



PSCC Agenda

PSCC OFFICERS

CHAIR, PAUL SOLOMON
Reentry Services Representative

VICE CHAIR, JAY BOZIEVICH
County Commissioner

VOTING MEMBERS

TBD

Citizen Member

DONOVAN DUMIRE
Community Corrections Manager

TOM ENGLISH
Citizen Member

STAR FELTY
Health & Human Services
Youth Services Division Manager

PAULINE GICHOHI
Health & Human Services
Director (Mental Health)

CLIFTON HARROLD
Sheriff

DENIS HIJMANS
Citizen Member

JAY MCALPIN
Circuit Court Presiding Judge

PATTY PERLOW
District Attorney

BROOK REINHARD
Public Defender

ERIN REYNOLDS
Florence City Manager

ANDREW SHEARER
Chief, Springfield Police
Department

CHRIS SKINNER
Chief, Eugene Police Department

SARAH STEWART
Victim Services Representative

LUCY VINIS
Eugene Mayor

JOCELYN WARREN
Health & Human Services
Public Health Manager (Health)

NON-VOTING MEMBERS

JASON JONES
Oregon Youth Authority Supervisor

STEVE MOKROHISKY
County Administrator

HANK DUREN
Oregon State Police
Representative

Meeting Link*:
<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/84895450637?pwd=a0loTDZpa21xNU9VK01idzFmSjByQT09>
Phone: +1 253 215 8782 Meeting ID: 848 9545 0637 Passcode: 781622

Thursday, March 17, 2022
3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

- I. Call to Order/Welcome/Introductions – Paul Solomon 5 min.
- II. Public Comment 5 min.
- III. Minutes January 20, 2022 Minutes (*Action*) – Paul Solomon 5 min.
- IV. Intra-System Communication – All 30 min.
- V. Introduction – Eve Gray, Director HHS 5 min.
- VI. Committee Reports
 - A. Budget Committee – Jay Bozievich 5 min.
 - B. Behavioral Health & Criminal Justice Workgroup – 10 min.
 - 1. Behavioral Health Summit - Pauline Gichohi
 - C. Juvenile Committee – Star Felty 5 min.
 - E. Reentry Task Force - 0 min.
 - F. Workplan Workgroup – Paul Solomon 20 min.
 - 1. Member Recruitment
 - 2. Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Training LOI
- VII. 416 Program Evaluation Findings – Mike McCart 25 min.
- VIII. Grant Update – Denise Walters 5 min.
- IX. Adjourn – Paul Solomon

The 2022 PSCC Meeting Dates are:
March 17, May 19, September 15, and November 17
3:00-5:00 pm



PSCC March 17, 2022 Agenda Item Summaries

VI.A Budget Committee

Lane County Parole and Probation received data on the Community Corrections Act (CCA) population which is utilized by the Department of Corrections (DOC) to determine Lane County's percentage share of Grant-in-Aid funding. The Oregon Criminal Justice Commission uses this same percentage for determining county share of Justice Reinvestment Act funds.

The packet includes Lane County's and its comparator counties data reports from 2017 through October 2021. Please remember there is an approximately four (4) month delay in DOC data.

VI.F.2 Letter of Interest Request for Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Belonging (DEIB) Training and Facilitation

PSCC tasked the Workplan Workgroup (WPWG) with developing a process and related documents for retaining support in its DEIB efforts. At its February 24, 2022 meeting the WPWG reviewed a draft Request for Proposals (RFP) and applied Lane County's Equity Lens to the process and product (RFP). In working through the equity lens, discussion often shifted to the PSCC rather than the specific Request for Proposal which highlighted the importance of having the RFP process align with PSCC goals and purpose. Another emergent understanding was the expectation that DEIB efforts would be iterative and are an ongoing need.

Based on discussion, the WPWG decided to first issue a letter of intent/interest (LOI) request which will include a question asking interested parties to identify barriers they have experienced in responding to similar requests and obtaining similar work. The WPWG will use any data generated from this process to determine the best RFP design and if the distribution networks for the opportunity (LOI and RFP) are sufficient for an equitable process and to retain the highest level of services to meet PSCC needs. The draft request for letter of intent/interest and equity lens worksheet is included in the packet for review and comment.

VII 416 Program Evaluation Findings

Dr. Mike McCart from Oregon Social Learning Center will present findings on the 416 diversion program which serves individuals with a history of non-violent, repeat felony property crime and substance use and demonstrate motivation to change their behavior. A randomized controlled trial (RCT) was conducted on this front-end diversion program named after Senate Bill 416 which seeks to create alternatives to prison for individuals who are committing property crimes, at least in part, to support their substance use.

PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATING COUNCIL MINUTES

January 20, 2022

3:00 p.m.

Virtual via Zoom

PRESENT: Paul Solomon, Chair; Donovan Dumire, Tom English, Star Felty, Pauline Gichohi, Patty Perlow, Erin Reynolds, Sheriff Cliff Harrold, Denis Hijmans, Sarah Stewart, Lucy Vinis, Judge Jay McAlpin, Bob Holman for Brook Reinhard, Jason Moore for Chief Skinner, voting members. Greg Rikhoff for Steve Mokrohisky, Lieutenant Hank Duren, OSP non-voting members. Denise Walters, LCOG Staff; Miles Mabray, Center for Dialogue and Resolution; Liz Rambo, Carl Wilkerson, Greg Rice, guests.

ABSENT: Jay Bozievich, Vice Chair; Dan Buckwald, Chief Andrew Shearer, Jocelyn Warren, voting members; Jason Jones, non-voting members.

I. Call to Order/Welcome/Introductions

Chair Solomon called the Public Safety Coordinating Council (PSCC) meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. Everyone present introduced themselves.

II. Public Comment

None.

III. Minutes November 18, 2021

Sheriff Cliff Harrold motioned to approve as submitted and was seconded by Donovan Dumire.

IV. Intra-System Communication

Lane County Sheriff's Office shared law enforcement, talent recruitment and retention issues continue. Sheriff Harrold shared from his discussions with communities across the county is that there is a declining perception of feeling safe.

Judge McAlpin reflected on the number of new hires who have only experienced work during the pandemic and noted overall the current bench is young/early in tenure. He is planning for some services/functions to continue in a hybrid manner and preparing for return to fuller offering of in person functions.

