RESOLUTION 2014-02

ADOPTING ADDENDUM TO THE CENTRAL LANE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION FY2014-FY2015 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Lane Council of Governments Board has been designated by the State of Oregon as the official Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Central Lane region; and

WHEREAS, the LCOG Board has delegated responsibility for MPO policy functions to the Metropolitan Policy Committee (MPC), a committee of officials from Eugene, Springfield, Coburg, Lane County, Lane Transit District, and ODOT; and

WHEREAS, the development of an annual Unified Planning Work Program that delineates the MPO's planning and programming activities over a fiscal year is among the major requirements of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Process; and

WHEREAS, the Central Lane Metropolitan Planning Organization has adopted a Unified Planning Work Program for FY2014 and FY2015, in coordination with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) and the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT); and

WHEREAS, the proposed addendum to the Unified Planning Work Program has been reviewed and is found to conform to all federal and state planning regulations and to reflect the priorities, scope of work, and level of effort desired for regional transportation planning for FY2014 and FY2015; and

WHEREAS, the proposed FY2015 funding for the Unified Planning Work Program is available to be programmed in the FY2012-2015 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

That the Metropolitan Policy Committee adopts the attached Addendum to the Central Lane MPO FY2014 and FY2015 Unified Planning Work Program.

PASSED AND APPROVED THIS $1^{\rm st}$ DAY OF MAY, 2014, BY THE METROPOLITAN POLICY COMMITTEE.

ATTEST:

Christine Lundberg, Chair

Metropolitan Policy Committee

Brenda Wilson

Executive Director

Lane Council of Governments



Unified Planning Work Program
FY 2014 and 2015
Interim Review and Update

ADDENDUM TO THE UPWP May 2014

Prepared by: **Lane Council of Governments** 859 Willamette Street Eugene, OR 97401 541-682-4283 www.lcog.org

INTRODUCTION

The Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) is a federally required certification document describing the transportation planning activities to be undertaken in the Central Lane metropolitan area for a specific fiscal year or years. Development of the UPWP provides local agencies with an opportunity to identify transportation needs, objectives and products. The UPWP sets priorities for regional transportation planning activities that are responsive to the goals set by the regional transportation plan, and the federal mandates of the current transportation funding bill within the guidelines set by the U.S. Department of Transportation.

In May 2013, the Central Lane MPO adopted a Unified Planning Work Program covering a two-year period. The Unified Planning Work Program for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2015 contains the following:

- Planning tasks in seven program areas authorized over the two-year period;
- Federally funded studies and all relevant state and local planning activities related to integrated transportation planning conducted without federal funds;
- Funding sources for each program area; and
- The agency or agencies responsible for each task or study.

UPDATES TO THE ADOPTED UPWP

The following addendum to the adopted 2014 and 2015 Unified Planning Work Program describes changes to action items, additional action items that are anticipated to occur within FY 2014 and 2015, and revisions to estimated timelines, as needed. The remaining work items outlined in the adopted UPWP are proposed to remain as adopted, unless otherwise modified herein. The adopted UPWP can be found at http://www.thempo.org/359/Our-Work-Plan.

A. Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Long-Range Planning

CONTINUED ACTION ITEM(S)

I. Safety and Security Plan

| P | ro | d | ct | c |
|---|----|---|--------|---|
| | | | | |

☐ Collision database integrated with all sources

4. Regional Transportation System Plan

- Adoption is now anticipated in FY15, awaiting completion of the local jurisdiction Transportation System Plans.
- Participate in public information and public involvement efforts to help communicate the differences and similarities between the RTP and other transportation planning projects and plans.
- Participate in Coburg, Eugene, and Springfield Transportation System Plan projects, as needed, to ensure regional coordination, identify areas of potential conflict, and advocate for ongoing implementation of RTP policies.
- Continue to analyze and report on existing performance measures, as needed.
- Review existing performance measures in light of regional and local policy sets and RTP goals and objectives.
- Hold discussions with elected officials and public on RTSP structural and policy framework.
- Consider modified benchmarks and performance measures for the extended planning period.
- Create draft of RTSP structural and policy framework based upon elected official discussions and public input.
- Begin developing RTSP policy language.
- Continue discussions and refinement of regional transportation concepts.
- Incorporate information from scenario planning project work.

