

**From:** james 1 [jadedunicorn@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 11, 2011 12:11 PM  
**To:** Central Lane Metropolitan Planning Organization  
**Subject:** sidewalks

it is great that programs are there for new and improving  
but policies generally do not mandate repair and upkeep  
which is the main problem

with no regs to insure upkeep little is planned or mandatory so little is done

which is why the problem of lousy sidewalks exists

**From:** Karl Poehleman [eugenehiker@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 11, 2011 6:32 PM  
**To:** Central Lane Metropolitan Planning Organization  
**Subject:** Comment on draft Regional Transportation Plan  
Hi,

I'd like to suggest that a crosswalk be put in at Hwy 99 ( just north of Beltline ), where the bikepath terminates at Jerrys Home Improvement ( great if your just going to Jerrys from Bethel). It is rather hazardous for bikes to cross at that point if they want to continue north on 99, with the intention of say hooking up with Irving Rd. , with the only other alternative being to go against traffic on 99 and cross at Irving.

Also, I really hope that money is found to create a decent bike lane on Beaver St./ Hunsaker Ln., and/or alternatively down Division St., to link up with all the fine work that was put into extending the west river bike path under the Beltline. The current situation is very bike unfriendly, and will certainly limit usage of the new bikeway.

I will leave it there, and not ask for the "impossible", like putting a skybridge over the railyards that effectively sever River Road/Santa Clara neighborhoods from the Bethel area.

Regards,  
Karl Poehleman

--

The present is but a summary of the past, leavened with a heavy dose of random chance.

**From:** rbeers [rbeers2606@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Monday, September 26, 2011 3:53 PM

**To:** Central Lane Metropolitan Planning Organization

**Subject:** Bikes should pay

Costs are out of hand and bike paths should start contributing to the infra structure costs.

Your thoughts?

Dick Beers

**From:** CLAUSON Stacy A  
**Sent:** Monday, September 26, 2011 11:23 AM  
**To:** Central Lane Metropolitan Planning Organization  
**Subject:** FW: question from Sarah

---

**From:** CLAUSON Stacy A  
**Sent:** Monday, September 26, 2011 10:35 AM  
**To:** STRAND SARAH (LCOG List)  
**Cc:** RINER Andrea G; THOMPSON Paul E; 'SCHWETZ Tom'; BAJRACHARYA Shashi  
**Subject:** RE: question from Sarah

Good Morning Sarah!

Thanks for your e-mail. I am going to include this e-mail in the public correspondence that we have received on the Regional Transportation Plan Update, which will be forwarded to the MPC as part of their consideration of the 2035 RTP Update.

In response to your questions, I have a couple of projects I would like to point out, as follows:

- In the list of Illustrative Transit Projects, there is an Illustrative BRT project shown linking to the Gateway line and travelling along Beltline Hwy to W. 11th, and then to the LCC campus (Project #1116). The exact route for this line has not been determined at this time. More detailed review of the potential route would be completed as part of a full corridor study and selection of a preferred route, which is significantly more detailed than the conceptual projects that are depicted in the long-range plan (RTP). Please see the BRT map here: [http://www.lcog.org/documents/meetings/mpc/0911/rtp2035/A5\\_BusRapidTransit.pdf](http://www.lcog.org/documents/meetings/mpc/0911/rtp2035/A5_BusRapidTransit.pdf)
- Also, Lane County's Project #512 on the Illustrative Roadway list shows potential construction of a new bridge with up to 4 lanes over the Willamette River from Beaver Street to Delta Highway. While shown on the Roadway list, this could provide another conceptual potential route for future bus routes or BRT in that corridor. Again, this would be determined as part of a more detailed planning effort when these projects are considered further. Please see this project on this map: [http://www.lcog.org/documents/meetings/mpc/0911/rtp2035/A3\\_IllustrativeRoadway.pdf](http://www.lcog.org/documents/meetings/mpc/0911/rtp2035/A3_IllustrativeRoadway.pdf).

Again, thank you for your comment and please feel free to contact Paul or myself with any additional comments on the draft RTP.

Stacy Clauson  
Assistant Planner  
Lane Council of Governments  
859 Willamette Street, Suite 500  
Eugene, OR 97401  
541-682-3177  
Fax: 541-682-4099  
[sclauson@lcog.org](mailto:sclauson@lcog.org)  
<http://www.lcog.org>

---

**From:** Strand [mailto:strand2747@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 23, 2011 1:55 PM  
**To:** CLAUSON Stacy A  
**Subject:** question from Sarah

Hi Stacy,

I have been interested in the Beltline/Delta highway improvements and was on the ODOT Stakeholders' committee for that. I had a question--sifting through my incredible mess of a studio/office I came across notes I'd taken at a TPC meeting I think:

"Tom Swetz wanted possible local connector on Stacy's 2031 RTP BRT System Map".

Did this happen? and are there other places it could be posted?

I will be talking to Savannah about getting the word out about the Beltline build option ideas that include the local connector from Green Acres over to Santa Clara with a new bridge (which I think is highly desirable for the community in providing non-motorized access all the way from Santa Clara to Gateway). I have the impression that the MPC members are not familiar with this. Remember in Coburg there were questions about how to phase the NEPA money for the Beltline? This is one option. I really don't know how to talk about things in Planning Speak! I need a translator! but I hope you get the gist of my interest and concern. I do want this great chance to be "on the radar".

Thanks,

Sarah Strand