

PSCC Agenda

Meeting Link*:

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88373234039?pwd=MVh4NWhzM3JuUndBTEVmOXJhcjExZz09

Phone: +1 253 215 8782 Meeting ID: 883 7323 4039 Passcode: 262386

Thursday, May 19, 2022 3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

I.	Call to Order/Welcome/Introductions – Paul Solomon	5 min.
II.	Public Comment	5 min.
III.	Minutes March 17, 2022 Minutes (Action) – Paul Solomon	5 min.
IV.	Intra-System Communication – All	25 min.
V.	Committee Reports	
	A. Budget Committee– Jay Bozievich	10 min.
	1. Grant-in-Aid Recommended Revision (Action)	
	B. Behavioral Health & Criminal Justice Workgroup –	10 min.
	1. Behavioral Health Summit - Pauline Gichohi	
	C. Juvenile Committee – Star Felty	5 min.
	E. Reentry Task Force – Paul Solomon	10 min.
	F. Workplan Workgroup – (Action) – Paul Solomon	10 min.
VI.	Community Justice & Rehabilitation Services – Dumire, Rikhoff, Felty	20 min.
VII.	Legislative Update – Alex Cuyler	10 min.
VIII.	Grant Update – Denise Walters	5 min.
IX.	Adjourn – Paul Solomon	

The 2022 PSCC Meeting Dates are: March 17, May 19, September 15, and November 17 3:00-5:00 pm

PSCC OFFICERS CHAIR, PAUL SOLOMON

Reentry Services Representative VICE CHAIR, JAY BOZIEVICH

County Commissioner

VOTING MEMBERS

TBD Citizen Member

DONOVAN DUMIRE Community Corrections Manager

TOM ENGLISH Citizen Member

STAR FELTY Health & Human Services Youth Services Division Manager

> PAULINE GICHOHI Health & Human Services Director (Mental Health)

> > CLIFTON HARROLD Sheriff

> > > DENIS HIJMANS Citizen Member

JAY MCALPIN Circuit Court Presiding Judge

> PATTY PERLOW District Attorney

BROOK REINHARD Public Defender

ERIN REYNOLDS Florence City Manager

ANDREW SHEARER Chief, Springfield Police Department

CHRIS SKINNER Chief, Eugene Police Department

SARAH STEWART Victim Services Representative

LUCY VINIS Eugene Mayor

JOCELYN WARREN Health & Human Services Public Health Manager (Health)

NON-VOTING MEMBERS

JASON JONES Oregon Youth Authority Supervisor

> STEVE MOKROHISKY County Administrator

> > HANK DUREN Oregon State Police Representative

> > > Public Safety Coordinating Council, 125 East 8th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401 PDF Page 1

PSCC Budget Committee Meeting Notes - Draft

May 4, 2022

Member Attendees: Commissioner Bozievich, Paul Solomon, Donovon Dumire, Clint Riley

Member(s) Absent: Judge Zennache

Other Attendees: Sheriff Clifton Harrold, Carl Wilkerson, Debbie Haller, LCSO; Greg Rikhoff, Matt Ellis, Kelly Burke, CJRS; Jill Allen, LC Administration; Denise Walters, PSCC Staff/LCOG

Lane County Sheriff's Office (LCSO) reported most expenditures are on pace with the exception of the Electronic Monitoring Program (EMP). Judges are increasingly directing people to the EMP and requesting waivers of the fee for equitable access to the program. The 21-23 added an additional EMP for pre-trial of which approximately 100 are currently being served.

Community Justice Rehabilitation Services (CJRS) reported to be on track with the following exceptions. Sex Offender Treatment Services will likely underspend its Grant-in-Aid funds. So, there is possibly \$26,402 to reallocate. CJRS Electronic Monitoring Program is also spending quickly and more funds to this line item would also be helpful. Treatment might appear to spending slower than anticipated, but invoices were just received and we expect to be on pace for expenditures.

Sponsors, Inc. reported while some line items appear underspent that is likely invoice timing. Crisis funds are on pace. Permanent Supportive Housing and Reentry services is a little high, but these funds are spent as people need beds. Sponsors took over nesting of RLAN, which is spending slow as the result of flow of people coming out of the Department of Corrections (DOC). However, based on inreach and screening it looks like flow of people is starting to improve. Generally, it is too soon to tell if there are any funds to repurpose.

