

Central Lane MPO Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program FY 2006 to FY 2009

Central Lane MPO Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program FY2006 to FY2009

Adopted by the Metropolitan Policy Committee on July 13, 2006

Published by
Lane Council of Governments
99 East Broadway, Suite 400
Eugene, Oregon 97401-3111
(541) 682-4283
www.lcog.org

This report was financed in part by the Oregon Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, and the Federal Transit Administration.

RESOLUTION 2006-11

APPROVING THE FY 06-09 MTIP AS MODIFIED

WHEREAS, the Lane Council of Governments (LCOG) Board has been designated by the State of Oregon as the official Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Central Lane region; and

WHEREAS, the LCOG Board has delegated responsibility for MPO policy functions to the Metropolitan Policy Committee (MPC), a committee of officials from Eugene, Springfield, Coburg, Lane County, Lane Transit District, and ODOT; and

WHEREAS, the FY06-09 MTIP was submitted but not adopted by MPC in November 2005 and further, that amendments to the FY05-07 MTIP have been approved and adopted subsequent to November 2005; and

WHEREAS, an Air Quality Conformity Determination for the FY06-09 MTIP was prepared and presented in November 2005; and

WHEREAS, the above-described amendments do not affect the air quality conformity determination or trigger the need for a new air quality conformity determination; and

WHEREAS, analysis has shown that presence or absence of the West Eugene Parkway project does not affect achievement of the carbon monoxide budget as required by the Clean Air Act and the Transportation Conformity Rule; and

WHEREAS, public comment has been provided for the FY06-09 MTIP as submitted in November 2005 and for the subsequent amendments to FY05-07 MTIP; and public comment has been received concerning the West Eugene Parkway project,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- (1) The following changes to the project list of the FY06-09 MTIP are hereby approved: delete West Eugene Parkway Unit 2 environmental mitigation, delete Unit 1A modernization project, add a project to closeout the West Eugene Parkway NEPA environmental analysis, and modify the remaining projects according to the FY05-07 MTIP amendments adopted subsequent to November 2005, Exhibit C, and
- (2) The FY06-09 MTIP air quality conformity, Exhibit A, with updated project list, emissions table, and financial statement, is hereby approved, and
- (3) The FY06-09 MTIP, Exhibit B, with updated project list, emissions table, and financial statement, is hereby approved.

PASSED AND APPROVED THIS 13th DAY OF JULY, 2006, BY THE METROPOLITAN POLICY COMMITTEE.

ATTEST:

George Kloeppel
Executive Director

Lane Council of Governments

Bobby Green, Sr.

Chair

Metropolitan Policy Committee

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
MTIP Requirements	1
Federal Requirements	
Time Period	
Financial Constraint	
Allocation of Surface Transportation Program – Urban (STP-U) Funds	2
Relationship between MTIP and the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)	3
1990 Clean Air Act Amendments	3
Development and Modification of the MTIP	4
Project Lists	5
Description of Project Listings	
Note on Locally Funded Projects	
Table 1: Programmed Projects by Agency and Year	9
Demonstration of Financial Constraint	23
Table 2. FY06-09 Financial Constraint Assessment	
Table 3. Total Project Cost by Fiscal Year for Each Jurisdiction	24
Appendix A: STP-U Fund Allocation Process	27
Initial Screening or Eligibility Criteria	
Factors to Consider in Prioritizing Projects for STP-U Funding	
Scoring System for Evaluation of Proposals	
Need Category Funding Targets	
Figure A-1	
Appendix B: Air Quality Exemptions and Regionally Significant Project	
Description	37
Exempt Projects	37
Projects exempt from regional emissions analyses	39
Appendix C: Transportation Committees of the Central Lane MPO	43
Metropolitan Policy Committee	43
Transportation Planning Committee	43
Citizen Advisory Committee	44
Appendix D: Financial Resources	47
Federal Sources	47
State Sources	48
Local Sources	48

Appendix E: Federal Guidelines for STP-U Funding53	3
Appendix F: Project Location Map59	•
Appendix G: Projects from Prior MTIP63	3

Introduction

The Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) is a listing of transportation improvements scheduled in the Central Lane Transportation Management Area (TMA) during fiscal years 2006-2009. The MTIP lists federally funded and locally funded projects that comprise construction and operational improvements anticipated by local agencies and the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT).

The MTIP contains a four-year listing of anticipated expenditures for locally funded projects drawn from the capital improvement programs of Eugene, Springfield, Coburg, Lane County, Lane Transit District, Willamalane Park and Recreation District, and ODOT. In addition, the MTIP lists projects for which application of specific federal funds will be made in the next four years. Priorities for the use of federal Surface Transportation Program—Urban (STP-U) funds are established during development of the MTIP.

Projects included in the MTIP for receipt of federal funds must also be included in or consistent with the region's long-range transportation plan. As such, the MTIP is an important tool in guiding the implementation of the region's long-term goals and addressing the region's long-range transportation needs.

By adopting the MTIP, the Metropolitan Policy Committee (MPC) has selected the projects identified in Table 1, *Programmed Projects by Agency and Year*, for implementation and funding as scheduled. No additional action by MPC is required for the funding of these projects. The schedule of projects utilizes all of the anticipated federal funds as quickly as possible. If additional funds become available or if a project experiences an unexpected delay, MPC may select other projects from the first three years of the schedule to take advantage of the additional funds or to replace a delayed project.

MTIP Requirements

Federal legislation (23 CFR 450.324) requires that Central Lane Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), in cooperation with the state and transit operators, develop an MTIP that is updated and approved at least every two years by MPC and the Governor. The prior MTIP, FY05-07, was adopted on December 30, 2004 and was conformed on March 23, 2005. Adoption of the FY06-09 MTIP will restart the two year clock.

Copies of the MTIP are provided to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Specific requirements for the MTIP are outlined in various implementation rules developed by FHWA, FTA, and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This section of the MTIP provides a brief explanation of these requirements.

Federal Requirements

Regulations developed to help guide the implementation of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Act of 1991 (ISTEA) and the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) specify several requirements:

Time Period

The MTIP must cover a period of not less than three years, but may cover a longer period if it identifies priorities and financial information for the additional years. As a minimum, the priority list must group the projects that are to be undertaken in each of the years. The MTIP must include all federally funded projects (including pedestrian walkways, bicycle transportation facilities, and transportation enhancement projects) to be funded under Title 23 and the Federal Transit Act, and all regionally significant projects regardless of funding source. In addition, the MTIP must be consistent with funding that is expected to be available during the relevant period, and projects in the MTIP must be consistent with the long-range transportation plan. There must be reasonable opportunity for public comment prior to approval.

Financial Constraint

The MTIP must be financially constrained by year and include a financial plan that demonstrates which projects can be implemented using current revenue sources and which projects are to be implemented using proposed revenue sources. Only projects for which funds are reasonably expected to be available can be included in the MTIP.

Allocation of Surface Transportation Program – Urban (STP-U) Funds

As a Transportation Management Area (TMA), the Central Lane MPO is required to develop a process for allocating the MPO's Federal Surface Transportation Program Urban (STP-U) funds. STP-U funds are allocated and programmed for eligible projects at the discretion of the MPO, following federal guidelines. These federal funds must be matched with local funds or other non-federal funds at a minimum currently set by Congress at 10.27 percent of the total funding. In other words, a project totaling \$100,000 would have a local match of \$10,270 and a federal STP-U component of \$89,730.

The MPO Policy Board has approved a process for the use of a set of screening or eligibility criteria and a set of evaluation criteria to be applied to applications for STP-U funding. MPC approved the criteria and set target funding levels for 4 categories of need. Appendix A provides additional details on the current STP-U

fund allocation process. The application form developed for this process is presented in Figure A-1.

Relationship between MTIP and the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

The frequency and cycle for updating the MTIP must be compatible with Oregon's Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) development and approval process. After approval of the MTIP by MPC and the Governor, the MTIP must be included without modification directly or by reference in the STIP. The portion of the STIP in metropolitan planning area shall be developed by the Central Lane MPO in cooperation with ODOT.

1990 Clean Air Act Amendments

On November 15, 1990, amendments to the Clean Air Act (Act) were approved by the federal government. On June 7, 1991, the EPA and the U.S. Department of Transportation issued guidance for determining conformance of transportation programs with the Act during this interim period. On July 16, 1991, these interim guidelines were provided to the MPOs in Oregon. New conformity guidelines were issued in November 1991, and most recently on July 1, 2004

On March 3, 1995 the Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) adopted new rules regarding the air quality conformity of transportation plans, programs and projects to federal and state implementation plans (the Oregon Conformity State Implementation Plan (SIP)). These rules establish criteria and procedures for determining such conformity. The state rule mirrors, and in some instances is more stringent than, the federal rule. By meeting the state standards for purposes of demonstrating air quality conformity, the federal standards are also met.

The Central Lane TMA region has been redesignated to attainment status for CO and is in the required maintenance period (1994-2014). There has not been a violation of the CO standards since 1980. Demonstration requirements in the state rule include conformity analysis for the regional transportation plan (RTP), the MTIP, and projects contained in the MTIP. A conformity analysis is required to show that any additions to the transportation system do not jeopardize the region's attainment and maintenance of the air quality standards. Specifically, the state rule states that demonstration of conformity for CO is consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget in the CO SIP...

The Eugene-Springfield PM_{10} State Implementation Plan established that emissions from motor vehicles are not a significant contributing factor to overall PM_{10} emissions and concludes that control of emissions from motor vehicles is not necessary to demonstrate attainment of the PM_{10} standards. EPA has approved and concurred that Plan and MTIP

conformity determinations for PM_{10} are not required. There has not been an exceedance of the PM_{10} standards in this area since 1987. The Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority (LRAPA) is in the process of applying to the federal Environmental Protection Agency for a redesignation of the Eugene-Springfield area to attainment status for PM_{10} .

Regional emissions analysis is required on regionally significant projects (Appendix B) located within the 1987 Central Area Transportation Study (CATS) boundary as specified in the Eugene-Springfield CO SIP. This area encompasses the greater downtown Eugene area and is bounded by 5th Avenue on the north, 19th Avenue on the south, Lincoln Street on the west, and Agate Street on the east. EPA has determined that the nature of the CO problem in the Central Lane area is limited to the CATS boundary. All transportation projects within the Central Lane Air Quality Maintenance Area (approximately the Eugene/Springfield UGBs) are subject to the "project-level conformity" requirements.

The conformity analysis for the FY06-09 MTIP has been completed. The Conformity Determination was adopted concurrent with adoption of the MTIP on July 13, 2006. The results of the conformity analysis are as follows:

Carbon Monoxide Emissions Analysis within the CATS boundary

Analysis Year	Tons/Year of	Carbon Monoxide
	SIP motor vehicle budget	Projected Emissions
		All facilities
1990	6,021*	
2002 (Base Year)		2,024
2015		1,051
2025 (RTP Horizon)		965

^{*} Established emissions budget based on Federal Register, Vol. 58, No. 232, Page 64163, December 6, 1993.

Development and Modification of the MTIP

The draft Central Lane MTIP was developed by the Transportation Planning Committee (TPC), the regional staff group which is responsible for most of the technical details of the transportation planning process. The TPC assembled the MTIP from the adopted capital improvement programs (CIPs) of the participating agencies.

TPC recommends the MTIP to the MPC for review and adoption. As the Central Lane TMA policy body, MPC, which is composed of elected or appointed officials from Eugene, Springfield, Lane County, Lane Transit District, Coburg and ODOT, conducts a public hearing and adopts the MTIP. The Citizen's Advisory Committee may also review

and comment on the MTIP. Membership of TPC, MPC and the CAC is shown in Appendix C.

The MTIP may be modified by the MPC. TPC may make specific changes determined to be administrative in nature. These include:

- 1. Deletions of local projects which are provided for information purposes,
- 2. Moving projects from one year to another year in the MTIP period if they do not trigger the need for an air quality conformity determination, or
- 3. Minor cost revisions that do not affect financial constraint of the MTIP or the MTIP's air quality conformity.

Proposals for additions or deletions of regionally significant or federally funded projects must be approved by MPC.

Major projects from prior MTIPs that are not included in the current project list (see next section) are listed in Appendix G.

Project Lists

Table 1 presents the list of Projects by agency and by year, including federally funded projects. Projects in this table are consistent with Regional Transportation Plan policy and include local projects that implement the RTP. This table also indicates if the project is outside the air quality maintenance area, and if not, if it is within the 1987 CATS area. Projects that are exempt from emissions modeling (see Appendix B) are indicated, as is the first analysis year in which a non-exempt project is modeled for the conformity determination. The TPC, as the standing committee for air quality under the Oregon Conformity Rulings, has established criteria for determining regionally significant projects (see Appendix B). For more details, see the corresponding air quality conformity determination.

There are no transportation control measures specified for this area.

Description of Project Listings

Individual projects vary enough that their descriptions are necessarily general. For street projects, all are assumed to be urban cross-section with curb, gutter, underground drainage, and sidewalks, unless otherwise noted. When provisions for bicycles are anticipated, they are specifically mentioned.

Projects are grouped by agency responsible for carrying out the project, and then by year of the first phase programmed.

Project name is prepared based on ODOT conventions, and is the name by which the project is known in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

Project description is the description provided by the project sponsor; due to STIP constraints, this description may be abbreviated when included in the STIP.

RTP project number provides an indication of the consistency of the project with the long-range plan. A number indicates that the project was specifically identified in the 2025 RTP, as adopted on December 9, 2004, and corresponds to its project number. For projects not specifically identified in the RTP, an RTP policy is indicated to demonstrate consistency with the plan.

Air Quality Status indicates whether a project has exempt status (based on State and Federal rules as described in Appendix B) or otherwise, lists the first analysis year in which the project was modeled for CO emissions.

Key number is the project number, assigned by ODOT, by which the project is known in the STIP. A project which covers several years may have a different key number for each year.

Fiscal Year is the Federal fiscal year in which the funds for the indicated project phase or stage are expected to be obligated through a contractual or intergovernmental agreement.

Phase indicates the type of work undertaken in the year indicated. For projects other than transit or study, this is typically planning, preliminary engineering, right of way acquisition, utility relocation, or construction.

Federal Cost and Source indicate the amount of federal funding that is programmed for this phase, and the type of federal funds (see below).

Federal Required Match Cost and Source indicate the amount of local money that must be programmed in order to match the federal funding. This is typically 10.27% or 20% of the total project cost, depending on the federal source.

Other Cost and Source indicates local funds that are programmed for the project phase in excess of any federal funds or local match to federal funds.

Total All Sources indicates the cost estimate of the project phase or stage regardless of fund source.

Costs are only estimates, although some are more refined than others.

Funding source refers to the agencies expected to participate in the project. In some cases, funding agreements have not yet been finalized so agencies listed will not necessarily participate in the project listed. A description of the various

funding sources is provided in Appendix D. Meanings of the abbreviations used in MTIP tables are as follows:

A Assessment of adjacent property owners

C City of Coburg
D Private Developer
E City of Eugene

FAA Federal Aviation Administration FD Federal Demonstration Funds

HBR Highway Bridge Replacement Funds

HCB High Cost Bridge Projects
HEP Hazard Elimination Program
IOF Immediate Opportunity Funds

LC Lane County

LCOG Lane Council of Governments

LTD Lane Transit District
NHS National Highway System

ODOT Oregon Department of Transportation
OTIA Oregon Transportation Investment Act
RRP Rail-Highway Protection (off-system)
RRS Rail-Highway Protection (on-system)

S City of Springfield

Federal Transit Act (FTA), Metropolitan Planning Program

Federal Transit Act (FTA), Formula Funds Federal Transit Act (FTA), Capital Program

Federal Transit Act (FTA), Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Federal Transit Act (FTA) Non-urbanized Area Formula Program

funds

SDC System Development Charge STF Special Transportation Fund STP Surface Transportation Program

STP-Safety Surface Transportation Program – Safety Program
STP-U Surface Transportation Program – TMA/urban areas
STP-E Surface Transportation Program Enhancement

TSM Federal Transportation Systems Management Grants

Eugene, Springfield, and Lane County have remonstrance clauses in their charters that may allow property owners to object to assessments on some types of street projects. Thus, anticipated assessments on some projects may not materialize.

Note on Locally Funded Projects

Since the Eugene-Springfield area is classified as a maintenance area for CO emissions, all regionally significant projects regardless of funding source must be included for informational purposes and air quality analysis. Each metropolitan area has the option of including other projects in the MTIP. For purposes of providing comprehensive information on transportation improvements programmed for the Central Lane area, an attempt has been made to include all major transportation projects in Table 1. Improvements to minor streets and maintenance activities were excluded. Local projects listed in Table 1 are based on adopted local CIPs and the adopted FY06-09 STIP or other local master plans or transportation project approval processes.