Kids First reported to date they have served 8% more youth than last year. It is not clear if this is the result of increasing need, increased space with which to serve people or a combination of factors. SASS has resumed provision of Emergency Room (ER) services and is averaging one ER call/case per day. The Hope and Safety Alliance (formerly WomenSpace) will celebrate its 45th anniversary in May.

Parole and Probation is in their new office and noted morale of staff and clients seems higher given the space/design and how it facilitates more trauma informed services.

V. Committee Reports

A. Budget Committee

Chair Solomon said that the Budget Committee had not met since the last PSCC meeting.

B. Behavioral Health & Criminal Justice Workgroup

1. Behavioral Health Summit

Ms. Gichohi said that they met earlier that morning and checked in with their progress on the crisis center. The group was committed to the work and supportive of the project. They were looking at potential sites for the crisis center.

Ms. Walters asked Ms. Gichohi to share her perspective on what was talked about at the statewide Behavioral Health Summit. Ms. Gichohi said they talked about the systems that were in place and their impacts on behavioral health and criminal justice. She thought that conversation was very impactful and everyone present at the summit were dedicated to improving the systems. Ms. Gichohi thought there were a lot of assumptions made about the behavioral health system. There seemed to be some misinformation. There were struggles in the system but overall, she believes that it works for many people.

C. Juvenile Committee

Ms. Felty said that the Juvenile Committee met on December 14, 2021, with about half the people present. They talked about family violence, the increase in runaways, and increase in dependency needs. They discussed the differences in how they treated high-risk and high-need kids.

Ms. Felty shared she gave an update on their racial and ethnic disparities work. They had received State funding to work on building a system to have the necessary conversations. The request for proposal (RFP) was out and they would move forward with that soon. They wanted to have a sub-Committee to oversee their work as well.

D. Reentry Task Force

Chair Solomon said that partnership with Homes for Good was moving forward on a permanent supportive housing project called The Coleman. They were moving forward with getting funding to break ground on the site which they received from the County by the end of 2022. The project would have 52 units with ground level services (including case management and behavioral supports). The project had been submitted for HOME funds and would be reviewed the following week. Labor costs had gone up and were presenting some funding issues.

VI. Restorative Justice

Miles Mabray, executive director at the Center for Dialogue & Resolution (CDR) discussed HB 2204 which established a restorative justice grant program. Ms. Walters said the grant might come out before the PSCC met again, so if the group was interested in supporting CDR's work for restorative justice in Lane County they would need to authorize the chair to provide a letter of support at this meeting.

Mr. Mabray shared CDR will likely pursue the funds to build up the diversion program with the District Attorney's (DA) office. If approved by the DA office the clients contact CDR to do an intake meeting. They set up a restorative dialogue, preferably with victim in the room if possible. In the process everyone works toward an agreement on what could be done to address whatever wrong occurred. The CDR monitored the agreed upon process and provided a notice of completion.

Mr. Mabray shared that they wanted to extend the program so they could do more tracking and reporting of data, create clearer paths for addressing victim's needs, have more frequent collaboration with the system partners, and integrate part of the County's justice framework.

Mayor Vinis wished that they were already funded through on this project. There was a balance to healing from the event and protecting oneself in the future that this program would be able to help people navigate.

MOTION: Mr. English moved, seconded by Ms. Perlow, to have the Chair of the PSCC draft a letter of support for CDR's application to the Criminal Justice Commission for funding for a pilot project. The motion passed unanimously.

VII. PSCC Membership Composition

Chair Solomon said that at the next workgroup meeting they would be discussing PSCC membership composition. Part of the reason was because there had been suggestions of having a business or K-12 education member join the group.

Mr. Hijmans asked what happened to the education representative. Chair Solomon replied that they had invited a representative to start joining their meetings. Ms. Walters added that Superintendent Vandercar was invited but had been busy with 4J schoolwork and unable to attend. She said membership composition links directly to the body's diversity, equity and inclusion work.

VIII. Equity and Inclusion Training Poll

Ms. Walters the PSCC's successful Justice Reinvestment proposal included some funds for diversity, equity and inclusion training and facilitation. She asked if, since last polled, members had any updates on their individual efforts.

Mr. Rikhoff said that the County had more resources than previously. He thought a group could get together to talk about how they could present about their ideas. The Workplan Workgroup would be the best place to discuss what they wanted to put in the Request for Proposals (RFP). The Workplan Workgroup will continue the conversation and its work on the RFP.

IX. Grant Update

Ms. Walters said that the Justice Reinvestment and Community Correction Act funding proposals were successful.

X. Adjourn

Chair Solomon adjourned the meeting at 4:30p.m.

(Minutes recorded by Lydia Dysart)


Statewide Population by County
Clackamas County

Community Lag Date	Local Control	Post Prison	Probation	Total Population
11/1/2017	47	875	878	1800
12/1/2017	34	863	864	1761
1/1/2018	38	858	856	1752
2/1/2018	47	866	854	1767
3/1/2018	41	864	827	1732
4/1/2018	42	870	850	1762
5/1/2018	38	866	853	1757
6/1/2018	37	854	854	1745
7/1/2018	36	860	859	1755
8/1/2018	37	851	831	1719
9/1/2018	39	832	819	1690
10/1/2018	38	809	826	1673
11/1/2018	44	793	806	1643
12/1/2018	40	791	796	1627
1/1/2019	32	802	793	1627
2/1/2019	39	804	777	1620
3/1/2019	46	785	765	1596
4/1/2019	49	794	780	1623
5/1/2019	42	780	780	1602
6/1/2019	39	779	823	1641
7/1/2019	44	782	831	1657
8/1/2019	46	780	847	1673
9/1/2019	38	796	858	1692
10/1/2019	51	787	857	1695
11/1/2019	36	787	848	1671
12/1/2019	39	782	856	1677
1/1/2020	49	796	869	1714
2/1/2020	42	788	890	1720
3/1/2020	43	805	908	1756
4/1/2020	31	783	905	1719
5/1/2020	20	776	886	1682
6/1/2020	14	759	874	1647
7/1/2020	12	765	841	1618
8/1/2020	15	745	825	1585
9/1/2020	14	718	798	1530
10/1/2020	12	706	763	1481
11/1/2020	16	695	767	1478
12/1/2020	11	693	775	1479
1/1/2021	9	692	747	1448
2/1/2021	10	679	736	1425
3/1/2021	9	672	722	1403
4/1/2021	9	654	686	1349
5/1/2021	13	644	649	1306
6/1/2021	13	667	645	1325
7/1/2021	11	670	620	1301
8/1/2021	12	640	615	1267
9/1/2021	18	611	613	1242
10/1/2021	14	610	607	1231