There was some discussion of Senate Bill 48 which mandates jails to offer pretrial release programs. Lane County has been ahead of the curve and no jail staff from across the state is coming to look at our program. Currently we have seven and one half (7.5) pretrial staff who are supplemented with three (3) deputy sheriffs. This is all to say funding is crucial to keep this program going as it is now mandated and the right thing to do. Pretrial services are eligible uses of JRI funding and Grant-in-Aid funds must be used for those people on felony supervision.

CJRS will check in with Siuslaw Outreach Services on expenditures and no issues are anticipated with Kids FIRST or Hope and Safety Alliance expenditures.

Evaluation providers tend to invoice infrequently and for large amounts, so given communications on the work, at this time there is no concern about fully expending evaluation monies.

The Budget Committee then considered moving \$26,402 from Sex Offender Treatment to Electronic Monitoring for LCSO and CJRS. To determine how much goes to each department. In addition to running its program, LCSO lends eight (8) devices to CJRS for their program, but CJRS staff do the monitoring. For CJRS the device cost is approximately \$6 per unit per day. The Committee considered moving \$4,680 Grant-in-Aid dollars from Sex Offender Treatment (line 18) to CJRS EMP to cover two (2) units for 13 months under Community Supervision (line 23), and \$21,722 from Sex Offender Treatment to LCSO's EMP Grant-in-Aid funded program (line 9).

MOTION: Paul Solomon motioned to move \$4,680 Grant-in-Aid dollars from Sex Offender Treatment (line 18) to CJRS EMP to cover two (2) units for 13 months under Community Supervision (line 23), and \$21,722 from Sex Offender Treatment to LCSO's EMP Grant-in-Aid funded program (line 9).

SECOND: Donovan Dumire

VOTE: Unanimous with voting members Donovan Dumire, Paul Solomon, and Clint Riley voting. Judge Zennaché was not present.

The Committee then went on to discuss the 23-25 forecast, legislative changes, and the 21-23 \$2 million gap that was filled with other funds. The group determined the earlier conversations were held on budget scenarios and sustainability the better. Staff will schedule another meeting of the Budget Committee in late May or early June.