Project Name	Project Description	RTP Project	Air Quality Status	Key#	Federal Fiscal	Phase		Fede	eral	Fee	deral Red	uired Match		Γotal d+Req		Othe	r	Total All
		Number	-	-	Year		\$	i	Source		\$	Source	N	latch		\$	Source	Sources
EUGENE Stration in EVOS																		
Starting in FY06	į	i	i	14295	FY06	Plan	\$ 14	48,153	STP-U	\$	16,957	F	\$	165,110	•	42,359	F	\$ 207,469
		į		14875	FY07	Plan		40.000	STP-U	φ \$	4,578		\$	44,578		42,555	L	\$ 207,403
	Allow Eugene Public Works Engineering staff to participate		Exempt / Other -		FY08	 !	<u> </u>	.0,000		<u> </u>	.,		- -	,	}			1
Regional Transportation	and actively collaborate with federal, state, and metro area	RTP Goals #1	planning activities		FY09	i				.j					[
Planning	agencies and governments to form and implement regional transportation plans.	& #2	conducted pursuant to Titles 23 and 49 USC		(Future)			į								i ! !		
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 18	38,153		\$	21,535		\$	209,688	\$	42,359		\$ 252,047
				<u>13379</u>	FY06	Cons	\$ 1,56	60,000	117-STP (Earmark)	\$	-		\$ 1	,560,000			E	\$ 1,560,000
	Reconstruct 8th Avenue (Mill Street to Hilyard Street), 2-lane urban street with parking, curb, gutter and sidewalks. Extend			13379	FY06	Cons	\$ 2	26,763	STP-U	\$	3,063	 Е	\$	29,826	 			\$ 29,826
	Ferry Street (north from 8th Avenue to realigned 6th					! 									 			<u> </u>
Courthouse District	Avenue), 2-lane urban street with parking, curb, gutter and sidewalks. Construct realigned 6th Avenue (Hilyard Street to			<u>13379</u>	FY06	Cons	\$ 1,00	00,000	H920	\$	114,454	Е	\$ 1	,114,454	i 			\$ 1,114,454
Improvemente	High Street), 2-lane urban street for one-way westbound	198	Analysis Year 2015		FY08		İ	į		į			į		İ	j		
	traffic with curb, gutter, sidewalks and separated shared-use path. Project would include new signal at 8th Avenue and				FY09		 	·								 		
	Mill Street intersection as well as improvements to Mill Street, Broadway and Ferry Street				(Future)													
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 2,58	86,763		\$	117,518		\$ 2	,704,281	\$	-		\$ 2,704,28
				14265	FY06	PE	 			1			\$	-	\$ 3,5	570,000	Е	\$ 3,570,000
				<u>14265</u>	FY07	Cons							\$	-	\$ 8,3	330,000	E	\$ 8,330,000
	Extend Patterson St. from Broadway to North of Railroad				FY08		 								;			
tracks to Broadway	Tracks and Construct Underpass	199	Analysis Year 2015	j ! !	FY09					 					<u> </u>			
(Eugene)					(Future)													
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	-		\$	-		\$	-	\$ 11,9	900,000		\$ 11,900,000
			 	13404	FY06	PE	\$ 1	10,000	STP-U	\$	1,145	Е	\$	11,145	\$:	245,855	E	\$ 257,000
	Extend Chad Drive to connect to North Game Farm Road,			<u>13404</u>	FY06	RW									\$ 1	50,000	Е	\$ 150,00
	via Old Coburg Rd, including 2 travel lanes, center turn lane			13404	FY07	Cons	\$ 68	35,000	STP-U	\$	78,401	E	\$	763,401	\$!	564,599	E	\$ 1,328,000
Chad Drive Extension (Eugene)	at intersections, curb, gutter bike lanes and sidewalks on	680	Analysis Year 2015		FY08		 !			 			 !		}			!
	both sides, street trees, street lights, and a traffic signal at	İ			FY09		<u> </u>								[
	Game Farm Road				(Future)										[j		
		ļ			TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 69	95,000		\$	79,546		\$	774,546	\$!	960,454		\$ 1,735,000
				<u>14299</u>	FY06	Cons							\$	-	\$ 2	220,000	LC	\$ 220,000
	Intersection improvements at interchange ramp terminal				FY07					j]		j			
	[South side]. Extend Glenwood Blvd (minor arterial) - Brackenfern				FY08			r. [L		.j					į			
Glenwood Blvd @	Extension. Realign Glenwood Drive (local rd) to form 4 way	254	Analysis Veer 2015	 	FY09	 		<u>-</u>		. <u> </u>					 			<u> </u>
Judkins Point I5 interchg (Eugene)	intersection with Moon Mtn Drive (local rd), Glenwood Blvd,	254	Analysis Year 2015		(Future)										<u> </u>			<u> </u>
ong (Eugono)	Brackenfern. Provide turning lanes and separated movements. Provides access to neighborhood commercial area and East Ridge PUD.				TOTAL FY06-09		\$	-		\$	-		\$	-	\$ 2	220,000		\$ 220,000

Project Name	Project Description	RTP Project	Air Quality Status	Key#	Federal Fiscal	Phase	Fed	eral	Federal Red	quired Match	Total Fed+Reg	Othe	er	Total All
•	, .	Number	,		Year		\$	Source	\$	Source	Match .	\$	Source	Sources
EUGENE	i i		•	4.4000	I 5)/00			=	1 0 0000		I &	•		
				<u>14300</u>	FY06	Cons	\$ 1,800,000	FAA	\$ 90,000	E	\$ 1,890,000	\$ -		\$ 1,890,000
				<u>14300</u>	FY06	Cons	\$ 281,820	FAA	\$ 28,180	E	\$ 310,000			\$ 310,000
Airport Road: mp 1.3	Realign Airport Rd and possible reconfiguration of Airport				FY07	i 								
(at Greenhill Rd) to mp 0.7	Rd/Greenhill Rd airport entrance intersection.	499	Analysis year 2015		FY08	ļ 					. į į			ļ
mp o. <i>t</i>					FY09	 								¦
					(Future)	i 								<u> </u>
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 2,081,820		\$ 118,180		\$ 2,200,000			\$ 2,200,000
				<u>13403</u>	FY06	PE	\$ 40,378	STP-U	\$ 4,622	E	\$ 45,000	· ·	Е	\$ 85,000
Garden Way				<u>13403</u>	FY07	Cons	\$ 347,622	STP-U	\$ 39,786	Е	\$ 387,408	\$ 12,592	Е	\$ 400,000
	Rehabilitate the existing pavement of Garden Way path by	TSI Bikeway	Exempt / Air Quality -		FY08	! ! !								
	overlaying with new concrete surfacing	Policy #1	Bike and Ped facility		FY09	 								
(Eugene)					(Future)	i 								<u> </u>
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 388,000		\$ 44,408		\$ 432,408	\$ 52,592		\$ 485,000
		<u></u>		<u>13377</u>	FY06	Cons	\$ 300,000	STP-U	\$ 34,336	E	\$ 334,336	\$ 56,664	Е	\$ 391,000
Franchista Dath Oite	Data di Marta di Sancia di				FY07									
	Rehabilitate the existing pavement of Fern Ridge path by overlaying with new concrete surfacing; Chambers to City	TSI Bikeway	Exempt / Air Quality -		FY08									
	View	Policy #1	Bike and Ped facility		FY09]			
(=490.10)					(Future)									1
					TOTAL FY06-09	{ !	\$ 300,000		\$ 34,336		\$ 334,336	\$ 56,664		\$ 391,000
				13399	FY06	PE	\$ 26,880	STP-U	\$ 3,077	E	\$ 29,957	\$ -		\$ 29,957
	Enhance Monroe/Friendly corridor to make it a "bike arterial;"			13399	FY07	Cons	\$ 197,120	STP-U	\$ 22,561	Е	\$ 219,681	\$ 362	Е	\$ 220,043
Monroe St/Friendly	Project still in planning/scoping phase; May include bike lane				FY08				.		·			
St:Willamette R-28th	or route on either Monroe Street or Friendly Street between	172, 251	Exempt / Air Quality -		FY09				 		·			
St(Eugene)	Ruth Bascom Riverbank Trail and 28th Avenue. Possible		Bike and Ped facility		(Future)	 !								
	intersection and operational changes to improve safety for cyclists through corridor				TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 224,000		\$ 25,638		\$ 249,638	\$ 362		\$ 250,000
				TBD	FY06	PE	\$ 315,600	HY10	\$ 36,122	E	\$ 351,722	\$ -		\$ 351,722
				TBD	FY06	RW	\$ 250,000	HY10	\$ 28,614	E	\$ 278,614			\$ 278,614
				TBD	FY06	Cons	\$ 2,314,400	LY10	\$ 264,893	<u></u> Е	\$ 2,579,293			\$ 2,579,293
Delta Ponds Bike	Construct 12 ft concrete path from Eastbank trail at			100		COIIS	\$ 2,314,400	L110	φ 204,093		φ 2,379,293			Ψ 2,379,293
Path: East Bank Trail to Robin Hood Lane	Goodpasture Island Rd east to Robin Hood;	637	Exempt / Air Quality - Bike and Ped facility		FY07	! ! 		 		 	.			-
(Eugene)			bike and Ped facility		FY08				i 					
(Edgono)					FY09						1			
					(Future)				1]			
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 2,880,000		\$ 329,629		\$ 3,209,629	\$ -		\$ 3,209,629
				TBD	FY06	PE	\$ 120,000	HY10	\$ 13,735	E	\$ 133,735			\$ 133,735
	The next phase of work for the Eugene Depot, funded by the SAFETEA_LU bill, will include site improvements near the			TBD	FY06	Cons	\$ 880,000		 		\$ 980,720	·		\$ 980,720
	historic Depot building with new paving, permanent traffic markings and crosswalks, more pedestrian sidewalks, and				FY07				1					1
a Eugene Train Depot v	additional amenities to create an easily recognized public	TSI System- Wide Policy #2-	Exempt / Renovation of transit buildings and		FY08				ļ					
	will receive communications and security improvements to enhance service to the public. The project will also provide	Intermodal Connectivity	structures						<u> </u> 					
	enhance service to the public. The project will also provide paving and lighting on city land along the railroad right of way, enhancing the appearance of the platform area for the rail passenger and coordinated to work with future platform enhancements, rail re-alignments, and a planned track spur.	Connectivity	, Siluctures		FY09	 			 -		 -			
					(Future)									
	,				TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 1,000,000		\$ 114,454		\$ 1,114,454	\$ -		\$ 1,114,454

Project Name	Project Description	RTP Project Number	Air Quality Status	Key#	Federal Fiscal	Phase		Fede	ral	Fe	deral Rec	uired Match	۱ ا	Total Fed+Req		Othe	r		otal All
		Number		-	Year		(\$	Source		\$	Source		Match		\$	Source	- 30	ources
EUGENE																			
Starting in FY07		1		<u> </u>		1				•	-		<u> </u>			-			
					FY06					<u> </u>						<u> </u>			
North Bank Trail:		Finance		<u>13400</u>	FY07	Cons	\$ 7	'14,540	STP-U	\$	81,782	Е	\$	796,322	\$	96,678	Е	\$	893,000
Greenway Bridge - Ferry St Bridge,	Rehabilitate the existing pavement of North Bank Trail path by overlaying with new concrete surfacing	Policies #2 and	Exempt / Air Quality - Bike and Ped facility	 	FY08	i }	- 			- 						 			
resurfacing	by overlaying with new concrete surfacing	#6	bike and Fed facility	 	FY09	! ! 	- 												
3					(Future)			74.4.5.40		-	04.700			700 000	r.	00.070		-	000.00/
				<u> </u> 	TOTAL FY06-09	<u> </u>	\$ 7	'14,540		\$	81,782		\$	796,322	\$	96,678		\$	893,000
	Upgrade Game Farm Road to a three lane urban facility				FY06		-		OTD !!										
	(east of Old Coburg Road to the vicinity of Interstate 5).			TBD	FY07	PE	- 	75,000	STP-U	\$	8,584	E	\$	83,584				\$	83,584
Game Farm Rd: Old	Typical section would match County improvements to the west and existing improvements by Springfield to the east		Exempt - urban standards =	TBD	FY07	Cons	\$ 2	244,000	STP-U	\$	27,927	E	\$	271,927	\$	282,489	E	\$	554,416
Coburg Rd to I-5 (Eugene)	including two 12' travel lanes, 12' center turn lane, and 5'	654	safety/widen	 	FY08	! ! !	<u> </u>									<u></u>			
(Eugene)	bike lanes. A 5' setback sidewalk would be constructed on		lanes/resurfacing	i ! !	FY09		ļ 			<u> </u>									
	the south side with road drainage captured in a roadside ditch on the north side of the street.			i !	(Future)	i ! !	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>		<u> </u>								<u> </u>	
	anch on the north side of the street.				TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 3	19,000		\$	36,511		\$	355,511	\$	282,489		\$	638,000
				!	FY06		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ļ			ļ.						
				<u>14763</u>	FY07	PE	\$ 1	51,000	L220	\$	17,283	Е	\$	168,283				\$	168,283
West Bank Trail:		Multiple TSI		 	FY07		 !			 	i			 ! !		-		- -	
Beaver St - River Ave	Extend the West Bank Trail to the north along the Willamette	bike and ped	Exempt / Air Quality -	14763	FY08	RW	\$	65,000	L220	\$	7,440	Е	\$	72,440				\$	72,440
(Eugene)	River connecting to Beaver St.	policies	Bike and Ped facility	14763	FY09	CN	\$ 8	344.000	L220	\$	96,600	E	\$	940,600				\$	940,600
					(Future)		†											-†	
					TOTAL FY06-09	<u> </u> 	\$ 10	060,000		\$	121.322		\$	1,181,322	\$			\$	1,181,322
Starting in FY08	i	i		i	101/12110000	i	φ 1,0	,00,000		<u>,</u> Ψ	121,022		ΙΨ	1,101,022	Ψ	i		jΨ	1,101,022
Starting in FY09																			
<u> </u>					FY06		Ī	Ī		i						i			
					FY07		 	h.											
Legacy St: Avalon Rd to Royal Ave	Extension of Legacy Street; Construct new 3-lane major	435	Analysis year 2015		FY08	 	-j 	 !		 								- -	
(Eugene)	collector with curb, gutter, sidewalks and bike lanes	430	Analysis year 2015	13394	FY09	PE	 !								\$	332,000	E	\$	332,000
(-3)					(Future-2010)	Cons									\$ ^	1,674,000	Е	\$	1,674,000
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	-		\$	-		\$	-	\$ 2	2,006,000		\$ 2	2,006,000

	Project Description	RTP Project	Air Quality Status	Key #	Federal Fiscal	Phase		Fede	eral	Federal Req	uired Match		I Fed+Req		Othe	er	Total	All Sources
	., p	Number	,	,	Year			\$	Source	\$	Source		Match		\$	Source		
Springfield																		
Starting in FY06	1	ī	1		1	1	-			1	1	1		1	ī		1	
				<u>14298</u>	FY06	Plan	\$	99,276	STP-U	\$ 11,363	Springfield	\$	110,639	\$	1,344	Springfield	\$	111,983
Regional	Allows Springfield Public Works and Development Services	DTD 0	/ O/I	14876	FY07	Plan	\$	40,000	STP-U	\$ 4,578	Springfield	\$	44,578	i !	-		\$	44,578
Transportation	staff to participate and actively collaborate with federal, state, and metro area agencies and governments to form and	#1 & #2	Exempt / Other - Planning MPO		FY08 FY09	i 	. 				 	-		 	 		 	
Planning	implement regional transportation plans.	#14#2	i iailillig ivii O	 	 	! ! !	· 				! !	<u>+</u>		ļ			¦	
					(Future)	! ! !	<u></u>	400.070		¢ 45.044		•	455.047	<u> </u>	1 244		\$	450 504
		<u> </u>			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	139,276	OTD III	\$ 15,941	0	\$	155,217	-	1,344	0 1 5 11	\$	156,561
	Conduct a concept level planning alternatives and public involvement process for Highway 126 (Franklin Boulevard) in			<u>14519</u>	FY06	Plan	\$	175,000	STP-U	\$ 20,030	Springfield	\$	195,030	<u> </u>	33,570	Springfield	\$	228,600
	Springfield. The process will create and evaluate streetscape			<u>14519</u>	FY06 FY07	Plan	· 				! !! !			\$	25,000	LTD	<u> </u>	25,000
OR126B/Franklin	alternatives for Highway 126 from McVay Highway to the	ł	Exempt - Other planning		FY08	 !	·†				i 	 		<u> </u>	<u>†</u>		i	
Blvd-concept	western city limits. A public involvement process will include	#1 & #2	and technical studies		FY09	{ !	†					†		 	 		‡ !	
planning (Springfield)	the Springfield Economic Development Agency (SEDA) in	"'' \ "'			(Future)	 	1				* ! !	†		 	-		+ ! !	
	the decision-making of alternatives culminating in approval by the Springfield City Council and the Oregon Department													<u>. </u>				
	of Transportation (ODOT)				TOTAL FY06-09		\$	175,000		\$ 20,030		\$	195,030	\$	58,570		\$	253,600
				<u>14521</u>	FY06	i	\$	165,625	STP-U	\$ 18,956	Springfield	\$	184,581			Springfield	\$	239,200
	Conduct a project development process to refine the approved Environmental Assessment (EA) preferred			<u>14521</u>	FY06 FY07	Plan	-					-		\$	25,000	LTD	\$	25,000
	laternative for the Gateway/Beltline intersection and conduct			 	FY08	! { ! !	 				! 	+		<u> </u>			‡	
Gateway/Beltline	a public involvement process with a steering committee of		Exempt - Other planning		FY09	(======== 	†			-		†					 	
Project Development	property owners and businesses to assess project	789	and technical studies		(Future)	! ! !								!				
(Springfield)	alternatives. Refinement of the alternative will involve reviewing driveway locations, property easements for access, intersection designs, traffic projections, and width and location of road alignments				TOTAL FY06-09		\$	165,625		\$ 18,956		\$	184,581	\$	79,619		\$	264,200
		<u>.</u>		<u>14304</u>	FY06	PE								\$	250,000	Springfield	\$	250,000
		İ		<u>14304</u>	FY06	RW				; 	i ! !	1		<u></u>	,000,000	Springfield	\$	3,000,000
Gateway/Beltline:		•		<u>14305</u>	FY07	PE	<u> </u>					<u> </u>		\$	500,000	Springfield	\$	500,000
Internatl. Way-Postal	Improve intersections and realign Gateway Rd. Design and	789	Analysis Year 2015	<u>14305</u>	FY07	RW				¦ 	 	. 		\$ 2	,000,000	Springfield	\$	2,000,000
Way(Spfld)	local right of way purchase				FY08	i 	. 				 	-		 	 		<u> </u>	
				 	FY09 (Future)	! {	·					.					ļ	
					TOTAL FY06-09	i !	\$	_		\$ -	i 	\$		\$ 5	,750,000		\$	5,750,000
	!			14336	FY06	Cons	Ψ	_		<u>Ψ</u> -		Ψ				Springfield	\$	1,550,000
				17000	FY07		 				 	† -		<u> </u>	,555,555	Spinighold	 *	.,000,000
					FY08	 	·				! !	†		 	<u>-</u>		 	
	Upgrade to urban standards, jurisdictional transfer; Upgrade		Evenuet curb are		FY09		· [÷		 			 	
42nd St: McKenzie	to a three lane section where feasible including pedestrian		Exempt - urban standards (safety,bike		(Future)	i ! !	†					†		<u> </u>			 	
Hwy to Jasper Rd (Springfield)	islands, sidewalks, bike lanes where feasible, landscaped setbacks, street lighting, curbs and gutters	954	lanes, landscaping,		()													
			lighting)		TOTAL FY06-09		\$	-		\$ -		\$	-	\$ 1	,550,000		\$	1,550,000