EDIS-PPS	EDIS-Prob	EDIS Total
16	118	134
19	120	139
17	120	137
18	115	133
17	111	128
15	104	119
15	126	141
10	119	129
12	117	129
10	119	129
9	117	126
10	113	123
9	116	125
7	117	124
6	118	124
7	118	125
8	115	123
7	103	110
7	99	106
8	97	105
6	89	95
7	83	90
6	80	86
6	89	95
7	93	100
6	93	99
7	95	102
7	86	93
6	83	89
6	92	98
5	86	91
6	84	90
5	90	95
7	84	91
8	81	89
8	84	92
6	85	91
6	79	85
4	77	81
3	78	81
3	74	77
4	72	76
3	74	77
3	77	80
3	77	80
4	79	83
4	81	85
4	79	83

County Population w/EDIS	Statewide Population w/EDIS	Clackamas Co % of Statewide
1934	32187	6.01%
1900	32052	5.93%
1889	31889	5.92%
1900	31993	5.94%
1860	31793	5.85%
1881	31590	5.95%
1898	31670	5.99%
1874	31764	5.90%
1884	31682	5.95%
1848	31736	5.82%
1816	31588	5.75%
1796	31367	5.73%
1768	31206	5.67%
1751	31212	5.61%
1751	31197	5.61%
1745	31277	5.58%
1719	31197	5.51%
1733	31314	5.53%
1708	31462	5.43%
1746	31468	5.55%
1752	31449	5.57%
1763	31496	5.60%
1778	31438	5.66%
1790	31422	5.70%
1771	31222	5.67%
1776	31170	5.70%
1816	31032	5.85%
1813	31094	5.83%
1845	31165	5.92%
1817	30881	5.88%
1773	30214	5.87%
1737	29970	5.80%
1713	29579	5.79%
1676	28902	5.80%
1619	28620	5.66%
1573	28210	5.58%
1569	27781	5.65%
1564	27396	5.71%
1529	27012	5.66%
1506	26644	5.65%
1480	26323	5.62%
1425	26040	5.47%
1383	25784	5.36%
1405	25459	5.52%
1381	25067	5.51%
1350	24801	5.44%
1327	24303	5.46%
1314	23988	5.48%

Clackamas County - Total Funded Population




Statewide Population by County
Lane County

Community Lag Date	Local Control	Post Prison	Probation	Total Population
11/1/2017	57	1306	1524	2887
12/1/2017	52	1301	1541	2894
1/1/2018	53	1310	1562	2925
2/1/2018	64	1345	1576	2985
3/1/2018	61	1348	1532	2941
4/1/2018	53	1332	1523	2908
5/1/2018	63	1384	1524	2971
6/1/2018	67	1369	1530	2966
7/1/2018	62	1370	1540	2972
8/1/2018	67	1342	1554	2963
9/1/2018	51	1357	1546	2954
10/1/2018	42	1323	1510	2875
11/1/2018	48	1323	1491	2862
12/1/2018	46	1385	1481	2912
1/1/2019	54	1386	1462	2902
2/1/2019	51	1386	1468	2905
3/1/2019	48	1391	1449	2888
4/1/2019	45	1409	1435	2889
5/1/2019	44	1404	1416	2864
6/1/2019	40	1401	1386	2827
7/1/2019	40	1398	1359	2797
8/1/2019	36	1421	1352	2809
9/1/2019	41	1380	1333	2754
10/1/2019	33	1399	1323	2755
11/1/2019	25	1392	1340	2757
12/1/2019	22	1405	1337	2764
1/1/2020	22	1410	1297	2729
2/1/2020	23	1382	1280	2685
3/1/2020	26	1365	1270	2661
4/1/2020	29	1374	1256	2659
5/1/2020	19	1366	1217	2602
6/1/2020	14	1389	1190	2593
7/1/2020	9	1337	1165	2511
8/1/2020	7	1305	1129	2441
9/1/2020	5	1316	1125	2446
10/1/2020	6	1275	1131	2412
11/1/2020	9	1243	1112	2364
12/1/2020	11	1288	1119	2418
1/1/2021	11	1284	1107	2402
2/1/2021	10	1287	1084	2381
3/1/2021	12	1265	1058	2335
4/1/2021	10	1270	1040	2320
5/1/2021	14	1272	1033	2319
6/1/2021	13	1249	1005	2267
7/1/2021	13	1251	989	2253
8/1/2021	13	1244	979	2236
9/1/2021	11	1214	963	2188
10/1/2021	10	1189	948	2147

EDIS-PPS	EDIS-Prob	EDIS Total
7	101	108
8	97	105
9	94	103
9	105	114
9	125	134
11	128	139
14	130	144
13	129	142
14	131	145
12	124	136
12	119	131
9	119	128
7	101	108
8	94	102
7	105	112
8	111	119
8	112	120
10	118	128
10	121	131
9	119	128
10	118	128
11	126	137
12	124	136
12	127	139
11	122	133
9	120	129
10	130	140
10	121	131
7	130	137
5	122	127
5	134	139
5	129	134
5	134	139
5	145	150
5	154	159
4	154	158
5	167	172
5	160	165
3	163	166
3	156	159
3	156	159
3	147	150
4	135	139
3	129	132
3	130	133
3	125	128
3	129	132
3	127	130