Lane County 21-23 Programs Recipient July 19, 2021 DRAFT 'JRI	Grant-in-Aid 9.22%	GIA Amount Spent	% GIA Spent at March 31, 2022	GIA remaining	GIA Amendments May 4	JRGP Formula 9.22%	JRGP F Amount Spent	% JRGP F Spent at March 31, 2022	JRGP Formula Amendments	JRGP remaining	JRGP Supp ~12.29%	JRGP Supp Amount Spent	% JRGP Supp Spent at March	JRGP Supp Amendments	JRGP Supp	Grand Total Budgeted	Grand Total spent 2021-2023	Grand Total remaining	Total ARP & Grant in-Aid	Spent ARP GIA Only received	GIA ARPA Additions	Total ARP & JRGP	JRGP ARPA Additions		BY 21-23 Total Spent GIA	BY 21-23 Total Remaining
formula allocation is \$3,983,722 due to min awards to smaller counties	\$284,181,465					\$43,448,159			May 4 2022		\$7,575,438		31, 2022	May 4 2022		2021-2023		2021-2023		ARPA				JRGP, SUPP & ARP	JRGP, SUPP & ARP	GIA, JRGP, SUPP & ARP
Lane County	\$26,183,505.00					\$3,983,722.00					\$930,734,04					\$31.097.961.04			\$30,167,227.00							
Community-Based Custodial Alternatives																										
Electronic Monitoring Program LCSO	\$79.382.80	\$28,820,40	36.319	\$50.542.40	\$21,722.00											\$79.362.80	\$28.820.40	\$50,542,40	\$61.320.00		-\$18.042.80		\$0.00	\$61.320.00	\$28,820,40	0 \$32,499,60
New LCSO EMP (pre-trial) LCSO	\$0.00					\$192,136.00	\$96.068.00	50.00%	Add Needed							\$192,136.00	\$96.068.00	\$96.068.00			\$0.00	\$204,400.00	\$12,264.00	\$204,400.00	\$96.068.00	0 \$108.332.00
Electronic Monitoring Program P&P																\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$34,277.00	\$10,428,59	\$34,277.00		\$0.00	\$34,277.00	\$10,428,59	9 \$23,848,41
Community Service and Work Crew																										
Community Service LCSO	\$92,120.00																\$34,545.00		\$98,000.00		\$5,880.00		\$0.00		\$34,545.00	
Sheriff's Work Crew (25% of Work Crew Budget) LCSO	\$442,270.00	\$165,851.25	37.509	%												\$442,270.00	\$165,851.25	\$276,418.75	\$470,500.00		\$28,230.00		\$0.00	\$470,500.00	\$165,851.25	5 \$304,648.75
Custodial/Sanction Beds																										
Jall (65 beds) LCSO	\$6.887.731.70	\$2,582,899.39	37.509	%												\$6.887.731.70	\$2.582.899.39	\$4.304.832.31	\$7,487,610.00		\$599.878.30		\$0.00	\$7.487.610.00	\$2,582,899,39	9 \$4.904.710.61
Sex Offender Services																										
Sex Offender Treatment CFD. Ctr for Family Development				\$139.203.00	26402 move to 8 and 23												\$88.195.00		\$355.646.00		\$128,248.00		\$0.00			0 \$267.451.00
Supervised Housing for Male Sex Offenders Sponsors	\$80.943.40	\$31,214,87	38.569	\$49.728.53												\$80.943.40	\$31,214,87	\$49.728.53	\$86.110.00		\$5.166.60		\$0.00	\$85.110.00	\$31.214.87	7 \$54.895.13
Substance Abuse & Mental Health																										
Outpatient A&D Treatment/Endeavor Emergence	\$279,786.30	\$74.049.86	26.479	\$205.736.44												\$279.786.30	\$74.049.86	\$205.738.44	\$297.645.00		\$17.858.70		\$0.00	\$297.645.00	\$74.049.88	6 \$223.595.14
Supervision Community Supervision P&P	\$14 881 972 78			6 \$9 129 665 55	54 690 00											\$14,881,972,78					\$298 938 22		\$0.00			3 \$9.428.603.77
		\$5,752,307.23		% \$9,129,665.55 % \$265.890.38			\$352 743 03	39.00%		\$551 652 97							\$5,752,307.23		\$15,180,911.00		\$298,938.22	\$904 396 00				
Justice Involved Women's Initiative P&P		\$154,966.63		\$265,890.38 5168,277.60		\$904,396.00	\$352,743.03	39.00%		\$551,652.97							\$99.074.24				\$7,031.96	\$904,396.00	\$0.00	\$1,332,284.97	\$507,709.66	6 \$824,575.31 4 \$168,277.60
1.0 FTE Mental Health PO P&P (100% GIA) Transition Services	\$267.351.84	899.074.24	37.069	\$168.277.60												\$267.351.84	399.074.24	\$168.277.60	\$257.351.84		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$267.351.84	399.074.24	\$168.277.60
CBT/MET/Incentives/Gender Specific Sconsors	\$14 570.00	\$0.00	0.000	\$14 570.00		6040 544 44	\$102 975 25	30.24%		\$237 568 89						1077 444 44	\$102 975 25	6050 400 00	\$15,500,00	1	\$930.00	\$362 281 00	\$21,736,86	6077 704 00	5400 07F 07	5 \$274 805 75
Crisis Funds Sportsors	\$79,900,00		35 129			5,240,244,14	\$102,975,25	30.24%		3237.200.02						\$79,900,00			\$85,000,00		\$5,100,00	5302.201.00	\$0.00			
Mentoring Program Sportsors	212.200.00	220.0078.00	22.127	001.040.04		9265 029 20	\$105 729 38	39.89%		\$159,298,92						\$265,028,20	\$105 729 38		202.000.00		\$0.00	\$281 945 00	\$16.916.70			
Peer Mentoring/Incentives Sponsors						\$183,348,88	\$73 144 49	39,89%		\$110 204 39						\$183,348,88					\$0.00	\$195,052,00	\$11 703 12	\$195.052.00		
Permanent Supportive Housing - The Oaks Sponsors	\$294,702.22	\$117,567,73	39.899	\$177,134,49												\$294,702.22	\$117,567,73	\$177,134,49	\$313.513.00		\$18,810,78		\$0.00	\$313.513.00	\$117,567,73	3 \$195,945,27