Secretarion and culture surchash Southern continues (a) and the secretarion and culture surchash Southern continues (a) and the secretarion and	F	Project Description	RTP Project	Air Quality Status	Key #	Federal Fiscal	Phase		Fed	leral	Fe	ederal Req	uired Match		I Fed+Req		Oth	er	Total	All Source:
Part Part				·	,	Year			\$	Source		\$	Source		Match		\$	Source		
Security Content and Super Active States and super Security States and Security Stat	Springfield		:	:	10100	E)/00	DE.			:			•	•			100.000		i o	100.000
## Secret Funds Sec	F	Reconstruction and urban standards. Structural overlay and				FY06 FV07	l	·			ļ					\$		4i	-4	100,000 50,000
Service from the control of the cont						FY07		\$	431.000	STP-U	\$	49.330	Sprinafield	\$	480.330	\$				1,035,000
	9th Street: Inurston			•		FY08					}-ĭ !			†- <u>*</u>		†- <u>-</u>			- 	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Second processes of the processes of t	ROTO A St.		15					Ţ						Ţ		Ţ		i 	Ĭ	
California 430x Steel Construct signal at Highway 139/43nd Will rare intensation (Stein glieb) Feature (Stein glieb) F	Springrieia)	and gutters, drainage improvements for a channel (drainage		lighting)		(Future)		<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	f	funds), street lighting.				TOTAL FY06-09		\$	431,000		\$	49,330		\$	480,330	\$	704,670		\$	1,185,000
					13417	FY06	Cons									\$	200,000	LaneCo	\$	200,000
Some Document of the content of deficiant of the reservation of the content of deficiant of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reservation and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reconstruction and reconstruction and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new productions are consistent of the reconstruction and reconstruction; and bis lanes; new production and reconstr	DP126 at 12nd Straat (Construct signal at Highway 126/42nd MP ramp intersection:		Exempt / Table 3 -		FY07					 		 ! !	·		 		+ ! !	-+ 	
Spring 42nd onto vestbound OR1/28E Preservation and reconstruction; add bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement cure, sidewalks, illumination, and bike lanes; new veloced parement veloced lanes; new veloced parement veloced lanes; new veloced parement veloced lanes; new veloced parement veloced lanes; new veloced lanes; new veloced lanes; new veloced lanes; new veloced lanes; new veloced lanes; new veloced lanes			799			FY08		<u>.</u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		i !	- 	
Preservation and reconstruction; add bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition; and bite lanes; new decomposition;				1				<u>.</u>			<u> </u>					 		! !!	- 	
Preservation and reconstruction; add bike larnes; new widered powerment, curits, detended, illumination, and administrations. Property of the Kighingleds				interecetion		<u> </u>		-			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		i 	-	
Preservation and reconstruction; add bike lanes; new winder parameter, curbs, sidewalks, illumination, and drainage modifications. Prince (Springfield) Pr						TOTAL FY06-09		\$	-		\$	-		\$	-	\$	200,000		\$	200,000
Property Property					<u>13407</u>	FY06	RW	<u> </u>								\$	100,000	Springfield	\$	100,000
Starting in Front Starting in Starting in	ļ	Proparyation and reconstruction; add hike lance; now			13407		Cons	\$	609,443	STP-U	\$	69,753	Springfield	\$	679,196	\$	505,304	Springfield	\$	1,184,500
Street (Springfield) drainage modifications. Palicy #2 Five Fi			Finance			FY07										‡		∤ ! ↓	-+ -	
Pioner Pkwy-Hayden Bridge to G St.(Springfield) Pawment Preservation. Finance Policy #2 Finance Policy #2 Finance Policy #2 Finance Policy #3 Finance Policy #4 Finance Po			Policy #2			FY08		. .			ļ 			. <u>‡</u>		ļ		i !		
Profession Pro										. i	<u> </u>		i 	. <u>‡</u>		<u> </u>		i † !	- <u> </u>	
Proper Pkwy-Hayden Bridge to Q Str.(Springfield) Pavement Preservation. Pavement Preserv								•	000 440			00.750		<u> </u>	070.400	<u> </u>	005.004	i 	_	4.004.500
Properties Pro	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		40404	<u>:</u>	PF	\$	609,443		³	69,753		\$	679,196	i		Springfield	\$	1,284,500 54,218
Ploneer Pkwy-Hayden Ploneer Pky-Hayden Ploneer P					i	ļ		Φ.	400.000		¢	<i>1</i> 5 782	Springfield	¢	115 782	*	0.,0	J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	•	445,782
Policy #2 Properties of Cateway @ Beltiline Properties of Ca			Finance		13424		00113	Ψ	400,000		Ψ	40,702	Opringinoid	Ψ	440,702	ļ		 !	Ψ	440,702
Control Cont		Pavement Preservation.									<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		! !		
Pedestrian Avenue to McVay Hwy (Springfield) Sidewalks, planter strips, bikelanes- Enhancement Hwy (Springfield) Sidewalks, planter strips, bikelanes- Enhancement Hwy (Springfield) Pedestrian Policy #1 Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian facilities Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement pedestrian	ot.(Opringilola)			Toriabilitation		(Future)] 		
OR126B: Brooklyn Avenue to McVay Hwy (Springfield) Sidewalks, planter strips, bikelanes- Enhancement Hwy (Springfield) Sidewalks, planter strips, bikelanes- Enhancement Hwy (Springfield) Sidewalks, planter strips, bikelanes- Enhancement Holicy #1 Exempt / Air Quality, Transp. Enhancement Policy #1 Exempt / Air						TOTAL FY06-09		\$	400,000		\$	45,782		\$	445,782	\$	54,218		\$	500,000
OR126B: Brooklyn Avenue to McVay Hwy (Springfield) Fedestrian Policy #1 Pedestrian Policy #1 Pedestrian facilities FY06 FY09 (Future) Acquire right of way for the Gateway/Beltline intersection alternative contained in the approved EA for the I-S/Beltline interschange. Implement the EA Phase II preferred alternative: Gateway/Beltline interschange. Implement the EA Phase II preferred (Springfield) Analysis Year 2015 FY06 FY06 FY06 FY06 FY06 FY06 FY08 FY06 FY06 FY08 FY06 FY06 FY08 FY06 FY08 FY06 FY08 FY06 FY08					<u>13257</u>	FY06	PE	\$	62,811	STP-ENHANC	\$	7,189	Springfield	\$	70,000	<u>.</u>			\$	70,000
Avenue to McVay Hwy (Springfield) Sidewalks, planter strips, bikelanes- Enhancement Policy #1 Transp. Enhancement Policy #1 Transp. Enhancement Policy #1 Transp. Enhancement Policy #1 Transp. Enhancement Policy #1 Transp. Enhancement Problem Pro					<u>13257</u>	FY06	RW	\$	161,514	STP-ENHANC	\$	18,486	Springfield	\$	180,000				\$	180,000
Hwy (Springfield)		Sidowalka plantar atrina hikalanga Enhancement	Pedestrian		13257	i	Cons	\$	337,385	STP-ENHANC	\$	38,615	Springfield	\$	376,000	<u> </u>		[\$	376,000
FY09		oldewarks, planter strips, bikelanes- Enhancement	Policy #1			FY07				 	 					<u> </u>		† 		
Starting in FY07 Gateway@Beltline ROW Acquisition (Springfield) Acquire right of way for the Gateway/Beltline preferred intersection alternative contained in the approved EA for the I-Sheltline interchange. Implement the EA Phase II preferred alternative: Gateway/Beltline intersection couplet. PE/planning and public involvement will occur in 2006 under TOTAL FY06-09 \$ 561,710 \$ 64,290 \$ 626,000 \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$.j			 					İ		† ! !	- 	
Starting in FY07 Gateway@Beltline ROW Acquisition (Springfield) Acquire right of way for the Gateway/Beltline preferred intersection alternative contained in the approved EA for the I-5/Beltline interscence couplet. PE/planning and public involvement will occur in 2006 under						(Future)		į			į			į						
Starting in FY07 Gateway@Beltline ROW Acquisition (Springfield) Acquire right of way for the Gateway/Beltline preferred intersection alternative contained in the approved EA for the I-5/Beltline interchange. Implement the EA Phase II preferred alternative: Gateway/Beltline intersection couplet. PE/planning and public involvement will occur in 2006 under						TOTAL FY06-09		\$	561,710		\$	64,290		\$	626,000	\$	-	}	\$	626,000
Gateway@Beltline ROW Acquisition (Springfield) Acquire right of way for the Gateway/Beltline preferred intersection alternative contained in the approved EA for the I-5/Beltline interchange. Implement the EA Phase II preferred alternative: Gateway/Beltline intersection couplet. FY09 Analysis Year 2015 FY09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy09 Fy08 Fy08 Fy08 Fy08 Fy08 Fy09	Starting in FY07															•				
Gateway@Beltline ROW Acquisition (Springfield) Intersection alternative contained in the approved EA for the I-5/Beltline interchange. Implement the EA Phase II preferred alternative: Gateway/Beltline intersection couplet. FY09 FY09 PE/planning and public involvement will occur in 2006 under FY09 FY09 PE/planning and public involvement will occur in 2006 under FY09 F		Acquire right of way for the Gateway/Beltline preferred																		
ROW Acquisition (Springfield) Springfield FY08 FY09	Sateway@Beltline	ntersection alternative contained in the approved EA for the I-	Į.		<u>14653</u>	FY07	RW	\$	408,160	STP-U	\$	46,716	Springfield	\$	454,876	\$	361,444	Springfield	\$	816,320
(Springfield) PE/planning and public involvement will occur in 2006 under	2011/ Acquicition 5		789	Analysis Year 2015		-b				i 	ļ 		i 	<u>.</u>		<u> </u>		i ! !	. .	
[Carinatiald)							. 		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		i ! !	. ‡		 		i 	- 	
a different key number. TOTAL FY06-09 \$ 408,160 \$ 46,716 \$ 454,876 \$ 361,444 \$	a	a different key number.				(Future)		•	400 400		•	40.740		•	4E 4 070	ı e	264 444	i 	Φ.	816,320

Central Lane MPO - FY06-09 MTIP Project List

(Projects within Air Quality CATS area are shaded in grey)

Project Name	Project Description	RTP Project	Air Quality Status	Key #	Federal Fiscal	Phase	Fed	deral	Federal Re	quired Match	Total Fed+Req	Othe	er	Total All
i rojout name	i rojeci zecenpuon	Number	7 iii Quanty Glatag	1109 "	Year	1 11400	\$	Source	\$	Source	- Match	\$	Source	Sources
LANE CO.							<u> </u>		<u> </u>			·		
Starting in FY06														
		! ! !		<u>14501</u>	FY06	Plan	50,000	STP-U	5,723	LaneCo	55,723	6,777	LaneCo	62,500
	Planning and project development activities by Lane County	 	Exempt / Other -	<u>14877</u>	FY07	Plan	25,000	STP-U	2,861	LaneCo	27,861		ļ 	27,861
Lane Co. Regional	Engineering Staff associated with development and	RTP Goals			FY08	! ! !		 	: 	. 	 		 	
Transportation Planning	implementation of regional transportation plans. This involves extensive collaboration with federal, state and	#1 and #2	conducted pursuant to		FY09	i 		i 	i 	<u>-</u>	. <u> </u>		 	
rianning	metro area agencies and governments	! ! !	Titles 23 and 49 USC		(Future)	! ! !								
	general general generalis	i !			TOTAL FY06-09		75,000		8,584		83,584	6,777		90,361
				<u>13432</u>	FY06	Cons	822,500	STP-U	94,139	LaneCo	916,639	728,361	LaneCo	1,645,000
		 			FY07			} !						
Delta Hwy: Green		i I	Exempt / Safety-		FY08	[
Acres Rd to I105	Pavement Preservation	Finance	pavement resurfacing or		FY09	 		 	 					
(Eugene)		Policy #2	rehabilitation		FY09	! ! !		 	: 				ļ 	
		i ! !			(Future)	i 		i {		_i ={	-		i ={	
		! ! !			TOTAL FY06-09		822,500		94,139		916,639	728,361		1,645,000
				13428	FY06	RW						125,000	LaneCo	125,000
		i ! !		13428	FY06	Cons		i i i	i ! !	- 	- 	3,500,000	LaneCo	3,500,000
I D I		! ! !			FY07			L ! !	.L ! !	. 	<u> </u>			
Jasper Road Extension, 57th to	Extend 2 lane arterial	66	Analysis Year 2015		FY08	' 		 			 			
Jasper Road	Exterio 2 iarre arteriai	. 00	i Allalysis real 2015		FY09	i ! !		 	 					
		<u> </u> 			(Future)	! ! !		 		į			į į	
		i ! !			TOTAL FY06-09		0		0	=	0	3,625,000		3,625,000
Starting in FY07		<u>i</u>				i		i	i	<u>i</u>			<u>i</u>	
Starting in FY08														
					FY06	! ! !								
		i !			FY07	i 		i }	i 	. 				
Greenhill Rd: Clear	Addition of shoulders, curbs and gutters, or both, from Clear	! ! !		14302		RW		! !	ļ 			200,000	LaneCo	200,000
	e Lake Rd to Royal Ave. Design to be determined.	454, 485	Exempt - safety	14302		Cons		! 	 	<u> </u>		2,000,000	LaneCo	2,000,000
(Eugene)		i !			FY09	i 		i 	i 	. 			 	
					(Future)	! !		! !						
					TOTAL FY06-09		0		0		0	2,200,000		2,200,000
Starting in FY09														
		i !			FY06	i 		i 	i 	.j			<u> </u>	
	latanta and in the state of the] -			FY07 FY08	! !!		! !!	ļ	- 			†	
Delta/Beltline	Interchange improvements; Interim safety improvements; potentially replace/revise existing ramps and widen Delta	<u> </u>		14268		RW		<u> </u>	ļ	· 	· 	200,000	LaneCo	200,000
Interchange	Highway bridge to five lanes	638	Analysis Year 2015			Cons			<u> </u>				LaneCo	
sionango	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	i 		14268	FY09 (Future)	COHS			ļ	· 		8,000,000	Laneco	8,000,000
							0			<u>-</u>		0.000.000		0.200.000
		i !			TOTAL FY06-09		0		0		0	8,200,000		8,200,000

