book*
Drug and Alcohol Abuse. This is self-report data from the Oregon Healthy Teens Survey. In 2009 county-level data began to be reported biennially, rather than annually.

★Figure 1.7 Percent of Juveniles Who Report Marijuana Use in Last 30 Days – 8th Grade

★Figure 1.8 Percent of Juveniles Who Report Marijuana Use in Last 30 Days – 11th Grade (12th Grade US Data)
Binge drinking is defined as having five or more drinks of alcohol within a couple of hours one or more times in the last 30 days.
Category II: Resource and Capacity

*Resource and Capacity* includes juvenile detention capacity.

- Juvenile Detention Capacity.

*Figure 2.1 Lane County Department of Youth Services Funded Juvenile Bed Resources Local Beds and State Beds Allocated to Lane County*

*Note:* In 2005-06, 16 detention beds were designated as long-term treatment beds. While this increased treatment options, it reduced available beds for short-term detention.

*Source:* Lane County Department of Youth Services
The juvenile justice system differs in how youth are committed to state secure custody. Unlike the adult criminal justice system that can sentence offenders to prison with no cap/matrix issues, the juvenile justice system is limited to a discretionary bed allowance that the Oregon Youth Authority calculates using a county’s youth population count and crime rate. It should be noted that the total number of secure custody youth beds available for the entire state of Oregon is not driven by any scientific method or demand forecast formula. The bed allowance has always been a product of what resources were available instead of actual need.

**Figure 2.2 Built vs. Funded Beds by Facility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Built Beds</th>
<th>Funded Beds</th>
<th>% Funded</th>
<th>Built Beds</th>
<th>Funded Beds</th>
<th>% Funded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lane County Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD Residential (boys)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD Residential (girls)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Residential</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Resources Available to Lane County</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Youth Authority Close Custody</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lane County Department of Youth Services

**Figure 2.3 Built vs. Funded Beds**

Source: Lane County Department of Youth Services
Efficient and Effective Use of Resources includes: juvenile re-offenses; chronic juvenile offenders; and re-offenses and tracking time.

- Juvenile Re-offenses.

★ Figure 3.1 Percent of Juvenile Offenders Who Did Not Re-offend Within 12 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lane</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lane County Department of Youth Services
Figure 3.2 Percent of Juvenile Offenders With 1-2 New Referrals Within 12 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lane</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lane County Department of Youth Services
- **Chronic Juvenile Offenders.** A small group of juvenile offenders become chronic delinquents and commit a majority of new offenses. Chronic offenders commit three or more new crimes over a 12-month period.

**Figure 3.3 Chronic Juvenile Offenders – Those With Three Or More Referrals Within 12 Months**

![Graph showing the percentage of offenders with 3+ new referrals within 12 months for Lane and Oregon from 2005 to 2011.](source)

*Source:* Lane County Department of Youth Services
Re-offenses and Tracking Time

Figure 3.4 Juvenile Re-offenses At 36 Months By Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>% Did not re-offend</th>
<th>% 1 or 2 new referrals</th>
<th>% 3+ new referrals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lane County Department of Youth Services
IV. Justice and Accountability

- System Capacity

Figure 4.1 Lane County Juveniles Released From Detention Early

Source: Lane County Department of Youth Services