Reentry Services/Transitional Housing Sponsors	\$1,505,936,40	\$615,253,10	40.869	\$890.683.30		\$821.887.13	\$328.386.55	39.96%		\$493,500,58						\$2,327,823,53	\$943.639.65	\$1,384,183,88	\$1.602.060.00		\$96,123,60	\$874.348.00	\$52,460.87	\$2.476.408.00	\$943,639,65	5 \$1.532.768.35
DOC Reentry Grant (RLAN)																										
RLAN LCSO	\$57.640.80																\$10.710.00		\$61.320.00		\$3.679.20		\$0.00	\$61.320.00	\$10.710.00	0 \$50.610.00
RLAN Housing and Support Services Sponsors	\$473.066.28	\$100.875.54	21.329	\$372.190.74												\$473.066.28	\$100.875.54	\$372.190.74	\$503,262.00		\$30,195,72		\$0.00	\$503.262.00	\$100.875.54	4 \$402.386.46
JRI Oregon - 416																										
416 Program Supervision P&P5 Supervisor Y1 and .5 Y2											\$186,577.92		32.71%			\$186,577.92	\$61,022.16 \$95.340.57				\$0.00		\$0.00			\$125,555.76
416 Program Probation Officer P&P - 1.0 PO Y1 & 1.0 PO Y2				-								\$95.340.57 \$82.510.18	33.84%		\$186.437.43 \$135.001.82	\$281.778.00 \$217.512.00		\$186.437.43 \$135.001.82			\$0.00 \$0.00		\$0.00	281.778.00 \$281.778.00 217.512.00 \$217.512.00		
416 Corrections Technician P&P 1FTE 416 Jail Personnel LCSO	-			-							\$217.512.00 \$160.253.76		37.93%			\$160 253 76					\$0.00		\$0.00 \$0.00	217.512.00 \$217.512.00 160.253.76 \$160.253.76		
Other Programs											\$100,200.10	940,000.44	20.00%		9120,120.02	9100,200.10	910,003.114	9120,130.02			40.00		20.00	100,200.10	940,003.44	9120,100.02
Pre-trial Services QJD 2FT RD 5 clerical	\$97 895 47	\$0.00	0.005	\$97 895 47		\$304 257 32	\$0.00	0.00%		\$304 257 32						\$402 152 79	\$0.00	\$402 152 79	\$104 144 12		\$6 248 65	\$381 129 88	\$76.872.56	\$485 274 00	\$0.00	0 \$485.274.00
Batterer Intervention Program Bridges to Safety (17 slots)	10.000		0.007	201.002.71		\$145 275 20		16.50%		\$121 305 92						9145 275 20		\$121 305 92	2107.199.12		\$0.00	\$148 240 00	\$2,964.80			
Community Prosecution Mediation Services CDR						\$56,400.00	\$22,500.00			\$33,900.00						\$56,400.00	\$22,500.00				\$0.00	\$60.000.00	\$3.600.00			
PSCC Staffing - LC share Mutual Support IGA LCOG					1	\$26,222,00	\$12 997 92	35.78%		\$23,334,08						\$36,322,00	\$12,007,02	\$23,334,08			\$0.00	\$26 222 00	\$0.00	\$36,332,00	\$12,007,02	2 \$23.334.08
PSCC Systems Analyst, Admin, LCOG						\$162 074 69	\$2 765 44	1 71%		\$159 309 25						\$162 074 69	\$2 765 44				\$0.00	\$172,419,88	\$10.345.19			
Equity Training & Facilitation Contractor TBD						\$39,158,34	\$0.00			\$39,158,34						\$39 158 34	\$0.00				\$0.00	\$39 158 34	\$0.00			
Equity Emergent Need Fund Contractors TBD			1		1	\$15.000.00	\$0.00			\$15,000.00						\$15,000.00	\$0.00				\$0.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	
Victim Services 10% \$398.372 F & \$84.612.1955						÷.3,000.00	40.00	0.00 %		÷.0,000.00						\$13,000.00	40.00	2.3,000.00			\$0.00	÷.3,000.00	\$0.00	913,000.00	40.00	0.0,000.00
Victim Services Kids' FIRST						\$119,511,60	\$19.287.16	16.14%		\$100,224,44	\$28,204.06	\$4,738,27	16.80%		\$23,465.79	\$147,715.66	\$24.025.43	\$123,690,23			\$0.00	\$119.511.60	\$0.00	28.204.06 \$147.715.66	\$24.025.43	3 \$123,690,23
Victim Services SASS						\$119.511.60	\$44.816.85	37.50%		\$74,694,75	\$28,204.06	\$9.518.88	33.75%		\$18,685,18	\$147.715.66	\$54.335.73	\$93.379.93			\$0.00	\$119.511.60	\$0.00	28.204.06 \$147.715.66	\$54.335.73	3 \$93.379.93
Victim Services Hope & Safety/Womenspace						\$119.511.60		32.57%		\$80,592.00	\$28,204.06	\$4.948.36	17.54%			\$147.715.66					\$0.00	\$119.511.60	\$0.00	28.204.06 \$147.715.66		
Victim Services SDS						\$39.837.20	\$8.337.80	20.93%		\$31,499,40	\$9,401.35	\$1,939.78	20.63%		\$7,461.57	\$49.238.55	\$10.277.58	\$38,960.97			\$0.00	\$39.837.20	\$0.00	9.401.35 \$49.238.55	\$10.277.58	\$38,950.97
Evaluation 3% \$119,512			_																							
Veterans Treatment Court. Strength at Home RCT American University						\$59.756.00		0.00%		\$59,756.00						\$59.756.00	\$0.00	\$59.756.00			\$0.00	\$59.756.00	\$0.00			
Permanent Supportive Housing, The Way Home RCT Sponsors				-		\$59,756.00		0.00%		\$59,756.00						\$59,756.00	\$0.00				\$0.00	\$59,756.00	\$0.00			
Total Expenditures	\$26,183,505.00	\$9,884,390,20	37.753	\$11.660.288.74		\$3,983,722.00	\$1,232,640.75	30.94%		\$2,655,013,24	\$940,135,20	\$300.081.64	31.92%		\$640.053.56	\$31,107,362,20	\$11,417,112,59	\$19,690,249,61	\$27,452,058,93	\$10,428,59	\$1,268,553,93	\$4,192,586,10	\$208.864.10	940.135.20 \$32.584.780.23	\$11,427,541,18	\$21,157,239.05

```
Amendments
May 4, 2022
```