Project Name	Project Description	RTP Project	Air Quality Status	Key#	Federal Fiscal	Phase		Fede	eral	Fe	ederal Requ	uired Match		ed+Req	Otl	ner		otal All
		Number			Year			\$	Source		\$	Source	IVI	atch	\$	Source	30	ources
LCOG																		
Starting in FY06	:	:		1 4000	EVOC	Dlen	Φ.	200.000	CTD II	: r	24.226	1.000	: r	224 226	Φ.	:	: r	224 226
				14296 14074	FY06 FY07	Plan Plan		300,000 350,000	STP-U STP-U	\$	34,336 40,059	LCOG LCOG	\$	334,336 390,059	. -		<u>\$</u>	334,336 390,059
Cantral Lara MDO		DTD Casla	Francis / Other	<u>14874</u>		Pian	Φ	350,000	317-0	-i Φ	40,059	LCOG	Φ	390,039			\$	390,039
Central Lane MPO UPWP Funding	Fund MPO Work Program Activities	RTP Goals #1 & #2	Exempt / Other - planning MPO	ļ	FY08 FY09		 			-†				 			· †	
or wir i driding		#10/#2	planning wii O	ļ	(Future)	 	 !						<u> </u>				· 	
				<u> </u>	TOTAL FY06-09		\$	650,000		\$	74,395		\$	724,395	\$ -	=	\$	724,395
Coburg																		
Starting in FY06																		
		i !		14297	FY06	Plan	\$	82,031	STP-U	\$	9,389	Coburg	\$	91,420	\$ 3,04	9 Coburg	\$	94,469
		Į.	Exempt / Other -	 	FY07		ļ +	 		- -			ļ	 		- 	. 	
Coburg TSP	Update TSP to meet requirements of Periodic Review and	Finance	Planning & Technical	i k	FY08		<u>i</u> +	 		- -			. 	 			. 	
J	TMA	Policy #2	studies	i 	FY09	 	 						}				· 	
		ļ		ļ	(Future)	 	Φ.	00.004		+	0.200		_	04 400	Φ 2.04	<u> </u>	_	04.400
04 41 1 51405		!			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	82,031		\$	9,389		\$	91,420	\$ 3,04	9	\$	94,469
Starting in FY07	<u> </u>	<u>!</u>		<u>!</u>	FY06		<u>i</u>			<u>!</u>			<u>!</u>	!		<u>!</u> !	<u>!</u>	
	Alleria Calcium staff to monticinate and paticular callebrants			1/1970		Plan	¢	15,000	STP-U	\$	1,717		Φ	16,717			\$	16,717
Coburg Regional	Allows Coburg staff to participate and actively collaborate with federal, state, and metro area agencies and	RTP Goals	Exempt / Other -	<u>14879</u>	FY07 FY08	i iaii	Ψ	13,000	311 -0	- -Ψ	1,717		Ψ	10,717			Ψ	10,717
Transportation	governments to form and implement regional transportation	#1 and #2	Planning & Technical	k ! !	FY09		 			- †			<u> </u>				·†	
Planning	plans.		studies	 	(Future)	 	 			<u>-</u>			 !			 !	· 	
				 	TOTAL FY06-09		\$	15,000		\$	1,717		\$	16,717	\$ -		\$	16,717
Willamalane Starting in FY06																		
				14655	FY06			538,380	HY10	\$		Willamalane		600,000		<u> </u>	\$	600,000
	Construct a multi-use loop path along north bank of Middle			<u>14655</u>	FY07	RW	\$	179,460	HY10	\$	20,540	Willamalane	\$	200,000		. - 	\$	200,000
Middle Fork	Fork Willamette River and Springfield Mill Race, with	į		14655	FY08 FY09	Cono	6 4	974,060	LV10	<u>¢</u>	225.040	Willomoloss	e	200.000		- <u> </u>	.	2 200 000
Willamette River	possibly a bridge across the river to Mt. Pisgah. This funds Unit/phase 1 with possible planning activities for		Exempt / Air Quality -	<u>14655</u>	(Future)	Cons	φ I,	374,000	LY10	\$	ZZO,940	Willamalane	φ <u>∠</u>	,∠00,000		<u> </u>	Φ 4	2,200,000
Loop Path: S.2nd St to Clearwater Park, Units 1-4 (Springfield	Units/phases 2-4. Unit 1 is Dorris Ranch Living History Farm	21	Bike and Ped facility		TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 2,	691,900		\$	308,100		\$ 3	,000,000	\$ -		\$:	3,000,000

Central Lane MPO - FY06-09 MTIP Project List

(Projects within Air Quality CATS area are shaded in grey)

Project Name	Project Description	RTP Project	Air Quality Status	Key#	Federal Fiscal	Phase		Fede	ral	Fed	leral Requi	red Match	Tot	al Fed+Req	Other		Tota	ıl All Source
•	<u> </u>	Number			Year			\$	Source		\$	Source		Match	\$	Source		
ODOT Starting in FY06																		
Starting in F100		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	1	<u> </u>	 		Amber Alert	<u> </u>	- 1					! !	<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>		14292	FY06	PE	\$	17,049	(4210)	\$	1,951	State	\$	19,000		 	\$	19,00
				14292	FY06	PE	\$	88,833	STP-H240	\$	10,167	State	\$	99,000		 	\$	99,00
				14292	FY06	RW	Ţ		; 	Ţ				\$	1,000	State	\$	1,00
Region 2 Variable	Operational ITS Improvements - Vehicle Management System; Within the MPO area the project consists of variable			14292	FY06	Cons	\$	213,557	Amber Alert (4210)	\$	24,443	State	\$	238,000 \$	1,459,000	State BikePed	\$	1,697,00
Message Signs also known locally as	manage signs on LE 9 20th Avenue and ODGO west of	TSI System	Exempt / Other -	14292	FY07		- 		(4210)	· †			-┼	<u> </u>		Dikereu	 	
also known locally as 5 @30th & Beltline	River Road;	Wide Policy			FY08		_ 									t t L		
Hwy west of River Rd	Funding shown is for entire project, including VMS projects	#1	informational signs		FY09		- 			. 						i 	 	
TS)	outside the TMA.	 			(Future)		<u> </u>			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		i 	<u> </u>	
		į			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	319,439		\$	36,561		\$	356,000 \$	1,460,000	i !	\$	1,816,00
	i i	<u>i</u> i	i i	<u>12581</u>	FY06	Other	\$	289,828	STP-L240	\$	33,172	State	\$	323,000		i i	\$	323,00
		!		12581	FY06	RW	\$	71,784	STP-L240	\$	8,216	State	\$	80,000		t	\$	80,00
Springfield-Creswell	Safety project; Springfield south city limits to Jasper	Roadway	Exempt / Safety -	40504	FY07	0	•	0.004.470	0.75		000 004	01-1-		0.007.000		¦ 	φ	0.007.00
Hwy:Spfld CL to Jasper		Policy #1	shoulder improvements	<u>12581</u>	FY08 FY09	Cons	\$	2,034,179	STP-L240	\$	232,821	State	\$	2,267,000		i 	\$	2,267,00
Bridge	clear zone without realigning curves and profiles.	,	·		(Future)		 -			- 						 	 	
					TOTAL FY06-09		s	2,395,791		\$	274,209		\$	2,670,000 \$			\$	2,670,00
					1017/21 100 03		Ψ	2,000,701		Ψ	214,200		Ψ	2,070,000 φ		OTIA3	Ψ	2,070,00
				<u>14197</u>	FY06	Cons				ļ				\$	20,800,000		\$	20,800,00
		i ! !			<u> </u>								<u> </u>	<u> </u>		B3A1	ļ 	
		!		<u>14197</u>	FY06	Cons				-				\$	13,125,000	OTIA3 B3A0	\$	13,125,00
		<u> </u>		14197	FY06	Cons	\$	6,900,000	H920	\$ 1	1,725,000		\$	8,625,000		<u> </u>	\$	8,625,00
	Interchange modernization: Reconstruct interchange	!		14197	FY06	Cons	- -		 	- -				s	4,475,000	OTIA1	\$	4,475,00
-5 @ Beltline - Unit 1	and I-5; upgrade. Build flyover bridge from I5 to Beltline	606	Analysis Year 2015							·		<u> </u>			4,470,000	B2A0	Ψ	
	Hwy. Phases 1 and 2.	i ! !		<u>14197</u>	FY06	Cons	\$	8,928,135	NHS (H050)	\$ 1	1,021,865	State	\$	9,950,000		i }	\$	9,950,00
					FY07		- 			. 			- 			¦ }	ļ	
					FY08		- 							-		i 	 	
		!			FY09		- 		 	· 				<u> </u>		<u> </u> 	 	
					(Future)		i e			-	<u>_</u> _		-	<u> </u>			-	
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	15,828,135		\$ 2	2,746,865		\$	18,575,000 \$	38,400,000		\$	56,975,00
				<u>14649</u>	FY06 FY07	PE	\$	3,000,000	H660	\$		State	\$	3,000,000		 	\$	3,000,00
		į		ļ	FY07 FY08				i 	· -						i 	 -	
5 @ Coburg nterchange	Environmental and preliminary engineering work toward an interchange improvement	1003	Project outside AQMA		FY09		 		 	†						 	 	
nteronange	interorlange improvement				(Future)		ļ											
		!			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	3,000,000		\$	-		\$	3,000,000 \$	-		\$	3,000,00
				14026	FY06	Cono	e	0 204 000	H920	\$			\$	8,281,000 \$	75,180,000	OTIA III	¢	83,461,00
	Replace five interstate bridges widening to a future 3-lane		Exempt / Safety -	<u>14036</u>	_ <u> </u>	Cons	Ψ	8,281,000	(earmark)	φ	<u>-</u>		Φ	0,201,000 p	75,100,000	(B3A0)	Φ	03,401,00
	configuration in each direction, and stripe for existing two	TSI	widening narrow pavement or		FY07				 							i 	 	
Grade, OTIA 3 Br Bundl 215	le lane condition. Repair three interstate bridges. Lengthen the entrance ramp from OR58 westbound onto I-5 southbound to	Roadway Policy #1	reconstructing bridges	 	FY08 FY09				 !	· 			- 			<u> </u> !	\$	
- 10	provide safe merge distance.	FUIICY#1	(no additional travel		(Future)		<u> </u>			<u> </u>						} ! !	Ť	
	j ,	İ	lanes)	į	TOTAL FY06-09		\$	8,281,000		\$			\$	8,281,000 \$	75,180,000]	\$	83,461,00

Central Lane MPO - FY06-09 MTIP Project List

(Projects within Air Quality CATS area are shaded in grey)

		RTP	4: 0 1: 0: 1	15 "	Federal Fiscal			Federa	al	Federa	al Reguir	ed Match	Tot	al Fed+Reg		Other			
Project Name	Project Description	Project Number	Air Quality Status	Key #	Year	Phase		\$	Source	\$	<u> </u>	Source		Match		\$	Source	Tota	I All Sources
ODOT								<u> </u>		<u> </u>						*			
Starting in FY07																			
		! ! !		07990	FY06 FY07	PE	\$	1,000,000	NHS (H050)	ф 1 <i>i</i>	14,454	State	\$	1,114,454	ļ			\$	1 114 454
		 	Exempt/ environmental	<u>07990</u>	FY08	PE	Φ	1,000,000	NH2 (HU3U)	ф 1	14,454	State	Φ.	1,114,454	<u> </u> 			Ф	1,114,454
OR 126: West Eugene Parkway	Complete EIS preparation and submission.	336	planning and document	 	FY09		· †			+ 	<u>†</u>				 			 -	
raikway		i ! !	preparation		(Future)														
		i ! ! !			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	1,000,000		\$ 1°	14,454		\$	1,114,454	\$	-		\$	1,114,454
		! !			FY06										<u>:</u> 			\$	-
		i 		<u>14559</u>	FY07	RW	\$	688,500	STP-Safety	76	5,500.0	State	\$	765,000				\$	765,000
	Overlay; Safety improvements at Garfield, Fairfield and	<u> </u> 		<u>14559</u>	FY08	Cons	\$	1,941,398	STP	22	22,202	State	\$	2,163,600	\$	288,480	State BikePed	\$	2,452,080
OR99: Barger Ave to	Royal. Improve signing and striping; realign lanes and	TSI	Exempt-Safety-pavement	<u>14559</u>	FY08	Cons	\$	778,896	STP-Safety		86,544	State	\$	865,440	 		DIKEF EU	\$	865,440
Washington/Jefferson Preservation-Safety	provide dual right turns at Garfield; pavement preservation.	Roadway Policy #1	resurfacing, and safety improvements	<u>14559</u>	FY08 FY09	Cons	\$	259,632	HEP (H280)	-b	28,848	State	\$	288,480	<u> </u>			\$	288,480
r roborvation Garaty		l olloy "	improvomonio				I						Ţ		<u> </u>			\$	-
					(Future)		-						-		<u>i </u>			<u> </u>	
		i ! ! !			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	3,668,426		\$ 4	14,094		\$	4,082,520	\$	288,480		\$	4,371,000
		! ! !	Exempt / Safety -		FY06		ļ			ļ 			ļ		ļ			ļ	
	Replace interstate bridges at Willamette River; widen to	TSI	widening narrow	14259	FY07 FY08	PE	- 			 					\$	12,207,000	OTIA-3	\$	12,207,000
I5: Willamette R Bridge -	future 4-lane configuration in each direction and stripe for	Roadway	pavement or	14259	FY09	Cons	-			 			\$		\$	81,962,000	OTIA-3	\$	81,962,000
Bundle 220	existing two lane conditions.	Policy #1	reconstructing bridges (no additional travel	14200	(Future)	00110	†			 			┼~~		Ψ	01,002,000	011110	† *	01,002,000
		! ! !	lanes)		TOTAL FY06-09		\$	_		\$	-		\$	_	\$	94,169,000		\$	94,169,000
					FY06		· ·				_		+		i '	- ,,		· ·	
	Construct ramp and signal improvements. Possible improvements: widen eastbound exit ramp to enable left turn	 - !		12836	FY07	RW				 					\$	1,803,000	OTIA 3	\$	1,803,000
	only, left/thru lane, and right turn lanes at Coburg Rd.	 		12836	FY07	UR	-			¦ 	 				\$	111,000	OTIA 3	\$	111,000
Beitline Hwy @ Coburg	Possibly add right turn to westbound onramp from	622	Analysis Year 2015	12836	FY08 FY09	Cons	 			ļ					Ъ	2,157,000	OTIA 3	\$	2,157,000
rtoad interchange	southbound Coburg Rd.	<u> </u>		 	(Future)		 			 					 			Ψ	
		i ! ! !			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	-		\$	-		\$	-	\$	4,071,000		\$	4,071,000
					FY06										<u> </u>				
	Expansion of Museum of Natural and Cultural History to			TBD	FY07	PE	\$	427,736	HY10	4	48,956	UO	\$	476,692				\$	476,692
Transportation Collection	support transportation enhancement activities throughout the	TSI System-	Exempt- construction of a		FY08		ļ <u></u>						<u>. </u> _		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Facility (UO)	State; repository of artifacts	Wide Policy #1	museum bldg	TBD	FY09 (Future)	Cons	\$	2,072,264	LY10	\$ 23	37,180	UO	\$	2,309,444	 			\$	2,309,444
							_	0.500.000		. 0/	00.400		_	0.700.400	Φ.			s	0.700.400
04 41 1 57400					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	2,500,000		\$ 28	86,136		\$	2,786,136)	- 1		Þ	2,786,136
Starting in FY08					EV06		1				1		_		1			1	
	Phase 3 Interchange modernization - complete southbound	 - - -		 	FY06 FY07		 -			- 					 			 	
IS @ Poltling	freeway exit ramps, and northbound freeway entrance ramp;	 - !		14314	FY08 FY09	Plan	\$	2,691,000	NHS (H050)	\$ 30	09,000	State	\$	3,000,000				\$	3,000,000
Interchange, Unit 2	complete Harlow Road bike/ped flyover; build bicycle/pedestrian facility north of Beltline Hwy.	606	Analysis Year 2015	 			ļ			ļ			<u> </u>		<u> </u>			ļ	
	bicycle/pedestrian raciity north of Bettime Hwy.	<u> </u>			(Future)		-						-		<u>i </u>			<u> </u>	
		 			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	2,691,000		\$ 30	09,000		\$	3,000,000	\$	-		\$	3,000,000
Starting in FY09					F)/22			-		ı					1				
		! ! ! !		 	FY06 FY07		- -			 					 			 	
Beltline Hwy: River Rd to			Exempt / Other -	 	FY08		- 			 	 		+		 			 	
	Development work to prepare for future modernization; includes environmental documentation	555	planning and technical	13669	FY09	Plan	\$	897,300	NHS (H050)	\$ 10	02,700	State	\$	1,000,000	<u> </u>			\$	1,000,000
Development		<u> </u>	studies		(Future)		ļ			 					<u> </u>	·		ļ	
		! ! !			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	897,300		\$ 10	02,700		\$	1,000,000	\$	-		\$	1,000,000
		i	<u> </u>		1.77		i i	,		i	,		ı İ	, ,	<u> </u>				,