County Population w/EDIS	Statewide Population w/EDIS	Lane Co % of Statewide
2995	32187	9.30%
2999	32052	9.36%
3028	31889	9.50%
3099	31993	9.69%
3075	31793	9.67%
3047	31590	9.65%
3115	31670	9.84%
3108	31764	9.78%
3117	31682	9.84%
3099	31736	9.76%
3085	31588	9.77%
3003	31367	9.57%
2970	31206	9.52%
3014	31212	9.66%
3014	31197	9.66%
3024	31277	9.67%
3008	31197	9.64%
3017	31314	9.63%
2995	31462	9.52%
2955	31468	9.39%
2925	31449	9.30%
2946	31496	9.35%
2890	31438	9.19%
2894	31422	9.21%
2890	31222	9.26%
2893	31170	9.28%
2869	31032	9.25%
2816	31094	9.06%
2798	31165	8.98%
2786	30881	9.02%
2741	30214	9.07%
2727	29970	9.10%
2650	29579	8.96%
2591	28902	8.96%
2605	28620	9.10%
2570	28210	9.11%
2536	27781	9.13%
2583	27396	9.43%
2568	27012	9.51%
2540	26644	9.53%
2494	26323	9.47%
2470	26040	9.49%
2458	25784	9.53%
2399	25459	9.42%
2386	25067	9.52%
2364	24801	9.53%
2320	24303	9.55%
2277	23988	9.49%

Lane County - Total Funded Population




Statewide Population by County
Marion County

Community Lag Date	Local Control	Post Prison	Probation	Total Population
11/1/2017	46	1613	1319	2978
12/1/2017	49	1602	1314	2965
1/1/2018	38	1580	1326	2944
2/1/2018	35	1574	1311	2920
3/1/2018	31	1559	1323	2913
4/1/2018	30	1534	1310	2874
5/1/2018	23	1536	1296	2855
6/1/2018	29	1537	1300	2866
7/1/2018	29	1533	1279	2841
8/1/2018	25	1534	1259	2818
9/1/2018	30	1527	1264	2821
10/1/2018	21	1511	1257	2789
11/1/2018	18	1467	1269	2754
12/1/2018	24	1468	1295	2787
1/1/2019	19	1472	1292	2783
2/1/2019	20	1451	1294	2765
3/1/2019	15	1413	1295	2723
4/1/2019	18	1419	1301	2738
5/1/2019	20	1426	1318	2764
6/1/2019	21	1376	1317	2714
7/1/2019	23	1358	1320	2701
8/1/2019	24	1364	1331	2719
9/1/2019	23	1404	1362	2789
10/1/2019	25	1391	1373	2789
11/1/2019	26	1381	1378	2785
12/1/2019	24	1408	1370	2802
1/1/2020	23	1399	1378	2800
2/1/2020	21	1379	1395	2795
3/1/2020	17	1385	1398	2800
4/1/2020	13	1391	1380	2784
5/1/2020	12	1375	1348	2735
6/1/2020	17	1388	1320	2725
7/1/2020	17	1378	1289	2684
8/1/2020	14	1365	1251	2630
9/1/2020	8	1394	1265	2667
10/1/2020	9	1365	1249	2623
11/1/2020	12	1354	1217	2583
12/1/2020	9	1359	1204	2572
1/1/2021	8	1371	1172	2551
2/1/2021	6	1376	1180	2562
3/1/2021	6	1362	1175	2543
4/1/2021	8	1342	1161	2511
5/1/2021	5	1354	1159	2518
6/1/2021	10	1305	1137	2452
7/1/2021	6	1283	1129	2418
8/1/2021	9	1289	1133	2431
9/1/2021	9	1269	1117	2395
10/1/2021	6	1272	1119	2397

EDIS-PPS	EDIS-Prob	EDIS Total
4	40	44
6	42	48
7	45	52
8	50	58
7	51	58
7	54	61
6	56	62
6	71	77
5	77	82
4	75	79
3	74	77
2	68	70
2	69	71
2	72	74
2	75	77
3	75	78
3	80	83
2	83	85
2	83	85
2	78	80
2	80	82
2	79	81
3	79	82
3	80	83
3	78	81
5	79	84
6	80	86
6	87	93
6	83	89
5	80	85
4	85	89
3	82	85
3	92	95
3	93	96
3	88	91
3	95	98
3	101	104
3	102	105
3	110	113
4	109	113
6	110	116
5	106	111
4	100	104
3	100	103
3	99	102
3	95	98
3	93	96
3	89	92

County Population w/EDIS	Statewide Population w/EDIS	Marion Co % of Statewide
3022	32187	9.39%
3013	32052	9.40%
2996	31889	9.40%
2978	31993	9.31%
2971	31793	9.34%
2935	31590	9.29%
2917	31670	9.21%
2943	31764	9.27%
2923	31682	9.23%
2897	31736	9.13%
2898	31588	9.17%
2859	31367	9.11%
2825	31206	9.05%
2861	31212	9.17%
2860	31197	9.17%
2843	31277	9.09%
2806	31197	8.99%
2823	31314	9.02%
2849	31462	9.06%
2794	31468	8.88%
2783	31449	8.85%
2800	31496	8.89%
2871	31438	9.13%
2872	31422	9.14%
2866	31222	9.18%
2886	31170	9.26%
2886	31032	9.30%
2888	31094	9.29%
2889	31165	9.27%
2869	30881	9.29%
2824	30214	9.35%
2810	29970	9.38%
2779	29579	9.40%
2726	28902	9.43%
2758	28620	9.64%
2721	28210	9.65%
2687	27781	9.67%
2677	27396	9.77%
2664	27012	9.86%
2675	26644	10.04%
2659	26323	10.10%
2622	26040	10.07%
2622	25784	10.17%
2555	25459	10.04%
2520	25067	10.05%
2529	24801	10.20%
2491	24303	10.25%
2489	23988	10.38%