Moved \$4680 from GIA line 18 to GIA line 23 Moved \$21,722 from GIA line 18 to GIA line 9

PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATING COUNCIL MINUTES March 17, 2022 3:00 p.m. Virtual via Zoom

- **PRESENT:** Paul Solomon, Chair; Donovan Dumire, Tom English, Star Felty, Pauline Gichohi, District Attorney Patty Perlow, Reid Kajikawa (substitute for Brook Reinhard), Sheriff Cliff Harrold, Sarah Stewart, Lucy Vinis, voting members; Jason Jones, Lieutenant Hank Duren, nonvoting; Denise Walters, LCOG Staff; Miles Mabray, Mike McCart, Mike Swinford, Kim Fulton, Joe Vigil, Eve Gray, Greg Rice, Jill Allen, guests.
- ABSENT: Jay Bozievich, Vice Chair; Erin Reynolds, Denis Hijmans, Jay Mcalpin, Jocelyn Warren, Andrew Shearer, Chief Chris Skinner, voting members; Steve Mokrohisky, non-voting members.

I. Call to Order/Welcome/Introductions

Chair Solomon called the meeting of the Public Safety Coordinating Council (PSCC) to order at 3:01 p.m. Everyone present introduced themselves.

II. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

III. Minutes January 20, 2022 Minutes

Ms. Walters said that staff had a correction. There had been inconsistency with elective titles. They would make sure that those issues did not continue.

MOTION: Mr. Dumire moved, seconded by Mr. English, to approve the January 20, 2022, PSCC meeting minutes as amended. The motion passed unanimously – 9:0.

Ms. Felty joined the meeting at 3:06 p.m.

IV. 416 Program Evaluation Findings

Dr. McCart, a researcher at the Oregon Social Learning Center (OSLC), was there to talk about the findings from the SB416 program evaluation. He explained that Lane County and Marion County worked together on this program.

The SB416 program was a prison alternative program for non-violent repeat felony property offenders who had an identifiable substance use problem and motivation to change their behavior. The program held offenders accountable to engage in intensive community supervision and case management, substance abuse treatment programming, and mentoring services, and provided direct access to employment services, housing, education, and transportation.

Dr. McCart said that the core principles for the SB416 program were:

- 1. A collaborative approach to promote accountability and rehabilitation
- 2. Use of evidence-based decision making and programming
- 3. Establishing clear expectation and building trust among stakeholders
- 4. Frequent communication and collaboration

Marion County began offering the SB416 program in 2012. In 2015 the Criminal Justice Commission identified the program as promising. That same year a randomized control trial (RCT) began with Marion

County and Lane County participating. OSLC was contracted to conduct the RCT and complete analyses. Offenders were randomized into either the probation as usual track or into the SB416 program.