Drainet Name	Project Description	RTP	Air Quality Status	Vov.#	Federal Fiscal	Phase		Fede	ral		Federal Requ	ired Match	Total Fed+Req		Other	r	Tr	otal All
Project Name	Project Description	Project	Air Quality Status	Key #	Year	Phase		\$	Source		\$	Source	Match	\$		Source	Sc	ources
Lane Transit District																		
Starting in FY06	1		1		1 .	1	•	1			1		1	1		ı		
	The Transportation Demand Management work performed is			12890	FY06	Other	\$	100,498	STP	\$	11,502	LTD	\$ 112,000	\$			\$	112,000
	regional in its scope of services and programs. The strategic plan for the TDM work performed though the Commuter		Exempt / Other -	12891	FY07	Other	\$	100,498	STP	\$	11,502	LTD	\$ 112,000	\$	-		\$	112,000
Transportation	Solutions Program at LTD incorporates the TDM strategies in the adopted RTP. A TDM Advisory Committee (which is a	TDM Policy	Planning MPO;	13684	FY08	Other	\$	100,498	STP	\$	11,502	LTD	\$ 112,000	\$	-		\$	112,000
Demand Management	sub- committee of the Transportation Planning Committee) oversees the Commuter Solutions Program with committee	#1	Exempt / Air Quality - ride-sharing etc	13685	FY09	Other	\$	100,498	STP	\$	11,502	LTD	\$ 112,000	\$	-		\$	112,000
	members representing Lane Transit District, Lane County,		promotion		(Future)						 							
	LCOG, City of Eugene, City of Springfield, LRAPA, and ODOT.				TOTAL FY06-09		\$	401,992		\$	46,010		\$ 448,002	\$	-		\$	448,002
				<u>13444</u>	FY06	Other	\$	255,400	STP-U	\$	29,232	LTD	\$ 284,632	\$	-		\$	284,632
	Commuter Solutions is the region's TDM program responsible for implementing TDM strategies that compliment RTP goals and policies. The Transportation			<u>13444</u>	FY06	Other	\$	99,600	STP	\$	11,400	LTD	\$ 111,000				\$	111,000
	Demand Management work performed is regional in its scope of services and programs. The strategic plan for the		Exempt / Other -	<u>14652</u>	FY07	Other	\$	350,000	STP-U	\$	40,059	LTD	\$ 390,059	\$	-		\$	390,059
Regional TDM Program - Commuter Solutions	TDM work performed though the Commuter Solutions Program at LTD incorporates the TDM strategies in the adopted RTP. A TDM Advisory Committee (which is a sub-	TDM Policy #1	•		FY08								†	\$	-		\$	-
Solutions	committee of the Transportation Planning Committee), oversees the Commuter Solutions Program with committee		promotion		FY09	L	- 							\$	-		\$	-
	members representing Lane Transit District, Lane County, LCOG, City of Eugene, City of Springfield, LRAPA, and ODOT.				(Future)								 					
	0001.				TOTAL FY06-09		\$	705,000		\$	80,690		\$ 785,690	\$	-		\$	785,690
				<u>14340</u>	FY06	Other	\$	2,800,000	5307	\$	700,000	LTD	\$ 3,500,000		- [\$	3,500,000
Bus Support			Exempt / Mass Transit -	<u>14341</u>	FY07	Other	\$	1,200,000	5307	\$	300,000	LTD	\$ 1,500,000	!	- [i 		1,500,000
Equipment and	This project includes office supplies, computer hardware and	TSI Transit	purchase office etc	TBD TBD	FY08	Other	\$	1,200,000	5307 5307	\$	300,000	LTD LTD	\$ 1,500,000		<u>-</u>	: 		1,500,000
Facilities	software, and other administrative support equipment.	Policy #1	equipment	IBD	FY09	Other	\$	1,200,000	5307	\$	300,000	LID	\$ 1,500,000	\$			\$	1,500,000
					(Future) TOTAL FY06-09		\$	6,400,000		\$	1,600,000		\$ 8,000,000	¢	— i₁		\$	8,000,000
				14456	FY06	Other	Ψ	136,318	STP (H240)	Ψ	15,602	LTD	\$ 151,920				Φ	151,920
			Exempt / Mass Transit -	17700	FY07	Other	Ψ	130,310	311 (11240)	Ψ	13,002	LID	Ψ 131,920	Ψ			Ψ	131,320
LTD Capital -	Purchase computer equipment for automated call center for		purchase of office, shop		FY08		 -											
computer equip and	RideSource dispatch and vehicle preventative maintenance		and operating equipment		FY09		·			 	i		<u> </u>					
vehicle maintenance	services	Policy #2	for existing facilities;		(Future)	 	- -	i		 	i		i			i		
			operating assistance to transit agencies		TOTAL FY06-09		\$	136,318		\$	15,602		\$ 151,920	\$	-		\$	151,920
				<u>14511</u>	FY06	Other	\$	90,000	STP-U	\$	10,300	LTD	\$ 100,300	\$		į	\$	100,300
			5	14511	FY06	Other	\$	63,760	5307	\$	15,940	LTD	\$ 79,700	!	- 1	 !	\$	79,700
Funna Otatian Da	December to the boung of the France Otalies i	4400 4000	Exempt / Mass Transit -	· 	FY07		- 											
Eugene Station Bay	Reconstruct bus bays at the Eugene Station to accommodate EmX and articulated buses	1130, 1330, 1355	Reconstruction or renovation of transit	·	FY08	 	ļ						[
Improvements	accommodate Ema and articulated buses	1333	buildings and structures		FY09	r 							T					
			i i		(Future)		<u> </u>									7 		
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	153,760		\$	26,240		\$ 180,000	\$			\$	180,000

Project Name	Project Description	RTP	Air Quality Status	Key#	Federal Fiscal	Phase		Feder	ral	F	ederal Requ	uired Match	Tota	al Fed+Req		Other		T	otal All
Project Name	Project Description	Project	All Quality Status	Rey #	Year	Filase		\$	Source		\$	Source		Match	\$		Source	Sc	ources
Lane Transit District																			
			I I I	<u>11361</u>	FY06	Other	\$	320,000	5307	\$	80,000	LTD	\$	400,000	\$	-		\$	400,000
	Relocation of Springfield Station -add funds for completion		Exempt / Mass Transit -	i ! !	FY07	r							Ţ						
Springfield Station	of construction of joint development and demolition of old	1135	Reconstruction or		FY08	! ! ! 	ļ	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u>. Ļ</u>				i		
opringilola otation	Springfield Station site. New station is operational (FY05).	1100	renovation of transit		FY09	i 	<u>.</u>	i		i			. 			<u>i</u>	i		
	i op migness e samen e samen e spessmente (* 1 2 2).		buildings and structures	! ! !	(Future)	 	<u> </u>						<u> </u>						
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	320,000		\$	80,000		\$	400,000		-		\$	400,000
				13697	FY06	Other	\$	216,000	STP-U	\$	24,722	LTD	\$	240,722	\$ 29	,278	LTD	\$	270,000
Boarding			Exempt / Mass Transit -	! !	FY07	! ! !	ļ						. 						
		1130, 1330,	construct, renovate	i !	FY08	: 	- <u>-</u>	i					. 						
Shelter	vandal resistent shelter/ADA access improvements	1355	passenger shelters	! !! !	FY09	! !	- }						+						
Replacements					(Future)		 	242.222		-	0.4.=00		+	0.40 =00		—— <u> </u>		•	
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	216,000		\$	24,722		\$	240,722				\$	270,000
	Passenger Boarding Improvements include new shelter			13448	FY06	Other	\$	232,000	5307	\$	58,000	LTD	\$	290,000				\$	290,000
	placements for new service, shelter replacements for		Exempt / Mass Transit -	i !	FY07	i }													
Passenger Boarding		1130, 1330,	construct, renovate	: 	FY08	i 		i					. 						
Improvements	accommodate ADA, and improvements to other stations and	1355	passenger shelters		FY09	! !	. 			-			. 						
	park and rides, including improvements to the station at Lane Community College.			: !	(Future)	<u> </u>		200 000		-	50.000		-	000 000		<u> </u>	i	Φ.	200 000
	Community Conege.			40450	TOTAL FY06-09	0.1	\$	232,000		\$	58,000		\$	290,000		-		\$	290,000
				<u>13450</u>	FY06	Other	\$	260,000	5307	\$	65,000	LTD	\$	325,000				\$	325,000
Automated	Automoted passanger information avatame for fived route	TCI Transit	Exempt / Other -	: 	FY07	; 	-	<u>i</u>					· ‡				i		
Passenger	Automated passenger information systems for fixed route service.	TSI Transit Policy #1	directional and	i 	FY08 FY09	i 	- }	<u></u>					- 						
Information Systems	iscivice.	1 Olicy #1	informational signs	! !	(Future)	! !	-						+						
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	260,000		\$	65,000		\$	325,000	\$			\$	325,000
	<u> </u> 			<u>13451</u>		Other	\$	81,600	5307	\$	20,400	LTD	\$	102,000				\$	102,000
					FY06 FY07	Other	\$	1,600,000	5307	\$	400.000	LTD		2,000,000			:i	<u>Ψ</u>	2,000,000
Radio Infrastructure		TSI Transit	Exempt / Mass Transit -	<u>14342</u>	FY08	Other	Ψ	1,000,000	3307		400,000		ΤΨ	2,000,000	Ψ		<u>-</u>	Ψ	2,000,000
Improvements	Upgrade radio communication system for fixed route service.	Policy #1	purchase operating		FY09	 !	-}						·†						
			equipment	 	(Future)	 !	 -						·†						
			i 	i	TOTAL FY06-09		\$	1,681,600		\$	420,400		\$	2,102,000	\$	_		\$	2,102,000
				<u>14338</u>	FY06	Other	\$	32,000	5307	\$	8,000	LTD	\$	40,000				\$	40,000
				14338	FY06	Other	\$	54,000	STP-U	\$	6,181	LTD	\$	60,181				\$	60,181
Intelligent	This project is for ITS systems for the BRT Franklin Corridor		<u> </u>	<u>14339</u>	FY07	Other	\$	80,000	5307	\$	20,000	LTD	\$	100,000			i	\$	100,000
Transportation	(Phase 1), and includes traffic control interfaces, passenger		Exempt / Mass Transit		FY08		<u> </u>						·†						
System	boarding information, and vehicle tracking systems	Policy #1	operating equipment	j ! !	FY09	; ! !	- 	i		 !	i		Ť			j-: 	- ! !		
					(Future)														
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	166,000		\$	34,181		\$	200,181	\$			\$	200,181
	Five vehicles are being purphased for the Frenklin FV			<u>14588</u>	FY06	Other	\$	1,351,372	5309	\$	337,843	LTD	\$	1,689,215			i	\$	1,689,215
	Five vehicles are being purchased for the Franklin EmX corridor. These vehicles are hybrid electric vehicles, and will			ļ			<u> </u>			, ,			, ,						
	replace diesel-powered vehicles operating in existing service			<u>14588</u>	FY06	Other	Ф	312,887	5307	Þ	78,222	LTD	Ъ	391,109				\$	391,109
	on this corridor, served by the number 11 route. The number		! ! !	! !	FY07	! ! !		į		į	į		-			ĺ	į		
Bus Rapid Transit	11 route will be replaced by the Franklin EmX Corridor	4440	Exempt / Mass Transit -	,	FY08	 ! !	[Ī						
Vehicles-2	Service.	1110	replacement vehicles			}	- }			 			· † -						
	(FY06 project is a continuation of FY05 project where all			 	FY09		ļ	<u></u>		 			<u>.</u>						
	programmed funds could not be expended because of timing				(Future)								<u></u>						
	issues in obtaining all the federal funds; 5309 funds are from FY05 omnibus bill}				TOTAL FY06-09		\$	1,664,259		\$	416,065		\$	2,080,324	\$	-		\$	2,080,324

Project Name	Project Description	RTP	Air Quality Status	Key #	Federal Fiscal	Phase		Feder	al	F	Federal Requ	ired Match	Total Fed+Req	Oth	er	Т	Total All
-	-	Project	All Quality Status	Key#	Year	Filase	,	\$	Source		\$	Source	Match	\$	Source	S	ources
Lane Transit Distric	et e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e																
	Phase One of Bus Rapid Transit, also referred to as the Franklin EmX Corridor, is a four-mile corridor from downtown Eugene to downtown Springfield. The EmX service will provide rapid transit service through exclusive busways, low-			<u>13285</u>	FY06 FY07	Other	\$ 8	,000,000	5307	\$	2,000,000	LTD	\$ 10,000,000				10,000,000
Bus Rapid Transit, Phase One	floor vehicles, pre-paid fare mechanisms, and signal priority. This service will replace existing service, with the same frequency, currently provided by the number 11 route. Due	1115	Analysis Year 2015		FY08								<u> </u>				
	to priority techniques such as exclusive busways and traffic signal priority, as well as hybrid-electric vehicles, it is			 	FY09		 										
	anticipated that emissions for the EmX Franklin Corridor will be less than the existing conditions.				(Future) TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 8	,000,000		\$	2,000,000		\$ 10,000,000	<u> </u>		\$	10,000,000
			<u>!</u> :	14267	FY06	Other		143,500	STP-U	\$	16,424	LTD	\$ 159,924	i	i	\$	159,924
	Project development for the Pioneer Parkway BRT project,			14207	FY07	Other	Ψ	143,300	317-0	Ψ	10,424	LID	139,924	Ψ	<u></u>	φ	133,324
BRT Pioneer Parkwa	ay including alternatives evaluation, public outreach, preliminary	1115	Analysis Veer 2015	!	FY08		 							{ !			
Project Development	engineering and design. NEPA compliance, and New Starts	1115	Analysis Year 2015		FY09									7	1		
	documentation.			¦	(Future)					-							
			1	4.4054	TOTAL FY06-09	011		143,500	5007	\$	16,424	LTD	\$ 159,924			\$	159,924
MI I/ Dawley Dialet				<u>14651</u>	FY06 FY07	Other	\$	240,000	5307	\$	60,000	LTD	\$ 300,000			\$	300,000
MLK Parkway Right of Way Acquisition:	Purchase land for Martin Luther King Parkway right-of-way			! !	FY08		 			· -	 		<u>. </u>	 			
Hayden Bridge to	along BRT Pioneer Parkway corridor	768	Analysis Year 2015		FY09	L	L ! ! L	 ! !					<u> </u>	 			
River Bend Drive				! !	(Future)]			
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	240,000		\$	60,000		\$ 300,000	\$ -		\$	300,000
	Progressive Corridor Enhancement is a project to put in some elements of BRT along a corridor. Three corridors will be developed. Each corridor will have transit signal priority, some wider stop spacing, more passenger shelters at stops, and some service changes. Service changes include			<u>14606</u>	FY06	Other	\$	569,845	5309	\$	142,461	LTD	\$ 712,306			\$	712,306
	establishing a feeder/trunk system (corridor route separated from the neighborhood route), 15-minute corridor service during weekdays, and creating routes that span the metro			<u>14607</u>	FY07	Other	\$	594,621	5309	\$	148,655	LTD	\$ 743,276			\$	743,276
BRT Progressive	area (travel through downtown rather than starting and ending downtown). No new roadway will be constructed.		Analysis Year 2025 with progressive	 	FY08									1 		\$	-
Corridor Enhancement	The first corridor will be from LCC to River Road north of	1115	improvements evaluated at next conformity		FY09											\$	-
	Beltline. This particular project may include a new		determination		(Future)		 ! !						!	! !			
	turnaround/small station north of Beltline. Implementation of the first PCE corridor is expected in fall 2006. The second and third corridors have not been selected.																
	NOTE: SAFETEA-LU earmark programs \$664K and \$669K in FY08 and FY09 subject to Federal appropriation.				TOTAL FY06-09		\$ 1,	,164,466		\$	291,117		\$ 1,455,583	\$ -		\$	1,455,583
			Exempt / Mass Transit -	14555	FY06	Other		686,714	5309	\$	171,679	LTD	\$ 858,393			\$	858,393
	Replacement buses		purchases of new buses	<u>14556</u>	FY07	Other	\$	716,571	5309	\$	179,143	LTD	\$ 895,714	 	 	\$	895,714
LTD Buses	NOTE: SAFETEA-LU earmark programs \$776K and \$806K	1110, 1315	to replace existing	¦	FY08 FY09		}							 		\$ \$	
	in FY08 and FY09 subject to Federal appropriation.		vehicles or for minor	ļ !	(Future)		} !	i			i			<u> </u>		Ψ	
			expansion of fleet	<u> </u>	TOTAL FY06-09	L	\$ 1.	,403,285		\$	350,821		\$ 1,754,106	\$		\$	1,754,106