Marion County - Total Funded Population




Statewide Population by County
Multnomah County

Community Lag Date	Local Control	Post Prison	Probation	Total Population
11/1/2017	53	2618	3092	5763
12/1/2017	53	2649	3079	5781
1/1/2018	48	2658	3038	5744
2/1/2018	43	2631	3044	5718
3/1/2018	70	2605	2992	5667
4/1/2018	69	2550	3015	5634
5/1/2018	66	2539	2953	5558
6/1/2018	56	2558	2985	5599
7/1/2018	52	2581	2956	5589
8/1/2018	44	2571	2943	5558
9/1/2018	34	2572	2899	5505
10/1/2018	32	2562	2860	5454
11/1/2018	32	2542	2849	5423
12/1/2018	41	2564	2809	5414
1/1/2019	43	2561	2800	5404
2/1/2019	56	2564	2775	5395
3/1/2019	48	2558	2731	5337
4/1/2019	49	2555	2754	5358
5/1/2019	55	2575	2778	5408
6/1/2019	51	2571	2778	5400
7/1/2019	69	2567	2751	5387
8/1/2019	54	2572	2725	5351
9/1/2019	54	2522	2731	5307
10/1/2019	61	2522	2723	5306
11/1/2019	51	2521	2708	5280
12/1/2019	49	2493	2669	5211
1/1/2020	51	2439	2704	5194
2/1/2020	49	2469	2699	5217
3/1/2020	49	2485	2689	5223
4/1/2020	56	2480	2709	5245
5/1/2020	51	2468	2652	5171
6/1/2020	45	2515	2597	5157
7/1/2020	36	2520	2540	5096
8/1/2020	32	2510	2401	4943
9/1/2020	37	2499	2333	4869
10/1/2020	31	2484	2266	4781
11/1/2020	37	2461	2202	4700
12/1/2020	36	2423	2090	4549
1/1/2021	41	2396	2028	4465
2/1/2021	35	2378	1939	4352
3/1/2021	38	2367	1879	4284
4/1/2021	43	2359	1848	4250
5/1/2021	49	2326	1768	4143
6/1/2021	49	2306	1698	4053
7/1/2021	42	2280	1641	3963
8/1/2021	36	2238	1622	3896
9/1/2021	25	2197	1554	3776
10/1/2021	27	2164	1542	3733

EDIS-PPS	EDIS-Prob	EDIS Total
20	178	198
19	164	183
19	165	184
15	145	160
14	165	179
17	156	173
18	189	207
15	190	205
12	200	212
15	209	224
16	219	235
15	210	225
15	212	227
16	217	233
16	215	231
14	219	233
13	221	234
13	225	238
10	232	242
9	239	248
11	243	254
11	254	265
12	247	259
15	232	247
14	220	234
13	228	241
12	221	233
11	227	238
12	219	231
11	213	224
12	219	231
10	213	223
11	217	228
8	237	245
8	237	245
9	252	261
9	248	257
9	257	266
9	253	262
10	248	258
8	241	249
10	248	258
10	252	262
11	255	266
12	253	265
12	246	258
13	253	266
12	240	252

County Population w/EDIS	Statewide Population w/EDIS	Multnomah Co % of Statewide
5961	32187	18.52%
5964	32052	18.61%
5928	31889	18.59%
5878	31993	18.37%
5846	31793	18.39%
5807	31590	18.38%
5765	31670	18.20%
5804	31764	18.27%
5801	31682	18.31%
5782	31736	18.22%
5740	31588	18.17%
5679	31367	18.11%
5650	31206	18.11%
5647	31212	18.09%
5635	31197	18.06%
5628	31277	17.99%
5571	31197	17.86%
5596	31314	17.87%
5650	31462	17.96%
5648	31468	17.95%
5641	31449	17.94%
5616	31496	17.83%
5566	31438	17.70%
5553	31422	17.67%
5514	31222	17.66%
5452	31170	17.49%
5427	31032	17.49%
5455	31094	17.54%
5454	31165	17.50%
5469	30881	17.71%
5402	30214	17.88%
5380	29970	17.95%
5324	29579	18.00%
5188	28902	17.95%
5114	28620	17.87%
5042	28210	17.87%
4957	27781	17.84%
4815	27396	17.58%
4727	27012	17.50%
4610	26644	17.30%
4533	26323	17.22%
4508	26040	17.31%
4405	25784	17.08%
4319	25459	16.96%
4228	25067	16.87%
4154	24801	16.75%
4042	24303	16.63%
3985	23988	16.61%

Multnomah County - Total Funded Population




Statewide Population by County
Washington County

Community Lag Date	Local Control	Post Prison	Probation	Total Population
11/1/2017	93	1459	1204	2756
12/1/2017	106	1429	1210	2745
1/1/2018	107	1450	1179	2736
2/1/2018	116	1426	1179	2721
3/1/2018	103	1433	1169	2705
4/1/2018	107	1400	1177	2684
5/1/2018	95	1409	1192	2696
6/1/2018	91	1419	1197	2707
7/1/2018	93	1390	1202	2685
8/1/2018	104	1400	1205	2709
9/1/2018	101	1398	1201	2700
10/1/2018	89	1385	1208	2682
11/1/2018	79	1377	1188	2644
12/1/2018	78	1360	1191	2629
1/1/2019	77	1348	1206	2631
2/1/2019	76	1405	1238	2719
3/1/2019	74	1408	1229	2711
4/1/2019	80	1422	1208	2710
5/1/2019	87	1403	1215	2705
6/1/2019	72	1412	1224	2708
7/1/2019	64	1368	1223	2655
8/1/2019	67	1380	1231	2678
9/1/2019	63	1378	1231	2672
10/1/2019	61	1371	1250	2682
11/1/2019	45	1367	1253	2665
12/1/2019	39	1356	1267	2662
1/1/2020	53	1329	1241	2623
2/1/2020	53	1360	1263	2676
3/1/2020	49	1332	1296	2677
4/1/2020	37	1341	1262	2640
5/1/2020	23	1343	1232	2598
6/1/2020	23	1328	1228	2579
7/1/2020	23	1270	1229	2522
8/1/2020	16	1269	1191	2476
9/1/2020	17	1243	1184	2444
10/1/2020	21	1223	1183	2427
11/1/2020	22	1203	1160	2385
12/1/2020	16	1200	1147	2363
1/1/2021	13	1203	1111	2327
2/1/2021	13	1172	1117	2302
3/1/2021	10	1159	1104	2273
4/1/2021	16	1157	1021	2194
5/1/2021	22	1124	1002	2148
6/1/2021	22	1085	957	2064
7/1/2021	21	1061	913	1995
8/1/2021	29	1051	907	1987
9/1/2021	21	1038	875	1934
10/1/2021	19	1000	886	1905