The RCT outcomes focused on recidivism:

- Arrest for a new crime
- Conviction for a new crime
- Incarceration for a new crime and/or for any other reason

Dr. McCart said that they used the State's definition of recidivism, which meant they had to clear three years. He said that when they finished the RCT there were still some offenders who had not cleared three years. 272 people participated in the program (172 in Marion County and 100 in Lane County). The median age was 32.7 years ranging from 18-58 years, 68% of participants were male, 78% of participants were white (69% in Marion County and 92% in Lane County), and the Public Safety Checklist (PSC) mean was 42 (42 in Marion County and 41 in Lane County).

Dr. McCart said the OSLC wanted to see if rates of recidivism were different between offenders who went to the control group and those who went through SB416. They did not observe any differences. However, when looking at each county separately they saw that in Lane County those in the SB416 program outperformed those in the control group on all recidivism points. In Marion County there was no difference between program successes.

Dr. McCart said that what seemed to have a big impact in Lane County was collaboration and keeping case management loads light.

Sheriff Harrold noted that Marion County had a better resourced Sherriff's Office, District Attorney's Office, and jail.

Dr. McCart said that he looked into program costs. Looking at similar programs it seemed that the SB416 program had similar costs. He said that they had not yet determined the cost-benefit ratio. Chair Solomon thought it would be helpful to figure that out.

Dr. McCart shared that a paper on this study had been published. It was done quickly. He said that there were not a lot of studies on that type of work, and it would greatly benefit the field. He would send the paper to Ms. Walters to send out to the group.

Mr. Kajikawa asked if they had looked into a way to translate the program into a misdemeanor program. He thought those with lower-level offenses could benefit from a similar program. Mr. McCart replied that they had not investigated that yet. He thought that if something like SB416 was done for lower offenses then it would be less intensive to minimize costs. He agreed that it would be beneficial. Mr. Swinford added that they had looked into how to translate the SB416 program into other aspects of their work.

V. Introduction – Eve Gray, Director HHS

Chair Solomon introduced Eve Gray. Ms. Gray is the new Director at the Health & Human Services (HHS) for Lane County. She was a registered nurse and had been in healthcare administration for 11 years. After that she worked at Oregon Medical Group in outpatient services. Ms. Gray was interested in the connections that could be made throughout the county to provide more holistic care.

VI. Intra-System Communication

Mayor Vinis shared that the temporary urban campsite near Jefferson Street had been closed. They had opened a large safe sleep site at 410 Garfield Street. She said that most people from the campsite moved to Garfield Street. However, there were people still camping in public spaces. She noted that City Council had just approved to go into a public hearing a revision to their ordinance. The revision was around people storing possessions or camping in the streets. The proposal would make it so the fine for people storing possessions or camping in the street would increase from \$250 to \$500 or 10 days in jail. Mayor Vinis stated that EPD had focused a lot of their time in February on the downtown area.

District Attorney (DA) Perlow shared that the body transport company that brought deceased to the morgue was retracting their contract because they could not get enough people to work. The County would be taking on some extra costs to keep the body transport company in service with them. Also, she said that the Lane County Traffic Team had been stopping vehicles on the highways who were excessively speeding. DA Perlow reminded everyone that with World Track & Field coming into town there would not be hotels available for people needing to go to court from outside the area.

Mr. Dumire shared that the new Youth & Adult Services department was projects to start in July 2022. They had voted on picking a new name with pending approval from the Board. The leadership teams from Youth and Adult services had met to talk about their goals and branding opportunities. He said that the collaboration so far had been great.

Mr. Mabray joined the meeting at 3:53 p.m.

Sheriff Harrold shared that the public was getting some relief from the mask mandate. The jails still had COVID-19 mandates in place to make sure everyone was safe. He was excited that the courts would be able to get through cases faster. Sherriff Harrold said that they had a monthly meeting with the Oregon Health Authority and every jail manager across the State. Besides that, they had jail specific meetings to make sure all mandates were being met. As they moved out of COVID-19 they were looking at what protocols they should keep going forward.

Chair Solomon looked forward for the opportunity to start meeting in person again.

Mr. Kajikawa shared, as others had said, that courts were starting to move through cases faster again. There were some concerns from other community partners that dockets were full to a level that some people were uncomfortable with. He said that a limit on people allowed in court rooms could be put in place by each court. Recently the legislature had approved additional funding for the Public Defense Services to help them hire more attorneys. Chair Solomon added that the funding would be in the biennium budget.