Due is at Name	Due in at Decembring	RTP	Air Ovelity Status	V #	Federal Fiscal	Dhasa		Feder	al	F	ederal Requ	ired Match	Total Fed	+Req	Ot	her		Total All
Project Name	Project Description	Project	Air Quality Status	Key #	Year	Phase		\$	Source		\$	Source	Matc	1 .	\$	Source	s	Sources
Lane Transit Distric	t																	
				12260	FY06	Other	\$	5,200,000	5307	\$	1,300,000	LTD	\$ 6,50	0,000			\$	6,500,000
				i ! !	FY07		<u>i</u> 	i		<u> </u>	i		<u> </u>	<u>i</u>		 		
Bus Rolling Stock	Replacement rolling stock for fixed-route fleet.	1110	Exempt / Mass Transit -	! !	FY08		<u> </u>			<u> </u>								
ŭ		į	replacement vehicles	i 	FY09		- 			- -			<u> </u>					
					(Future) TOTAL FY06-09		Φ.	5,200,000		\$	1,300,000		\$ 6.50	0,000		=	\$	6 500 000
	<u>i</u>	i		14500		Other	\$	721,402	5307	φ	180,351	LTD	_	1,753		-	<u>\$</u>	6,500,000 901,753
				14589 14589	FY06 FY06	Other	Φ	170,000	STP-U	Φ	19,457	LTD LTD		9,457			Φ	189,457
		i I I		14000	FY07	Other	Ψ	170,000	011 0	Ψ	13,437		Ψ 10	0,401			Ψ	100,407
Bus Rolling Stock-2	Purchase articulated and other buses	1110, 1315	Exempt / Mass Transit -	 	FY08		 			 -								
(2006)			replacement vehicles	j ! !	FY09					<u> </u>	i							
		} !		 	(Future)													
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	891,402		\$	199,808		\$ 1,09	1,210			\$	1,091,210
		i !		<u>14604</u>	FY06	Plan	\$	500,000	5339	\$	125,000	LTD	\$ 62	5,000]	\$	625,000
Due Denid Transit	Alternatives analysis along Dianeer Parkway corridor FA/FIC	į		<u> 14605</u>	FY07	Plan	\$	500,000	5339	\$	125,000	LTD	\$ 62	5,000			\$	625,000
Bus Rapid Transit - Phase II Corridor	Alternatives analysis along Pioneer Parkway corridor, EA/EIS documentation preparation.	1115	Analysis Year 2015	 	FY08		<u> </u>]				
Study	l coccumentation preparation.	1113	Allalysis real 2015	 	FY09		<u>i</u>	i		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	i				
J. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.				! ! !	(Future-FY10)		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			į				
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	1,000,000		\$	250,000			0,000	\$	-	\$	1,250,000
		Transit		<u>14713</u>	FY06	Other	\$	133,005	5316	\$	133,005	LTD		6,010			\$	266,010
		Policy #1;	Exempt / Mass Transit -	<u>14714</u>	FY07	Other	\$	140,189	5316	\$	140,189	LTD		0,378			\$	280,378
Job Access/Reverse		Roadway	operating assistance to	TBD TBD	FY08	Other	\$	151,871	5316	\$	151,871	LTD	\$ 30	3,742			\$	303,742
Commute (JARC)	commute projects under 49 USC 5316	Policy #1;	transit agencies	TBD	FY09	Other	\$	160,146	5316	\$	160,146	LTD	\$ 32	0,292		: 	\$	320,292
		Finance	ŭ	i 	(Future-FY10)		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>				
		Policy #3			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	585,211		\$	585,211			0,422	\$	-	\$	1,170,422
		Transit		<u>14715</u>	FY06	Other	\$	54,790	5317	\$	54,790	LTD		9,580			\$	109,580
		Policy #1;	Exempt / Mass Transit -	<u>14716</u>	FY07 FY08	Other	\$	57,472	5317	\$	57,472	LTD		4,944			\$	114,944
New Freedoms	Provide transportation services and alternatives beyond ADA	Roadway	operating assistance to	TBD	FY08	Other	\$	62,084	5317	\$	62,084	LTD		4,168			\$	124,168
	under 49 USC 5317	Policy #1; Finance	transit agencies	TBD	FY09	Other	\$	80,206	5317	\$	80,206	LTD	\$ 16	0,412			\$	160,412
		Policy #3		! !	(Future-FY10)		<u> </u>			<u> </u>			-			_		
		1 only #6			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	254,552		\$	254,552		\$ 50	9,104	\$	- !	\$	509,104
Starting in FY07		:		:	E)/00			:		:	:		:	:		: :		
			Farmer L / Other	4.4070	FY06	Disa	<u> </u>	05.000	OTD II	<u> </u>	0.004		<u> </u>	7 004				07.004
LTD Regional	Allows LTD staff to participate and actively collaborate with	RTP Goals	Exempt / Other - planning activities	<u>14878</u>	FY07	Plan	Ф	25,000	STP-U	Þ	2,861	LTD	<u> </u>	7,861			Ф	27,861
Transportation	federal, state, and metro area agencies and governments to	#1 and #2	conducted pursuant to		FY08 FY09		·}			- 								
Planning	form and implement regional transportation plans.		Titles 23 and 49 USC		(Future)		- 			- 				 !				
					TOTAL FY06-09		\$	25,000		\$	2,861		\$ 2	7,861		=	\$	27,861
		!			FY06		Ť			Ť	_,00.		Ť	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Ť	
			Exempt / Mass Transit -	14457	FY07	Other	\$	317,366	5307	\$	79,342	LTD	\$ 39	6,708	\$	- -	\$	396,708
		i I I	purchases of new buses	<u>14457</u>	FY07	Other	\$	634,732		\$	72,648	LTD		7,380			\$	707,380
Bus Rolling Stock-2	Purchase articulated and other buses	1110, 1315		<u> </u>	FY08 FY09		- 											
(2007)			vehicles or for minor		FY09		<u> </u>											
			expansion of fleet		(Future)													
		<u> </u>			TOTAL FY06-09		\$	952,098		\$	151,989		\$ 1,10	4,087	\$	-	\$	1,104,087
					FY06		1	!		1	:			İ				
	Danies 44 small busses 4	į	Exempt / Mass Transit -	<u>14455</u>	FY07	Other	\$	1,061,820	STP (H240)	\$	121,530	LTD	\$ 1,18	3,350			\$	1,183,350
LTD Capital - small	Replace 11 small buses, 1 van; and expand with 4 paratransit vehicles for RideSource and 3 for South Lane	1110, 1315	purchases of new buses		FY08													
buses and vehicles	Wheels(outside TMA)	1110, 1315	to replace existing vehicles or for minor	,	FY09													
	Wileels/Outside HVIA)	! ! !	expansion of fleet		(Future)													
		į	- p		TOTAL FY06-09		\$	1,061,820		\$	121,530		\$ 1,18	3,350	\$	-	\$	1,183,350

Demonstration of Financial Constraint

As indicated above, Federal regulations require that the MTIP be financially constrained by year. Specifically, the MTIP:

"shall be financially constrained by year and include a financial plan that demonstrates which projects can be implemented using current revenue sources and which projects are to be implemented using proposed revenue sources"

The financial plan must be developed by the MPO in cooperation with the state and the transit operator. ODOT and the Lane Transit District must provide the MPO with estimates of available federal and state funds, which the MPO must utilize in developing financial plans. Only projects for which construction and operating funds can reasonably be expected to be available may be included. Projects in the first two years of the MTIP must be limited to those for which funds are available or committed. In the case of new funding sources, strategies for ensuring their availability must be identified. In developing the financial analysis, the MPO must take into account all projects and strategies funded under Title 23, U.S.C., the Federal Transit Act, other federal funds, local sources, state assistance, and private participation.

Table 2 below provides a summary of the financial analysis and demonstrates that the MTIP is financially constrained. Revenues in the first two years are committed, as programmed in the capital improvement programs of the local and state jurisdictions. All funds are from current revenue sources.

Table 2. FY06-09 Financial Constraint Assessment

		FY06-09	MTIP (\$)		Total
Description	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY06 - FY09
Total Revenue	\$202,379,075	\$43,102,039	\$15,342,350	99,036,748	\$359,860,211
Total Expenditures	\$202,379,075	\$43,102,039	\$15,342,350	99,036,748	\$359,860,211
Difference Between Revenues & Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Statement of Financial Constraint: Each project included in the financial constraint list of the Central Lane MPO 2025 RTP and programmed in the FY06-09 MTIP has an identified funding source or combination of sources reasonably expected to be available over the planning period. Funds for FY06-07 projects are available or committed.

Table 3 summarizes the costs for each year of the MTIP for each agency.

Table 3. Total Project Cost by Fiscal Year for Each Jurisdiction

Jurisdiction	FY06		FY07		FY08		FY09		
	Federal \$	Other \$	Federal \$	Other \$	Federal \$	Other \$	Federal \$	ō	Other \$
Eugene	\$ 9,073,994	\$ 5,064,795	\$ 2,454,282	\$ 9,567,623	\$ 65,000	\$ 7,440	\$ 844,000	↔	428,600
Springfield	\$ 1,611,054	\$ 6,083,447	\$ 1,279,160	\$ 3,612,520	-	-	-	&	'
Coburg	\$ 82,031	\$ 12,438	\$ 15,000	\$ 1,717	-	- ↔	-	₽	•
Lane Co.	\$ 872,500	\$ 4,460,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 2,861	-	\$ 2,200,000	-	\$	8,200,000
ОДОТ	\$ 27,790,186	\$ 117,864,814	\$ 2,116,236	\$ 14,360,911	\$ 7,705,105	\$ 3,324,895	\$ 2,969,564	\$ 82	\$ 82,301,880
FCOG	\$ 300,000	\$ 34,336	\$ 350,000	\$ 40,059					
Willamalane	\$ 538,380	\$ 61,620	\$ 179,460	\$ 20,540	· &	<u>'</u>	\$ 1,974,060	s	225,940
LTD	\$ 22,824,691	\$ 5,704,788	\$ 7,378,269	\$ 1,698,401	\$ 1,514,453	\$ 525,457	\$ 1,540,850	s	551,854
TOTAL	\$ 63,092,836	\$ 139,286,239	\$ 13,797,407	\$ 29,304,632	\$ 9,284,558	\$ 9,284,558 \$ 6,057,792	\$ 7,328,474		\$ 91,708,274
Overall TOTAL \$202,379,075	\$202,379,075		\$43,102,039		\$15,342,350		\$99,036,748		

Jurisdiction	TOTAL FY06-09	60-90,	
	Federal \$	Other \$	All funds
Eugene	\$ 12,437,276	\$ 15,068,457	\$ 27,505,733
Springfield	\$ 2,890,214	\$ 9,695,967	\$ 12,586,181
Coburg	\$ 97,031	\$ 14,155	\$ 111,186
Lane Co.	\$ 897,500	\$ 14,862,861	\$ 15,760,361
ОБОТ	\$ 40,581,091	\$ 217,852,500	\$ 258,433,591
9007	\$ 650,000	\$ 74,395	\$ 724,395
Willamalane	\$ 2,691,900	\$ 308,100	\$ 3,000,000
LTD	\$ 33,258,263	\$ 8,480,501	\$ 41,738,764
TOTAL	\$ 93,503,275	\$ 266,356,936	\$ 359,860,211

Appendix A STP-U Allocation Process

Appendix A: STP-U Fund Allocation Process

As a Transportation Management Area (TMA), the Central Lane MPO is required to develop a process for allocating the MPO's Federal Surface Transportation Program Urban (STP-U) funds. STP-U funds are allocated and programmed for eligible projects at the discretion of the MPO, following federal guidelines. These federal funds must be matched with local funds or other non-federal funds at a minimum currently set by congress at 10.27 percent of the total funding. In other words, a project totaling \$100,000 would have a local match of \$10,270 and a federal STP-U component of \$89,730.

The MPO Policy Board has approved a process for the use of a set of screening or eligibility criteria and a set of evaluation criteria to be applied to applications for STP-U funding. MPC approved the criteria and set target funding levels for 3 categories of need. This appendix provides additional details on the current STP-U fund allocation process. The application form developed for this process is presented in Figure A-1.

Initial Screening or Eligibility Criteria

A proposal must meet all three of the following criteria to be considered for STP-U funding in the time frame of the MTIP update:

- 1. Included in, or consistent with, the 20-year financially constrained Regional <u>Transportation Plan (RTP)</u>. The project must be <u>either</u>:
 - Included on the 20-year financially constrained project list (e.g. a specific street, bike path, or transit project), or capable of being added to the list by amending the Plan within the MTIP time frame;

or

- Included within a broader category of projects or planning and program actions described in the Plan (e.g. pavement preservation projects, planning activities, TDM programs, etc).
- 2. Eligible for STP-U funding based on federal guidelines. The project or program must meet the federal criteria (see excerpt of federal guidelines for STP-U funding, Appendix E). Most projects within the Central Lane MPO are likely to fit one of the following categories:
- Transportation improvement projects for any surface transportation mode (streets, bridges, bike facilities, sidewalks, transit facilities, traffic operational improvements, etc.)--most of these kinds of projects could also be described as "modernization" projects
- Capital preservation projects such as street overlays and reconstruction (*Note: If the project includes improvement or preservation of a street or road, it must be a collector or arterial. Local streets are not eligible for STP-U funding.*)

- Ongoing or one-time programs such as TDM and transportation planning programs needed to help implement the policies, programs, and projects of the adopted Plan.
- 3. Capable of being implemented within the MTIP time frame. Projects should be capable of being implemented during the fiscal year for which they are proposed in the MTIP. At a minimum, the federal STP-U funding for a project must be obligated (i.e. officially encumbered through state and federal processes) no later than the end of the designated fiscal year.

Factors to Consider in Prioritizing Projects for STP-U Funding

Projects and program proposals are evaluated for relative priority based on consideration of the following three factors:

- 1. The ability of the proposal to leverage other public or private funding. Examples might include other federal funds, local matching funds beyond the required match amount, provision of project right-of-way, or provision of private funding from developers or other private sources.
- 2. The extent to which the proposal addresses one or more of the adopted RTP policies. Each proposed project is assessed for the degree to which it responds to one of more of the adopted policies in the RTP. Some of the policies are likely to be more useful than others for the process of evaluating potential projects to receive STP-U funds for example:
- Policies which provide overall, strategic guidance for one side of the Transportation Triangle--such as Land Use Policy #1: Nodal Development; Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Policy #1: TDM Program Development; and Transportation System Improvements (TSI) Policy #1: Transportation Infrastructure Protection and Management.
- Policies which emphasize the importance of moving forward with implementation for particular modes or program areas--such as TSI Transit Policy #2: Bus Rapid Transit; and TSI Bicycle Policy #4: Priority Bikeways.
- Finance Policies, which form the "base" on which the Transportation Triangle rests, and particularly those finance policies which focus on allocation of specific resources such as Finance Policy #3: Prioritization of State and Federal Revenue; and Finance Policy #5: Short-term Project Priorities.

Many other RTP policies may also be relevant to particular projects, while some of the policies, though important for other purposes, may not be directly useful for this exercise of allocating STP-U funds.

3. The extent to which the proposal addresses one or more of the adopted RTP Alternative Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) Performance Measures. These measures focus on

aspects of plan performance judged most likely to have a positive impact on future reductions in vehicle miles of travel per capita. Each proposed project is assessed for the degree to which it responds to specific alternative performance measures.

Scoring System for Evaluation of Proposals

A simple point system is used to rate and compare the relative merits of proposed projects for STP-U funding as described below for each of the prioritizing factors:

- 1. Priority Factor 1, Leverage: A score of up to 20 points is possible for this criterion, in order to recognize the value of additional funding beyond the minimum match requirement without giving this factor too large a weight in comparison to the policies or performance measures. Points are assigned to each project based on how much local or "other," non-STP-U funding is available for the project above the minimum match requirement of approximately 10 percent. For example:
 - 10 percent match is provided no extra points, since this much local match is required for any STP-U project
 - 20 percent match 5 points
 - 30 percent match 10 points
 - 40 percent match 15 points
 - 50 percent match 20 points
- 2. Priority Factor 2, RTP Policies: A score of up to 60 points is possible for this criterion, in view of the importance of using the adopted policies in the plan for guiding decisions on funding priorities. Points are assigned to each project based on how many RTP policies the project directly addresses. For example:
 - 5 points for each policy that would be directly impacted by the project in a positive manner
 - No more than two policies (10 points) would be counted within the same topic heading (i.e. Land Use; TDM; TSI System-Wide, Roadway, Transit, Bicycle, Pedestrian, Goods Movement, Other Modes; Finance)
 - Maximum of 60 points for this factor
- 3. Priority Factor 3, RTP Alternative TPR Performance Measures: A score of up to 20 points is possible on this criterion, based on the significance of the alternative measures within the overall scope of plan performance and monitoring. Since the alternative measures focus on a narrower range of actions and outcomes than the comprehensive set of concerns addressed by the policies, this factor is not given as much weight as Priority Factor 2, Policies. Points are assigned to each project based on how many alternative measures the project directly addresses. For example:

- 5 points for each alternative measure the project helps to advance
- Maximum of 20 points for this factor

The maximum possible total score summed across all three priority factors for any project is 100 points.

Using this point system, each proposal is scored and then its total point value is compared to other project proposals within the same project category. The point values are a major tool for evaluating and ranking projects within each category, but final adjustments are expected to occur based on factors such as mode balance and a sense of equity among the partner jurisdictions over the time frame of the entire MTIP.

Need Category Funding Targets

In the development of the STP-U fund allocation process it was recognized that there is an inherent difficulty in comparing diverse project proposals with one another, especially given the wide variety of project types that are eligible for these federal funds and the large backlog of needs. For example, any evaluation scheme that attempts to weigh the relative merits of a bikeway project, a resurfacing project on a major arterial, and funding of an ongoing TDM program, is likely to produce outcomes that are overly favorable to one or two types of projects while totally excluding other types. In order to help achieve a degree of balance among the competing project priorities MPC approved a process similar to the process used for establishing the statewide STIP priorities. In the STIP process, policy direction is established for major categories of need – for example, modernization, preservation, etc. Along these lines, MPC approved an overall framework of four major project categories, and preliminary funding targets for each broad category, as follows:

1. System Modernization: The majority of previous STP-funded projects have consisted of examples such as reconstruction of major streets to bring them up to urban standards; construction of off-street bike paths; traffic operational improvements such as new signals or intersection reconstruction; and addition of transit enhancements such as passenger shelters, park and ride lots, and so forth. All of these examples could be considered "modernization" projects since they either provide a new facility, or upgrade and expand an existing one. While the large modernization projects on the state highway system will likely continue to require major funding by ODOT, there are numerous local agency projects in the RTP that also fall within this broad category. Moreover, as in past MTIPs, the modernization category includes examples within all of the modes--roadway, transit, bike and pedestrian.