EDIS-PPS	EDIS-Prob	EDIS Total
16	164	180
16	155	171
16	158	174
16	165	181
17	163	180
20	166	186
22	163	185
25	157	182
24	154	178
26	159	185
23	170	193
24	169	193
22	172	194
20	174	194
18	167	185
21	160	181
20	163	183
21	176	197
19	181	200
19	187	206
16	189	205
15	189	204
11	182	193
11	181	192
12	181	193
14	176	190
15	181	196
13	177	190
13	174	187
14	173	187
14	159	173
14	147	161
12	132	144
9	118	127
6	112	118
6	101	107
5	92	97
3	82	85
2	77	79
1	70	71
1	71	72
4	110	114
4	121	125
4	141	145
4	152	156
4	156	160
4	161	165
6	163	169

County Population w/EDIS	Statewide Population w/EDIS	Washington Co % of Statewide
2936	32187	9.12%
2916	32052	9.10%
2910	31889	9.13%
2902	31993	9.07%
2885	31793	9.07%
2870	31590	9.09%
2881	31670	9.10%
2889	31764	9.10%
2863	31682	9.04%
2894	31736	9.12%
2893	31588	9.16%
2875	31367	9.17%
2838	31206	9.09%
2823	31212	9.04%
2816	31197	9.03%
2900	31277	9.27%
2894	31197	9.28%
2907	31314	9.28%
2905	31462	9.23%
2914	31468	9.26%
2860	31449	9.09%
2882	31496	9.15%
2865	31438	9.11%
2874	31422	9.15%
2858	31222	9.15%
2852	31170	9.15%
2819	31032	9.08%
2866	31094	9.22%
2864	31165	9.19%
2827	30881	9.15%
2771	30214	9.17%
2740	29970	9.14%
2666	29579	9.01%
2603	28902	9.01%
2562	28620	8.95%
2534	28210	8.98%
2482	27781	8.93%
2448	27396	8.94%
2406	27012	8.91%
2373	26644	8.91%
2345	26323	8.91%
2308	26040	8.86%
2273	25784	8.82%
2209	25459	8.68%
2151	25067	8.58%
2147	24801	8.66%
2099	24303	8.64%
2074	23988	8.65%

Washington County - Total Funded Population



DRAFT

Request for Letters of Interest (LOI)

Purpose

Lane County's Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (PSCC) is looking for consultant(s)/contractor(s) to lead PSCC members and staff in Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Belonging (DEIB) training and coaching as well as assist in an equity informed visioning, outcomes and goal setting process. The initial term of the Contract is anticipated to be for approximately 16 months, for the period of July 8, 2022 through December 30, 2023, with options to extend if and as additional funds are secured.

Background

Local Public Safety Coordinating Councils were established by the Oregon Legislature (Oregon Revised Statute 423.560) in 1995 by Senate Bill (SB)1145. SB 1145 established an ongoing partnership between the state and counties recognizing that counties are in the best position for management, oversight, and administration of local criminal justice matters and for determining local resource priorities.

Lane County's Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (PSCC) is an advisory body to the Lane County Board of Commissions and planning body for the adult and juvenile criminal justice systems in Lane County. Planning includes but is not limited to developing recommendations to the Lane County Board of Commissioners on programming and budget for Justice Reinvestment Grant funding and Community Corrections Act Grant-in-Aid funding.

ORS 423.560 defines PSCC responsibilities as:

- (3) The local public safety coordinating council shall, at a minimum:
 - (a) Develop and recommend to the county board of commissioners a plan for use of:
 - (A) State resources to serve the local offender population; and
 - (B) State and local resources to serve the needs of that part of the local offender population who are at least 15 years of age and less than 18 years of age, which plan must provide for coordination of community-wide services involving prevention, treatment, education, employment resources and intervention strategies; and
 - (b) Coordinate local criminal justice policy among affected criminal justice entities.

The PSCC currently has 22 members. PSCC membership includes seats defined by Statute and additional seats defined by the Lane County Board of Commissioners. Statutorily defined members include:

- Police Chief
- County Sheriff
- District Attorney
- State Court Judge
- Public Defender or Defense Attorney
- Director of Community Corrections
- County Commissioner
- Juvenile Department Director
- Health Director
- Mental Health Director
- one (1) Lay Citizen
- City Councilor or Mayor
- City Manager or Other City Representative
- Oregon State Police (non-voting)
- Oregon Youth Authority (non-voting)
- Community Based Victim Services Director

Additional members defined by Lane County: City Councilor or Mayor from Eugene or Springfield, two (2) Lay Citizens, Lane County Administrator (non-voting), Reentry Service Provider, Police Chief of Eugene or Springfield.

The PSCC utilizes committees to advance the work of the full Body and can form ad hoc committees whenever needed to address issues of concern. The following committees are currently active:

1. Juvenile Justice Committee (standing)
2. Workplan Workgroup (standing)
3. Budget Committee (standing)
4. Mental Health Summit (Behavioral Health & Criminal Justice System intersect)
5. Reentry Task Force

The PSCC is in a unique position to affect public safety as it is the one place representatives of the entire criminal justice (including health) gather. 2020 shone an undeniable and sustained light on the many equity, diversity, inclusion and belonging issues in the community public safety system. The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated these and compounding issues warranting a concerted look at processes, resources, and outcomes.

In response, the PSCC established a significant work plan for the 21-23 biennium and beyond. In addition to the standard Community Corrections Act Plan and Justice Reinvestment Program elements, the current biennial work program includes the following:

- A. Vision update and establishment of Outcome Measurement Framework (including process)
- B. Community Outreach and Engagement
- C. Diversity, Equity, Inclusion & Belonging Training
- D. Hate and Bias Incident and Crime Prevention & Response
- E. 10 Year Public Safety Plan Reformat and Update
- F. System Funding Strategy

Anticipated Scope of Work

The scope for this project will likely include:

- 1) Provide in-person and/or virtual diversity, equity, and inclusion training for the PSCC, approximately 22 members and 10 staff. Training shall be designed in such a manner that is safe for all participants to engage as their full selves.
 - A. The goal for training is to establish a baseline of understanding to facilitate conversations, dismantle institutionalized barriers and create more equitable, inclusive and effective programs, practices, and policies.
 - B. Knowledge, awareness and experience with historical and current inequities and marginalization varies greatly from person to person, so a range of training and coaching options is needed.
- 2) Analyze and develop recommendations (including tools as appropriate) on how PSCC can establish and grow engagement with un/under-represented communities in planning and implementation of community safety goals.
- 3) Facilitate an equity informed visioning, outcomes, and goal setting process.
- 4) Coach members and staff on implementation of one or more recommendations emerging from component two (2) above (this could be part of component three (3)).