Ms. Stewart said that they had a few job listings out. They had a new forensic interviewer starting that month who was bilingual, bicultural, and Spanish. She was excited to have a third interviewer joining their team. The trauma informed training for multidisciplinary team members which was originally supposed to happen in January had been rescheduled for May 4, 2022. The in-person registration was already full, but she would provide a link to the virtual registration. Ms. Stewart announced that they were currently looking for new members for their board.

Ms. Felty shared that there was a lot of change in their department which Mr. Dumire had already talked about. Like everyone else they were making changes to their COVID-19 protocols. They had a few positions open for new hires. She said that they were participating in the Performance Based Standards program. It was a national process for detention and residential treatment settings and probation

supervision reentry. The first data collection would be in April 2022. More information would be going out to partners soon.

Ms. Gichohi shared that they were also having staffing issues and were hoping to fill some vacancies. They were working hard to make sure that they did not have to cut services. She said that they were looking for a location in the Eugene-Springfield area for the Crisis Center. They had found one location that seemed to meet all their requirements. Before moving forward with it they were communicating with their stakeholders and getting feedback. Ms. Gichohi said it would be a while before they would potentially move forward with that location.

Mr. English wanted to make a comment as a citizen about the outcomes of the SB416 program. He did not think that any of that would be possible without the modeling of collaboration that the PSCC had done over the years he had been on the Committee.

Lieutenant Duren said that they had a few new troopers recently finishing up training.

Mr. Jones shared that they were developing a new case plan for the whole State. Lane County was piloting the case plan at that time. They hoped to roll it out Statewide in July 2022. A new Assistant Director had been hired for the Community Services department.

Chair Solomon said that they were embarking on a project with Homes for Good to build 51 units of permanent supportive housing. They had applied for more funding than was available through the HOME funds and were pleased to see that the City of Eugene was able to fund the whole project.

VII. Committee Reports

A. Budget Committee

Chair Solomon thought that Commissioner Bozievich, who was absent, would have brought up the current grant and aid projections. Mr. Dumire had sent out an email recently about the percentages of Lane County's share, which stayed around 9.5%. Chair Solomon mentioned that the State funding amount was shrinking. While Lane County's percentage stayed the same, we would be getting less money than originally projected. If nothing changed, we would see significant reductions for the 2023-2025 biennium.

DA Perlow asked how they could get more money. Chair Solomon replied that there was an 1145 work group that was looking into changing the formula for how the money was divided. A lot had changed in the State since the current formula was created. However, this will most likely not be completed in time for the 2023-25 biennium.

B. Behavioral Health & Criminal Justice Workgroup

1. Behavioral Health Summit

Ms. Gichohi shared that the focus was on the Crisis Center. The group was looking at gaps in the system and worked to address those issues. There was a lot of bottlenecking at the State hospital which they wanted to clear up. A consultant had been hired by the State to make recommendations on what could be done to unclog the system to create more access.

C. Juvenile Committee

Ms. Felty said that she was in budget review during the last meeting but received an update. They talked about what was going on at Youth Services. Also, they got an update on the racial and ethnic disparities request for proposal (RFP). Unfortunately, they were unsuccessful and would need to update and put out the RFP again. Until they had a new contract, they would not be able to move forward.

D. Reentry Task Force There were no updates.

- E. Workplan Workgroup
 - 1. Member Recruitment

Chair Solomon stated that they had a seat that needed to be filled quickly. They decided to prioritize brining on a new member who had lived experience or was from an underrepresented community. They decided to wait until the Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Belonging work was further along.

2. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Training Letter of Intent

Chair Solomon asked if they would put out a letter of intent (LOI) before doing a request for proposals. Ms. Walters replied that that was correct. She said that the focus would be on the LOI, and they would talk more about the RFP later.

VIII. Grant Update

Ms. Walters said that the State Bomb Team application was submitted. They used the funding to keep their equipment updated so all areas of the team could respond together.

The Lane ESD Healthcare Pathways for high schoolers and middle schoolers had been funded. Ms. Walters said that they looked forward to expanding the behavioral health career pathway options.

Ms. Stewart said that they applied for a grant for their DV witness project. The grant would also fund some training for law enforcement and foster care providers concerning prevention with children.