In order to address the highest-priority projects across the range of travel modes, a target of 20 percent of STP-U funding in the 2006-2009 MTIP for system modernization projects has been established.

<u>2. System Preservation:</u> Preserving the life and functionality of the existing transportation system is recognized as one of the highest priorities for all levels of government who share responsibility for the system. Again, this is a multi-modal issue. While local roadways have the greatest backlog of need and represent the foundation for all the other modes, there are

also needs related to off-street bicycle and pedestrian paths, and the ongoing preservation and vehicle replacement cycle of the transit system. Because of the fundamental importance and the current deep backlog of preservation needs, especially on the street system, a target of 50 percent of the STP-U funding for 2006-2009 for allocation to system preservation has been established.

3. Transportation Planning and Project Development: This category includes two different types of activities. The first type is ongoing transportation planning in support of the overall metro-wide planning process and implementation of the RTP.

The second kind of planning activity that can be supported with STP-U funds is more specific project-related planning for those projects included in the RTP that require extensive project development. Examples include preliminary scoping of BRT routes or developing alternatives for interchange improvements. (This category would <u>not</u> include detailed engineering or preparation of final construction plans. That type of project engineering is generally included in the overall scope of projects that fall within the two categories above.)

In order to ensure a base level of ongoing metro-area transportation planning activity as well as some added support for project-level planning on the larger, more complex projects, a target of 20 percent of STP-U funding in fiscal years 2006-2009 for transportation planning and project development has been established.

4. Transportation Demand Management (TDM): The major source of funding for the MPO's ongoing TDM program during the past decade has been STP-local funding. With the transition to TMA status, the MPO allocated a portion of the MPO's STP-U funds to TDM rather than rely on statewide funding through the STIP. Further, as one of the three essential legs of the transportation triangle, TDM needs a base level of funding to sustain a minimal program, and to begin very incremental expansion above the existing levels of TDM in the community. Examples of TDM projects include Commuter Vanpools to and from Salem and Corvallis, the Gateway Transportation Management Area Program, and the LTD Group Pass Program.

To implement a regional TDM program, as described in the RTP, a target of 10 percent of STP-U funding in fiscal years 2006-2009 to be allocated to TDM programs has been established.

Figure A-1

APPLICATION FOR CENTRAL LANE MPO FY2007-09 STP-U FUNDS August, 2005

(NOTE: Applications accepted August 11-22, 2005 for FY07 Modernization and FY07-09 Planning and TDM funding)

Date of this Application	Contact Person		
A. Background Information			
Project Title:)		
2. Project Category: (Modernization/Project Development, Planning, o	r Transportation Options/TDN	M)	
3. Lead Agency:			
4. Project Description:			
5. Project Cost Estimate: (all numbers in \$000s)			
TOTAL STP-U funds requested for this proje			
Other funding (also list type of funds, e.g. federal, s	•		
Other #2			
Other #3	· -		
Total cost estimate	\$_		
6. Project timing: STP-U funds requested for FY 0	6-07 \$_		
FY 0	7-08 \$_		
FY 0	8-09 \$_		
B. Evaluation of This Project Based on STP-U S	creening Criteria:		
1. Project is:			
On Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) f	inancially constrained		
project list (<i>Project</i> #):		-	no
<u>or</u> capable of being added to the list during	•	-	_ no
<u>or</u> included in a category of projects or pr	ogram actions in the Plan	yes	no
Comments:			

2.	Project is Eligible For S1 Comments:	「P-U Funding	g Based on Federal C	riteria:	yes	_ no
3.	Project Can Be Impleme Comments:	nted in FY Re	equested:		yes	_ no
<u>C.</u>	Evaluation of This Project	ct Based on S	STP-U Priority Factors	<u>s:</u>		
1.	Leverage of Other Fundi	ng:				
	efly describe sources and oject Cost Estimate field ab		her funding for the pro	ject (recap of in	formatio	n in
Sc	0 0 0	ther funding is ther funding is ther funding is the ther funding is the funding it is the funding in the funding is the funding it is t	less than 20 per cent of p 20 per cent of project tota 30 per cent of project tota 40 per cent of project tota 50 per cent of project tota	al = 5 points al = 10 points al = 15 points	points	
Sc	ore for this project:p	oints (20 poin	nts maximum for this co	omponent)		
2.	Support of RTP Policies	:				
se clo	efly describe how the propontences for each policy suppose gaps on a collector streditional page(s) if needed.	ported. (Exa	mple: since this projec	t includes addin	ng sidewa	
	te that the project can scor P policy topic areas are as		o more than <u>two</u> policie	es in any <u>one</u> to	pic area.	. The
	Land Use TSI Transit TSI Other Modes	TDM (TO) TSI Bicycle Finance	TSI System-Wide TSI Pedestrian	TSI Roadway TSI Goods Mo	vement	
Sc	ore for this project:		ported times 5 points e		-	its.

3. Alternative TPR Performance Measures:

Briefly describe how the proposed project supports or addresses the RTP alternative TPR performance measures – one or two sentences for each measure supported. (Example: since this project includes restriping Main Street to add bicycle lanes, and this portion of street is included on the RTP priority bikeway mileage, the project supports the Priority Bikeway Miles measure.) *Attach additional page(s) if needed.*

· · ·		5 points each = total points. his component = 20 points)
TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS PROJECT:	Leverage Policies Alt. Measures Total:	points points points points

Appendix B Air Quality Exemptions and Regionally Significant Project Description

Appendix B: Air Quality Exemptions and Regionally Significant Project Description

The Transportation Planning Committee, as the standing committee for air quality under the Oregon Conformity Rulings, has determined regionally significant projects to be:

A transportation project, other than an exempt project, that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs, such as access to and from the area outside the region, major activity centers in the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals as well as most terminals themselves, and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including at a minimum:

- All fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel;
- Projects on facilities classified as arterial level and above;
- Projects on multi-lane facilities that impact speed and/or capacity; and
- Construction of new roadways classified as arterial level and above.

Exempt Projects

340-252-0270 Notwithstanding the other requirements of this rule, highway and transit projects of the types listed in Table 2 are exempt from the requirement that a conformity determination be made. Such projects may proceed toward implementation even in the absence of a conforming transportation plan and MTIP. A particular action of the type listed in Table 2 of this section is not exempt if the MPO or ODOT in consultation with other agencies under OAR 340-252-0060, and the EPA, and the FHWA (in the case of a highway project) or the FTA (in the case of a transit project) concur that it has potentially adverse emissions impacts for any reason. States and MPOs must ensure that exempt projects do not interfere with TCM implementation.

Table 2 - Exempt projects

Safety

Railroad/highway crossing.

Hazard elimination program.

Safer non-Federal-aid system roads.

Shoulder improvements.

Increasing sight distance.

Safety improvement program.

Traffic control devices and operating assistance other than signalization projects.

Railroad/highway crossing warning devices.

Guardrails, median barriers, crash cushions.

Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation.

Pavement marking demonstration.

Emergency relief (23 U.S.C. 125).

Fencing.

Skid treatments.

Safety roadside rest areas.

Adding medians.

Truck climbing lanes outside the urbanized area.

Lighting improvements.

Widening narrow pavements or reconstructing bridges (no additional travel lanes).

Emergency truck pullovers

Mass Transit

Operating assistance to transit agencies.

Purchase of support vehicles.

Rehabilitation of transit vehicles.

Purchase of office, shop, and operating equipment for existing facilities.

Purchase of operating equipment for vehicles (e.g., radios, fareboxes, lifts, etc.).

Construction or renovation of power, signal, and communications systems.

Construction of small passenger shelters and information kiosks.

Reconstruction or renovation of transit buildings and structures (e.g., rail or bus buildings, storage and maintenance facilities, stations, terminals, and ancillary structures).

Rehabilitation or reconstruction of track structures, track, and trackbed in existing rights-of -way.

Purchase of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet.

Construction of new bus or rail storage/maintenance facilities categorically excluded in 23 CFR 771.

Air Quality

Continuation of ride-sharing and van-pooling promotion activities at current levels.

Bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

Other

Specific activities which do not involve or lead directly to construction such as:

Planning and technical studies.

Grants for training and research programs.

Planning activities conducted pursuant to titles 23 and 49 U.S.C.

Federal-aid systems revisions.

Engineering to assess social, economic, and environmental effects of the proposed action or alternatives to that action.

Noise attenuation.

Advance land acquisitions (23 CFR 712 or 23 CFR 771).

Acquisition of scenic easements.

Plantings, landscaping, etc.

Sign removal.

Directional and informational signs.

Transportation enhancement activities (except rehabilitation and operation of historic transportation buildings, structures, or facilities).

Repair of damage caused by natural disasters, civil unrest, or terrorist acts, except projects involving substantial functional, locational or capacity changes.

Projects exempt from regional emissions analyses

340-252-0280 Notwithstanding the other requirements of this rule, highway and transit projects of the types listed in Table 3 of this section are exempt from regional emissions analysis requirements. The local effects of these projects with respect to CO or PM-10 concentrations must be considered to determine if a hot-spot analysis is required prior to making a project-level conformity determination. These projects may then proceed to the project development process even in the absence of a conforming transportation plan and MTIP. A particular action of the type listed in Table 3 is not exempt from regional emissions analysis if the MPO or ODOT in consultation with other agencies, the EPA, and the FHWA (in the case of a highway project) or the FTA (in the case of a transit project) concur that it has potential regional impacts for any reason.

Table 3 - Projects Exempt From Regional Emissions Analyses

Intersection channelization projects.
Intersection signalization projects at individual intersections.
Interchange reconfiguration projects.
Changes in vertical and horizontal alignment.
Truck size and weight inspection stations.
Bus terminals and transfer points.

Appendix C Transportation Committees of the Central Lane MPO

Appendix C: Transportation Committees of the Central Lane MPO

Metropolitan Policy Committee

As amended February 2003

Two Council Members of the Eugene City Council

Two Council Members of the Springfield City Council

Two Commissioners of Lane County

Two Board Members of Lane Transit District

One Council Member of the City of Coburg

One Member from ODOT

City Manager, Eugene (non-voting)

City Manager, Springfield (non-voting)

County Administrator, Lane County (non-voting)

General Manager of Lane Transit District (non-voting)

City Administrator, City of Coburg (non-voting)

Director of the Oregon Department of Transportation or his/her designee (non-voting)

Transportation Planning Committee

As amended May 2005

Director of Public Works - Lane County

Director of Public Works - City of Eugene

Director of Public Works - Springfield

Director of Planning - Lane County

Planning Director - City of Eugene

Planning Manager - City of Springfield

Director of Development Services - Lane Transit District

Director of Marketing and Communications - Lane Transit District

Transportation Planning Engineer - Lane County

Transportation Engineer - City of Eugene

Traffic Engineer - City of Springfield

Region 2 Transportation Representative - Oregon Department of Transportation

Manager - Eugene Airport

Representative - Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority

Representative - City of Coburg

Commuter Solutions Program Manager

Federal Highway Administration Division Planning Engineer (non-voting ex-officio member)

MPO's Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC) chair (non-voting ex-officio member).

Citizen Advisory Committee As adopted September 2004

Up to 15 citizens selected from with the MPO area.

Appendix D Financial Resources

Appendix D: Financial Resources

Many sources of funding are available for transportation projects from federal, state, and local sources. A short explanation of the different funding programs follows.

Federal Sources

The MTIP development process must address the requirements as defined in the TEA-21 and the SAFETEA-LU transportation acts and give full consideration to the flexibility provisions in these acts. Reflecting the broader mandates of the transit program, the Federal Transit Administration administers transit programs.

<u>Surface Transportation Program</u> (STP), a block grant program replacing federal-aid systems, is available for all roads not functionally classified as local or rural minor collector. Transit capital projects and bicycle-pedestrian projects are also eligible under this program.

<u>Enhancement funds</u> are available for environmental programs such as pedestrian and bicycle activities and mitigation of water pollution due to highway runoff. Enhancement projects must have a direct relationship to the intermodal transportation system and go beyond what is customarily provided as environmental mitigation. Requests for enhancement funding will be submitted to the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and the Oregon Transit Commission (OTC) as part of the metropolitan planning process.

<u>FTA Section 5309 funds</u> are available for transit capital improvements. Funds are administered by the FTA regional office and are granted on a project-by-project basis. Lane Transit District (LTD) anticipates receiving some Section 5309 funds during the next five years. Should these funds be available, they will be used to finance one-time capital improvements. The funding ratio for these funds is 80 percent federal and 20 percent local.

<u>FTA Section 5307 funds</u> are distributed on a statutory formula basis to support capital, operating, and planning expenditures for publicly owned transit systems. LTD anticipates receipt of some funding from this program in the next few years. When used for capital or planning projects, Section 5307 funds have a funding ration of 80 percent federal and 20 percent local; when used for operating, the maximum federal percentage is 50 percent.

<u>FTA Section 5310</u> program provides transportation services for elderly and disabled persons. The funds are allocated to ODOT for distribution to local transit agencies. The funds may go to private, non-profit organizations or to public bodies that coordinate service. ODOT is currently recommending an allocation formula based on operating miles and population. OTC will make a decision on the allocation formula when it adopts the transit section of the ODOT Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

<u>FTA Section 5311 funds</u> are used to fund capital, operating, and planning needs of public transit. The Section 5311 program also provides for planning, marketing, capital assistance, purchase of

service agreements, user-side subsidy projects and demonstrations, and rural connections coordinating between inter-city bus and rural public transportation operators.

State Sources

The state plays a major role in the street and highway program and a minor role in the transit program.

The <u>State Highway Fund</u> consists primarily of user fees, such as the state gas tax, license fees, and weight-mile tax. Nearly one-third of the fund is transferred to cities and counties throughout the state for street and highway improvements. Most of the remaining portion of the fund is available to the state for maintenance, state construction, and matching of federal aid funds. One percent of state highway construction funds are required by law to be used for bicycle facilities. Priorities for use of the State Highway Fund are established by the OTC. Generally, the state provides the entire eight percent match required on interstate projects and half of the 12 percent match required on federal highway-related projects.

The <u>State General Fund</u> is the source of funding for the State's Public Transit Division, including funds that it distributes to transit districts including LTD. In the past, Oregon's Public Transit Division provided some funding for capital purchases. Future state funding for capital projects is uncertain.

The <u>Oregon Transportation Investment Act</u> (OTIA) was initiated by the Oregon state legislature in 2001-2002 to fund highway infrastructure. To date, a total of three acts (OTIA I, II and III) have resulted in the issuance of bonds to secure revenue for projects approved by the Oregon Transportation Commission.

Local Sources

The <u>State Highway Fund Transfer</u> results in state-collected user fees being distributed to the cities and county for local improvements. Eugene, Springfield, and Lane County collectively receive about \$4 million annually through this transfer. This amount could change if the state increases the gas tax, license fees, and weight-mile tax.

<u>Federal Timber Receipts</u> received by Lane County from timber sales on federal lands make up a majority of the County's budget for street and highway improvements. By law, 75 percent of the Federal Timber Receipts must be used for street and highway projects, but legislative proposals at the federal and state levels could reduce this percentage. Federal Timber Receipts currently account for a significant portion of the county's annual road improvement budget.

Economic Development Assistance Program funds are available from Lane County to finance public road improvements needed for projects that result in the creation or retention of permanent jobs.

<u>Assessments</u> of adjoining property owners often constitutes a large portion of the total cost of specific street improvements. The assessment depends on the type of street and the agency. The cost of curbs, gutters, and sidewalks is usually assessed to property owners. Sometimes, assessments include part of the cost of the pavement, underground drainage and street lighting. The cost of features not normally required on similar streets, as well as oversize facilities or additional width, are absorbed by the implementing agency. The public works department of the implementing agency should be consulted for the specific details of the assessment on individual projects.

Local funds are derived by the cities from user fees, parking revenues, citations, bond issues, and other taxes. A large number of locally generated funds are used by the cities for street improvements. The Employer Payroll Tax accounts for a majority of LTD's local revenues.

Appendix E Federal Guidelines for STP-U Funding

Appendix E: Federal Guidelines for STP-U Funding

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (STP) ELIGIBILE EXPENDITURES

STATUS: ACTIVE

FEDERAL PARTICIPATION: 80 percent. When STP funds are used for Interstate projects (including projects to add high occupancy vehicle or auxiliary lanes, but not any other lanes), the Federal share may be 90 percent. Certain safety improvements have a Federal share of 100 percent.