Baseline Skills, Knowledge and Experiences

Proposer(s) should demonstrate the following minimum skills/knowledge/experiences.

Note: Multiple Key Persons' qualifications may be combined to meet these minimum Proposer requirements. A team approach would be supported.

- Experience developing and delivering training to policy advisory body and staff who are at different places in their DEIB journey.
- At least three (3) years of experience working to advance Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging.
- At least three (3) years of experience working in some capacity with a government entity.
- At least three (3) years of experience working in some capacity with community based organizations.
- Experience working in some capacity with criminal justice systems or on criminal justice issues.
- Experience working in some capacity with behavioral health/wellness systems or on behavioral health/wellness issues.

- Experience delivering training and facilitating meeting both in person and via virtual formats.
 - Experience with participatory planning and evaluation or similar processes.
 - Background in large and small group facilitation.
 - Demonstratable experience using effective and diverse communications and facilitation skills.
 - Established history working with diverse and varied individuals and organizations.
 - Ability to work with multiple individuals and organizations on **system** level issues.
 - Ability to design and facilitate implementation of non-deficit processes.
 - Ability to analyze issues and develop recommendations for individual as well as collective outcomes.
 - Ability to identify and shift, if and as needed, group dynamics.
 - Flexibility to adapt to changing situations.
 - Ability to design an effective, custom meeting format.
- ❖ Knowledge of Tribal Sovereignty and Oregon cultural communities a plus.
 - ❖ Understanding of cross-cultural psychology and/or cross-cultural communication is a plus.

Process

Once letters of interest have been received and reviewed, a formal Request for Proposal for these services will be issued. Our intent is to remove as many barriers as possible for any and all qualified persons, so please complete all sections of the form to the best of your ability. Should a lack of interest be identified, the process may be revised or delayed in order to obtain the best match of consultants/contractors to the needs.

Submittal

Please use the attached form in your response. You may include an additional statement of interest in your own words not to exceed two (2) pages. Responses are due to **(insert name, address and email)** by 5:00 p.m. PST on April X, 2022. Please note the person(s) who signs the attached form should be someone with contract signing authority for the organization or team.

Letter of Interest Form

Lane County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (PSCC)

via Lane County Parole and Probation

Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Belonging Training & Facilitation

Organization Name or Name of Key Person(s):	
Address:	
Email:	
Phone:	
Contact Name(s):	

Please share any barriers you have experienced in submitting proposals similar to this one and/or in successfully contracting for such work. (For example: issuer’s insurance requirements for contractors, required qualifications such as Master’s or Doctoral degrees, evaluation and selection process misaligned to stated goals, etc.)

Submission of the Letter of Interest form and any optional attached statement of interest (not to exceed two pages) serves as notice that I/we and/or our organization is interested in applying to provide the stated types of services to the Lane County Public Safety Coordinating Council.

Minimum Skills/Knowledge/Experiences:

- Experience developing and delivering training to policy advisory body and staff who are at different places in their DEIB journey.
- At least three (3) years of experience working to advance Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging.

- At least three (3) years of experience working in some capacity with a government entity.
 - At least three (3) years of experience working in some capacity with community based organizations.
 - Experience working in some capacity with criminal justice systems or on criminal justice issues.
 - Experience working in some capacity with behavioral health/wellness systems or on behavioral health/wellness issues.
 - Experience delivering training and facilitating meeting both in person and via virtual formats.
 - Experience with participatory planning and evaluation or similar processes.
 - Background in large and small group facilitation.
 - Demonstratable experience using effective and diverse communications and facilitation skills.
 - Established history working with diverse and varied individuals and organizations.
 - Ability to work with multiple individuals and organizations on **system** level issues.
 - Ability to design and facilitate implementation of non-deficit processes.
 - Ability to analyze issues and develop recommendations for individual as well as collective outcomes.
 - Ability to identify and shift, if and as needed, group dynamics.
 - Flexibility to adapt to changing situations.
 - Ability to design an effective, custom meeting format.
- ❖ Knowledge of Tribal Sovereignty and Oregon cultural communities a plus.
 - ❖ Understanding of cross-cultural psychology and/or cross-cultural communication is a plus.

I hereby certify that I/we and/or our organization meets the above minimum required skills/knowledge/experiences.

Signature:

Printed Name:

Date:

Purpose & Assumptions	Inclusion/Representation	Who is not included and why?	How are we intentionally engaging multiple perspectives?	How have barriers to participation been identified and addressed?
<p>What are we trying to do? Develop equitable RFP and process</p> <p>Additional thoughts/ideas?</p>	<p>Who is included in this process?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PSCC Members - Community Partners potentially from un/underserved communities - Peers/Experts with DEIB Experience potentially from un/underserved communities 	<p>Who is not included and why?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Adults in or have experienced the criminal justice and/or behavioral health systems and/or substance use disorders: don't have seat on PSCC - Potentially Participants from un/underserved communities: don't have seat on PSCC but could be included on evaluation committee. - General Public/Broader Communities Members: this scale not best use of their time or available resources - Education-demand and capacity - Business-demand and capacity - Housing system (outside of reentry)-demand and capacity - Employment Support (LWP?) - Veterans' and other supportive services - Youth: don't have seat as PSCC or WPWG 	<p>How are we intentionally engaging multiple perspectives?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PSCC Members represent the full spectrum of the CJ system and include Behavioral Health members - Including community partners in review process - Including community members with experience and knowledge in DEIB services 	<p>How have barriers to participation been identified and addressed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Applying this equity lens - Spell out and remember "B" is Belonging - Acronyms of the system - Are we using behavioral health to include substance use disorders?
<p>What is the issue, policy, or process being examined? Is proposed work and RFP Process consistent with equity goals.</p> <p>Additional thoughts/ideas?</p>		<p>Not fully given notes in square above.</p>	<p>See above.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - County insurance requirements of contractors may present a barrier to certain respondents particularly those under-represented or those wanting/needing to work as independent consultants <p>Potential Alternatives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A) Work with applicants on reducing amount required B) Run RFP through another entity and not make a requirement proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Posting of RFP will be via electronic formats in English only
<p>What assumptions are brought into the issue/policy/process? RFP is the appropriate process.</p> <p>Certain language is legally required.</p> <p>We should stipend any community partner volunteers who help review proposals.</p> <p>Iterative process with consultant/we are responsible for educational piece for consultant</p>	<p>PSCC WPWG PSCC PSCC Staff</p> <p>Letter of Interest</p>			<p>-As part of work done under RFP consider compensation of all community volunteers</p>