Chair Solomon asked if anyone had information on the Violence Against Women Act being renewed and how that money would come into the community. Ms. Walters was not sure. She would come back with information.

Ms. Walters said that Mr. Mabray had been working on the Restorative Justice proposals. Mr. Mabray mentioned that a few people at the meeting would receive requests for letters of support. He appreciated all the support they had received on the grant.

Mr. Vigil added that Lane County treatment courts had been selected by the Center for Court Intervention to do a pilot project on the Risk and Needs Triage (RANT) screening. They were working with the Sherriff's office to finalize the contract.

IX. Adjourn

Chair Solomon adjourned the meeting at 3:41 p.m.

(Minutes recorded by Lydia Dysart)

In Progress

1. Bureau of Justice Assistance, FY 2022 Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program – LCSO – ~\$750,000¹

This project seeks to remove barriers and expand Adult Treatment Court access to those living in rural Lane County with an initial focus on Florence, Oakridge, and South Lane. Funds will be used for a Recovery Navigator/Case Manager in charge of rural engagement, Substance Abuse Counselor who will provide virtual group and session to those who live rurally, to cover urinalysis testing in rural communities, provide access to Wi-Fi for those living rurally, cover the RANT Screening tool, travel costs for Treatment Court Team members to attend BJA endorsed trainings on the treatment court model, evaluation, and administrative costs.

2. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, FY 2022 School Violence Prevention Program– Lane Education Service District – ~\$375,000¹

This project is for safety improvements to the Lane School campus located on City View Street. Lane School is for students from across Lane County and is a short-term behavioral and academic day school designed for students from kindergarten to grade 8 who have been identified with an emotional/behavioral disorder and are experiencing significant difficulties. The campus also is home to EC Cares and Lane ESD's Transitions Program. The Transitions Program Our program creates adult experiences that older students will move into after graduation. It provides a supportive setting to assess and meet the challenges students and families may encounter. Life Skills support services include speech and language therapy, vocational/community training, behavioral consultation, autism consultation, supported inclusion, physical therapy, occupational therapy, and nursing consultation services.

The campus serves consists of several buildings which were never designed for the needs of current uses. This proposal would provide needed systems and equipment to improve campus safety such as intercoms with visual displays, improved protected egress, and video monitoring.

3. Oregon Criminal Justice Commission, Illegal Marijuana Market Enforcement Grant Program LCSO – ~\$4,000,000

This proposal is developed entirely by LCSO staff, however, a letter of support was requested from and provided by the PSCC Chair. This project will fill critical infrastructure gaps that have given Lane County a reputation as being a safe haven for large scale illegal marijuana grows and associated human trafficking for labor and/or for affiliated sex trade operations. Funding would cover one sergeant and three detectives from the Lane County Sheriff's Office, a prosecutor from the Lane County District Attorney's Office, equipment such as a light lab, and over-time costs for other deputies to assist with the warrants and removal of the illegal marijuana grow operations.

Awarded

4. Oregon Youth Employment Program – Lane Workforce Partnership for Lane Education Service District/Connected Lane County, requested \$586,260/received \$556,947¹

This project address employment preparedness and career pathways for Lane County youth with a focus on BIPOC, rural, low-income, and unhoused youth. The employment and career pathway focus is on high-wage, high-demand sectors for the region, primarily manufacturing technology, construction, and tech.

Not a PSCC proposal, but Center for Dispute Resolution was successful with their Restorative Justice proposal.

Pending

5. Oregon Office of Emergency Management, FY2022 State Homeland Security Grant Program – Eugene Police Department on behalf of State's Bomb Squads, Request – ~\$304,000¹

This regional project provides partial funding for state-wide FBI certified bomb remediation capability. The Bomb Teams supported by this project provide state-wide coverage with potential mutual aid capabilities beyond Oregon. Oregon has four certified bomb teams also referred to as bomb squads – Eugene Police Department, Salem Police Department, Portland Police Bureau, and the Oregon State Police with three regional response squads. These teams have worked together for years developing and maintaining core capabilities. The grant, if awarded, will fill gaps in equipment and training for the State's Bomb Squads.

6. Office of Violence Against Women – Prevent and Respond to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, Stalking and Sex Trafficking Against Children and Youth, \$500,000¹

This project will provide direct services and advocacy to impacted children, youth and families and potentially training and prevention programming.

Not Awarded

None for this report.

¹ Grant Writing funded by partners, not PSCC