PERIOD AVAILABLE: FY + 3 Years

FUND: Highway Trust Fund

FUND DISTRIBUTION METHOD: Apportionment

AUTHORITY: Contract

SUBJECT TO OBLIGATION LIMITATION: Yes

STATUTORY REFERENCE: 23 U.S.C. 133, 104(b)(3), 140; SAFETEAU-LU

Sections 1101(a)(4), 1103(f), 1113, 1603, 1960, 6006

CFR REFERENCE: None

ELIGIBILITY: Funds apportioned to a State for the STP may be obligated for:

- Construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, and operational improvements for highways including Interstate highways and bridges (including bridges on public roads of all functional classifications), including any such construction or reconstruction necessary to accommodate other transportation modes, and including the seismic retrofit and painting of and application of calcium magnesium acetate, sodium acetate formate, or other environmentally acceptable, minimally corrosive anti-icing and de-icing compositions on bridges and approaches thereto and other elevated structures, mitigation of damage to wildlife, habitat, and ecosystems caused by a transportation project funded under Title 23, United States Code,
- Capital costs for transit projects eligible for assistance under chapter 53 of Title 49, United States Code, including vehicles and facilities, whether publicly or privately owned that are used to provide intercity passenger service by bus,

- Carpool projects, fringe and corridor parking facilities and programs, bicycle and pedestrian facilities (off-road or on-road, including modification of walkways) on any public roads in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 217 and the modification of public sidewalks to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.),
- Highway and transit safety infrastructure improvements and programs, hazard eliminations, projects to mitigate hazards caused by wildlife, and railway-highway grade crossings,
- Highway and transit research and development and technology transfer programs,
- Capital and operating costs for traffic monitoring, management, and control facilities and programs,
- Surface transportation planning programs,
- Transportation enhancement activities,
- Transportation control measures listed in Section 108(f)(1)(A) (other than clause xvi) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7407(d),
- Development and establishment of management systems under 23 U.S.C. 303,
- Habitat and wetlands mitigation efforts related to Title 23 projects
- Infrastructure based intelligent transportation systems capital improvements, and
- Environmental restoration and pollution abatement projects to address
 water pollution or environmental degradation caused or contributed to by
 transportation facilities, which projects shall be carried out when the
 transportation facilities are undergoing reconstruction, rehabilitation,
 resurfacing, or restoration; except that the cost of such environmental
 restoration or pollution abatement shall not exceed 20 percent of the cost
 of the 4R project.
- Advanced truck stop electrification systems
- Projects relating to intersections that: have disproportionately high accident rates; have high congestion; and are located on a Federal-aid highway
- Control of terrestrial and aquatic noxious weeds and establishment of native species.

BACKGROUND: The STP was established by Section 1007 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (1991 ISTEA, Public Law 102-240) which added Section 133 to Title 23, United States Code. The 1991 ISTEA authorized \$23.9 billion to be appropriated out of the Highway Trust Fund for the 6-years FYs 1992-1997. These funds were apportioned to the States based on a State's percentage share of apportionments for FYs 1987-1991.

The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21, Public Law 105-178), enacted on June 9, 1998, authorized\$33.3 billion from the Highway Trust Fund for the 6-years FYs 1998-2003.

The Safe Accountable Flexible Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), enacted on August 10, 2005, authorizes from the Highway Trust Fund \$6.9 billion for FY 2005, \$6.3 billion for FY 2006, \$6.4 billion for FY 2007, \$6.5 billion for FY 2008, and \$6.6 billion for FY 2009 for the STP. The authorized amounts are subject to deductions of \$560,000 in FY2005 for Operation Lifesaver, \$5.25 million in FY 2005 for elimination of hazards at railway-highway crossings in high speed rail corridors, \$10 million in FY2005 and FY2006 for administration of the program for On-the-Job Training/Supportive Services, and \$10 million in FY2005 and FY2006 for administration of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Training program.

The SAFETEA-LU continues the TEA-21 formula for apportionment of STP funds to the States as follows:

- 25 percent in the ratio that total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in a State bears to total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.
- 40 percent in the ratio that total vehicle miles of travel on lanes on Federal-aid highways in a State bears to the total vehicle miles of travel on lanes on such highways in all States, and
- 35 percent in the ratio the estimated tax payments attributable to highway
 users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass
 Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year bears to the total of such
 payments in all the States.

Each State is to receive a minimum of 1/2 percent of the funds apportioned. The Equity Bonus Program replaces TEA-21's minimum guarantee program.

Each State' apportioned STP funds are suballocated in the following manner:

- Ten percent of each State's apportionment is set-a-side for safety construction activities (i.e., hazard elimination and rail-highway crossings) in FY2005 only.;
- Another 10 percent is set-a-side in FY2005 for transportation enhancements, which encompass a broad range of environmental related

- activities; in FY2006 and thereafter, the set-a-side is the greater of 10% of the State's STP apportionment or the dollar amount of the 2005 set-a-side.
- Fifty percent (62.5 percent of the remaining 80 percent) of the funds is divided between urbanized areas over 200,000 in population ("STP-U" funds) and the remaining areas of the State. (The portion that goes to urbanized areas over 200,000 population must be distributed on the basis of population unless the State and relevant MPOs request the use of other factors and the FHWA approves. This provision is not applicable to Alaska and Hawaii.),
- The remaining 30 percent (37.5 percent of the remaining 80 percent) can be used in any area of the State. (This provision is not applicable to Alaska and Hawaii.),
- After FY2005, 62.5 percent of the amount remaining after the transportation enhancement set-a-side is divided among the sub-State areas based on population,
- As for TEA-21, States with STP funds suballocated to urbanized areas over 200,000 population must make obligation authority available in each of two 3-year periods, FYs 2004-2006 and FYs 2007-2009, and
- If a State or local government has failed to comply substantially with any provision of 23 U.S.C. 133 and the State fails to take corrective action within 60 days from the date of receipt of notification of noncompliance, future STP apportionments will be withheld until appropriate corrective action has been taken.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Contact the Office of Metropolitan Planning and Programs (HEMP) or the Office of Program Administration (HIPA).

Appendix F Project Location Map

MAP KEY					
Jurisdiction	Map Key	Project Name			
Eugene	F	Fern Ridge Path Resurfacing			
Eugene	198	Courthouse District Transportation Improvements			
Eugene	654	Game Farm Road Improvements to Urban Standards			
Eugene	254	Glenwood Blvd @ Judkins Point I5 Interchange			
Eugene	435	Legacy Extension, Avalon to Royal			
Eugene	680	Chad Drive Extension			
Eugene	172, 251	Monroe Street/Friendly Street Bikeway			
Eugene	M	North Bank Trail Resurfacing			
Eugene	K	Garden Way Path Resurfacing			
Eugene	499	Airport Rd realignment			
Eugene	199	Patterson St. Underpass			
Eugene	637	Delta Ponds multiuse path and bridge			
Eugene	0	Eugene Train Depot improvements			
Eugene	S	West Bank Trail: Beaver St – River Ave			
Springfield	Q	21st Street: J St – D St, Preservation and Reconstruction			
Springfield	799	126 at 42nd Street Ramp Signal			
Springfield	L	Pioneer Parkway Pavement Preservation			
Springfield	A	OR126B: Brooklyn to McVay sidewalks and bikelanes			
Springfield	954	42nd Street, McKenzie Hwy to Jasper Rd			
Springfield	15	69th Street: Thurston to A St, urban standards			
Springfield	789	Gateway/Beltline			
Coburg	1003	I5@ Coburg interchange – environmental and prelim. engineering			
Lane County	66	Jasper Road Extension, 57th to Jasper			
Lane County	638	Delta/Beltline Interchange			
Lane County	E	Delta Highway pavement preservation			
Lane County	454, 485	Greenhill Rd upgrade shoulders, curbs and gutters			
Willamalane	21	Middle Fork Willamette River Loop Path			
LTD	Blue Line/1115	Bus Rapid Transit Phase 1 - Franklin EmX Corridor			
LID	Orange	Dus reapte Transit These T Trankin Entry Correct			
LTD	Line/1115	Bus Rapid Transit Phase 2 - Pioneer Parkway			
	Yellow	•			
LTD	Line/1115	BRT Progressive Corridor Enhancement (River Rd – LCC)			
LTD	768	MLK Jr. Parkway – right of way acquisition			
ODOT	D	Region 2 Variable Message Signs			
ODOT	С	Springfield-Creswell Hwy Safety Project			
ODOT	606	I-5 @ Beltline interchange – Unit 1 and Unit 2			
ODOT	622	Beltline Hwy @ Coburg Road Interchange			
ODOT	J	OR99: Barger-Washington/Jefferson, overlay and safety improvements			
ODOT	Т	I-5: McKenzie R-Goshen Grade Bridge repairs/replacement			
ODOT	W	I-5: Willamette River Bridge replacement			
ODOT	555	Beltline Hwy: River Rd – Coburg Rd project development			

Appendix F: Project Location Map

FY06-09 Central Lane MPO MTIP

Page 59

Appendix G Status of Projects from Prior MTIP

Appendix G: Projects from Prior MTIP

The status of major projects from the prior MTIP are listed in the following table.

List of Major Projects from prior MTIP (FY05-07)

July 13, 2006 -- (Shaded rows indicate areas within the CATS air quality area)

	(Shaded rows indicate areas within the CATS air quality area)						
Project	Project Description	ODOT Key Number Agency		Status at Completion of MTIP	Funding Source	RTP Project Number / Policy	
						2025 DWD	
Courthouse District Transportation Improvements	Reconstruct 8th Avenue (Mill Street to Hilyard Street), 2-lane urban street with parking, curb, gutter and sidewalks. Extend Ferry Street (north from 8th Avenue to realigned 6th Avenue), 2-lane urban street with parking, curb, gutter and sidewalks. Constr	13378 13379	Eugene	Construction underway Phase one; Phase 2 in design	E, LC	2025 RTP	
Chad Drive Extension	Extend Chad Drive to connect to North Game Farm Road, including 2 travel lanes, center turn lane at intersections, curb, gutter bike lanes and sidewalks on both sides, street trees, street lights, and a traffic signal at Game Farm Road	13404	Eugene	PE underway; Construction in FY07	STP-U, E	680	
3rd-4th Street Connector	Upgrade and capacity enhancements for 3rd Avenue from Pearl Street to Lincoln Street just north of the railroad tracks; Upgrade major collector to urban standards with 2-lanes, turn pockets, curb, gutter, sidewalks and bike lanes	13387	Eugene	Complete	Е	450	
Patterson Street Underpass	Extend Patterson St from Broadway to North of Railroad Tracks and construct underpass	14265	Eugene	No progress to date	Е	199	
Glenwood Blvd@Judkins Point I-5 Interchange	Intersection improvements at interchange ramp terminal (south side)	14299	Eugene	Final plans not complete	LC	254	
Legacy Extension, Avalon to Royal	Extension of Legacy Street; Construct new 3- lane major collector with curb, gutter, sidewalks and bike lanes	13393 13394	Eugene	Slipped to FY09/10	Е	435	
Roosevelt Blvd: Royal Ave to Terry	Extension of Roosevelt Boulevard; Construct new 3-lane major collector with curb, gutter, sidewalks and bike lanes	13401 13402	Eugene	Delete - slips to FY11	Е	429	
Airport Rd: mp 1.3 (at Greenhill Rd) to mp 0.7	Realign Airport Rd and possible reconfiguration of Airport Rd/Greenhill Rd airport entrance intersection	14300	Eugene	Bid letting complete; Construction beginning	E	499	
Traffic Signal Improvements and Upgrades	Install new traffic signals and upgrade traffic signal system	13389, 13390	Eugene	Delete - minor, local project bucket - not suitable for MTIP	E	TSI Roadway Policy #1	
Street Lighting	lighting on arterials/collectors	13384, 13385	Eugene	Delete - minor, local project bucket - not suitable for MTIP	Е	TSI Roadway Policy #1	
Services for New Development	Infrastructure improvements to support new development; This category is used as match for capital projects which add capacity to respond to demand from new development	13396, 13397	Eugene	Delete - minor, local project bucket - not suitable for MTIP	Е	102	
126/42 Ramp Signal	Construct signal at Highway 126/42nd WB ramp intersection	13417	Springfield	Construction schedule for FY06 but currently on hold	LC	799	

FY06-09 Central Lane MPO MTIP Page 65

Project	Project Description	ODOT Key Number	Agency	Status at Completion of MTIP	Funding Source	RTP Project Number / Policy
MLK Jr. Pkwy:Beltine/Hutton-Hayden Bridge	Construct minor arterial; Beltline-Game Farm intersection to Harlow-Hayden intersection	13425	Springfield	Construction begin and will continue into FY07	LC, D	768
42nd Street	McKenzie Highway to Jasper Road, Upgrade to urban standards, jurisdictional transfer; Upgrade to a three lane section where feasible including pedestrian islands, sidewalks, bike lanes where feasible, landscaped setbacks, street lighting, curbs and gutter	14336	Springfield	Phase 1 complete; Phase two bid letting complete, FY06 construction scheduled	S	954
Gateway/Beltine: International Way - Postal Way	Improve intersections and realign Gateway	14301 14304 14305	Springfield	Phase 1 underway; on schedule; construction continues FY06	s	789
Traffic Control Projects	Installation of traffic controls such as signals or roundabouts	13415, 13416	Springfield	Delete - minor, local project bucket - not suitable for MTIP	s	TSI System-Wide Policy #1
Gateway Traffic Improvements	Capacity improvements at various locations in Gateway area	13419, 13420	Springfield	Delete - minor, local project bucket - not suitable for MTIP	S	TSI System-Wide Policy #1
Jasper Road Extension, 57th to Jasper Road	Extend 2 lane arterial	13428	Lane Co.	Final plans and permitting in progress; Construction scheduled FY06	LC	66
Delta/Beltline Interchange	Interchange improvements; Interim safety improvements; potentially replace/revise existing ramps and widen Delta Highway bridge to five lanes	10088	Lane Co.	Slip to FY09	LC	638
Delta Highway: Green Acres to I105	Pavement Preservation	13432	Lane Co.	Underway; will complete FY06	STP-U, LC	Finance Policy #2
Game Farm North: Coburg Rd-Eugene City limit	Upgrade from 2-lane to 3-lane urban facility.	13430	Lane Co.	Completed	LC	654
Royal Avenue: Greenhill Rd - Terry St	Upgrade major collector to urban standards with 3-lanes, curb, gutter, sidewalks and bike lanes	13434	Lane Co.	Delete project - not needed at this time due to landuse issues	LC, E	481
Hunsaker/Beakver St:River Rd - Divsions Ave	Upgrade two lane urban facility with sidewalks and bike lanes	14303	Lane Co.	Delete project- delayed to FY10	LC	527
Greenhill Rd: Clear Lake - Royal Ave	Add shoulders, curbs and gutters.	14302	Lane Co.	Slip to FY08	LC	454, 485
Bus Rapid Transit, Phase One	Phase One of Bus Rapid Transit, also referred to as the Franklin EmX Corridor, is a four-mile corridor from downtown Eugene to downtown Springfield. The EmX service will provide rapid transit service through exclusive busways, low-floor vehicles, pre-paid pare mechanisms, and signal priority.	13285	LTD	Under construction; will complete FY07	Federal 5309, Federal 5307	1115

FY06-09 Central Lane MPO MTIP Page 66

Project	Project Description	ODOT Key Number	Agency	Status at Completion of MTIP	Funding Source	RTP Project Number / Policy
Bus Rapid Transit, Pioneer Parkway	Project development for the Pioneer Parkway BRT project including alternatives evaluation, public outreach, preliminary enginnering and design, NEPA compliance and New Starts documentation	13452 14267	LTD	Underway	Federal 5309, STP-U, LTD	1115
Ridesource Facility Construction	Construction of RideSource maintenance and operation facility	13445	LTD	Completed	Federal 5307; Section 115	TSI Transit Policy #1
Capital Purchases - LTD	Replace 1 van, 8 shelters, maintenance equipment; maintain bus shelters; puchase 10 vehicles	14185	LTD	Completed	Federal 5310	1130, 1330, 1355
Boarding Improvements - Shelter Replacement	Replace old shelters in poor condition with new design vandal resistant shelters.	13695	LTD	Completed	STP-U	1130, 1330, 1355
BRT Coburg & Pioneer Parkway Project Development	Pioneer Parkway and Coburg Road Bus Rapid Transit project development	12258	LTD	Completed	STP-U	1115
BRT Coburg & Pioneer Parkway Project Development - 2005B	Pioneer Parkway and Coburg Road Bus Rapid Transit project development	12252	LTD	Completed	STP-U	1115
Bus purchase - LTD	Purchase one large bus	14186	LTD	Completed	Federal 5307, STP	1110
Lane Transit District Purchase Services	Diamond Express services	14458	LTD	Completed	Federal 5311	Transit Policy #1
I-105: Willamette River - Pacific Highway	Preservation; add thick overlay and add auxillary lanes	10349	ODOT	Completed	0	RTP Finance Policy #2
Beltline Hwy @ Coburg Road Interchange	Improve interchange to provide adequate storage	12836	ODOT	On schedule for FY08	О	622
I-5 @ Beltline	Interchange Phases One and Two	14197	ODOT	Construction underway Phase one	OTIA; earmark, NHS	606
OR-126: West Eugene Parkway	W. 11th St - Garfield St., Unit 1, Part A; FY04: Engineering & ROW; FY05: Construction	07990	ODOT	Construction phase deleted; scope changed to environmental documention only.	0	336

FY06-09 Central Lane MPO MTIP Page 67