	Inclusion/Representation			
Purpose & Assumptions	Who is included in this process?	Who is not included and why?	How are we intentionally engaging multiple perspectives?	How have barriers to participation been identified and addressed?
<p>We will be a partner with the consultant-collaborative</p> <p>Additional thoughts/ideas?</p>				
Impact/Outcomes				
<p>What are the outcomes we are hoping to create? Gain the knowledge and tools to provide an equitable and effective public safety system.</p> <p>Adult system knowledge and tools aligned with or at least not in conflict with those of the Juvenile Justice system.</p> <p>How do we prevent people from ever entering and going deeper into the CJ system.</p> <p>Establish a well community with access to all needed supports, services and opportunities.</p> <p>Grow non-criminal interventions.</p> <p>How do we effectively work someone out of the CJ system and set up for lifelong success</p> <p>Communicate and message to community how the Public Safety System actually functions and language used</p> <p>Additional thoughts/ideas?</p>	<p>PSCC Members PSCC Staff Some PSCC Member Staff</p>	<p>JJ Committee b/c doing own process.</p>	<p>-Consider ways to share paths and trajectories across Adult and Juvenile system learning</p>	<p>-difference in language between our processes might be a barrier: translation required!</p> <p>-JJ handles pre-disposition cases -Be aware of pieces that uniquely impact kids</p> <p>-more interaction high needs kids have with CJ system, the deeper the criminality that can result</p>
<p>What are the potential unintended outcomes? Participants stagnate or regress in personal journey</p>				

Purpose & Assumptions	Inclusion/Representation Who is included in this process?	Who is not included and why?	How are we intentionally engaging multiple perspectives?	How have barriers to participation been identified and addressed?
<p>Public safety system inequities continue or grow.</p> <p>Adult system efforts inappropriately disjointed from Juv Justice Committee efforts.</p> <p>Internal DEI process confused with the actual work-work should get harder/more complex.</p> <p>Could be polarizing/fracturing to the system(s)</p> <p>Additional thoughts/ideas?</p>				
<p>Are outcomes (intended and unintended) deficit or non-deficit/positive or adverse? Depends on process.</p> <p>Additional thoughts/ideas?</p>				
<p>How will adverse impact be addressed? Establish frequent and regular check-in points throughout process.</p> <p>Consultant would work with us to establish clear agreements about what will happen and how</p> <p>Agreed upon decision making process(es)</p> <p>Willing to be flexible and adaptive as adverse impact is identified.</p> <p>Conduct process debrief</p>				

	Inclusion/Representation			
Purpose & Assumptions	Who is included in this process?	Who is not included and why?	How are we intentionally engaging multiple perspectives?	How have barriers to participation been identified and addressed?
Who is being impacted by this decision (issue, policy or process)? PSCC members, members' participating staff, and everyone interfacing (clients) and their families with public safety system. Additional thoughts/ideas?				
How might this decision increase, decrease, or ignore equity?	Deficit process yields deficit results. Non-deficit process leads to non-deficit results.			
Process/Communication				
How and when will the process be communicated? In print At WPWG and PSCC meetings Via an RFP webinar/open meeting Additional thoughts/ideas?	-Must submit LOI to submit RFP -Use LOI also as litmus for level of interest and our strategy and timelines			-If we don't receive any or many LOI's regroup and consider where, how, why we might need to reframe.
How will you ensure communication takes place in an inclusive, culturally sensitive and responsible manner? -Listen -Be responsive -Be intentional -Tend to impact -Go wide	-			

When applying an equity lens ask yourself (or your work group);

1. **What are we trying to do? What is our goal?** PURPOSE
2. **Who will be impacted and are they being included in the process?** INCLUSION
3. **How might this decision increase, decrease, or ignore equity?** OUTCOMES
Consider Race, Cultural Viewpoint, Gender identity, Age, Country of Origin, Geography, Disability, Class/Socio-Economic Status, Language, the balance of power, etc.

Once you've determined what action you will take, ask yourself (or your work group);

4. **How will we ensure communication takes place in an inclusive and culturally sensitive manner to those impacted?** COMMUNICATION
5. **How will we know if we have accomplished our goal?** EVALUATION

PSCC Grant Update – March 17, 2022

In Progress

1. Oregon Office of Emergency Management, FY2022 State Homeland Security Grant Program – Eugene Police Department on behalf of State’s Bomb Squads, Request – ~\$304,000¹

This regional project provides partial funding for state-wide FBI certified bomb remediation capability. The Bomb Teams supported by this project provide state-wide coverage with potential mutual aid capabilities beyond Oregon. Oregon has four certified bomb teams also referred to as bomb squads – Eugene Police Department, Salem Police Department, Portland Police Bureau, and the Oregon State Police with three regional response squads. These teams have worked together for years developing and maintaining core capabilities. The grant, if awarded, will fill gaps in equipment and training for the State’s Bomb Squads.

2. Oregon Youth Employment Program – Lane Workforce Partnership for Lane Education Service District/Connected Lane County, \$586,260¹

This project address employment preparedness and career pathways for Lane County youth with a focus on BIPOC, rural, low-income, and unhoused youth. The employment and career pathway focus is on high-wage, high-demand sectors for the region, primarily manufacturing technology, construction, and tech.

3. Office of Violence Against Women – Prevent and Respond to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, Stalking and Sex Trafficking Against Children and Youth, \$TBD¹

This project will provide direct services and advocacy to impacted children, youth and families and potentially training and prevention programming.

Awarded

4. Career Technical Education (CTE) Revitalization Grant¹ – Lane Education Service District, \$219,568.00

This project enhances existing and grows additional Healthcare Career Pathways programs including growing the behavioral health component of CTE programs countywide.

Pending

None for this report.

Not Awarded

None for this report.

¹ Grant Writing funded by partners, not PSCC