Products

| Regional Transportation System Plan (draft and final plan), including proposal for |
|--|
| updated benchmarks and performance measures |
| Regional Transportation Work Plan Status Reports to MPC. |
| Public involvement materials. |
| Interim/draft update products, including technical memorandum, forecasts, scenarios, |
| modeling results and other products as appropriate. |
| Memos and reports as necessary to support plan implementation. |
| Benchmark and performance measure reporting, as needed. |

5. Transportation System Plan(s) (TSP)

 Continue support and assist in the update and implementation of the local TSPs and other local and regional transportation planning and integrated transportation-land use planning efforts, as appropriate.

Products

Updated regional travel demand model that incorporates the updated land use, networks and policies of the TSPs.

REVISED ESTIMATED TIMELINE

No changes.

B. Programming and Implementation

CONTINUED ACTION ITEM(S)

2. Metropolitan Transportation improvement Program (MTIP)

Products

- ☐ Adopted FY15-18 MTIP
- ☐ Adopted Air Quality Conformity Determination (AQCD)

| Ad | tion Item Number/Title | Early FY 2014 | Late FY 2014 | Early FY 2015 | Late FY 2015 |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| ı | FFY15-18 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) | | Public Review of Draft STIP | Ensure MTIP consistency with final approved FY15- 18 STIP | |
| 2 | FFY15-18 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) | Coordination with LaneACT and ODOT | Public Review of Draft MTIP, Air Quality Conformity Determination; | Ensure MTIP consistency with final approved FY15- 18 STIP; MTIP Adoption; AQCD Adoption | US DOT Approval |
| 3 | MTIP Annual Report | | Publish 2013 Annual Report; Inform Policy Board | | Publish 2014 Annual Report; Inform Policy Board |
| 4 | Allocate FFY15-18 Surface Transportation Program- Urban (STP-U) Funding | Regional criteria and priorities for STP-U project selection for 15-18 and Public Involvement | Public Involvement and Project Selection for FY15-18 STP-U Funding | | |
| 5 | Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) | | UPWP mid-year review and addendum adoption | | Prepare draft FY16-17 UPWP; Public involvement and adoption |
| 6 | Economic Analyses in Project Selection (Phase I) | | | Existing data assessment; Tools assessment | Database testing |

C. Public Participation

ADDITIONAL ACTION ITEM(S)

I. Public Participation Program Refinement

- Examine web-based software to enhance public outreach for regional projects and planning;
 purchase package if acceptable solution is found
- Evaluate the effectiveness of public involvement tools and approaches reporting on performance measures
- Revise Public Participation Plan review and update schedule

ADDITIONAL CORE MPO ACTIVITIES

Ongoing Public Involvement Activities

Incorporate citizen involvement for all regional transportation planning "special projects" in coordination with the Central Lane MPO Public Participation Plan. Public involvement in the region effectively provides for accessible, full and open access to decision making. Special planning projects select from a spectrum of involvement tools to best meet the public involvement needs of the project; including, but not limited to, public comment periods, public hearings, public workshops and open houses, focus groups, stakeholder interviews, and surveys. These tools will facilitate the solicitation, collection and response to comments submitted by the public and interested parties.

Title VI Program

 Incorporate information developed through the Lane Livability Consortium (LLC) planning and engagement processes.

D. Air Quality Planning

ADDITIONAL ACTION ITEM(S)

2. State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Carbon Monoxide (CO)

Due to notification in late Fall 2013 from USEPA that the region has to submit an update to the current CO SIP before the maintenance period can end, LCOG, as the responsible agency for CO planning, will in coordination with ODEQ and LRAPA prepare the required plan, including a final emissions budget for the area. This plan will be a limited maintenance plan which will significantly reduce the analytic requirements of transportation conformity determinations.

- Prepare budget, contingency measures; draft report
- Conduct public outreach.
- Complete the submittal process.

Products

Deaducte

☐ CO SIP Update submitted to USEPA for approval

3. Evaluation of Air Toxics

As an emerging and important issue, the MPO will work with ODEQ and LRAPA to obtain knowledge and experience with evaluating the effect of vehicle emissions and fuels on the emission of air toxics. Issues affecting dispersion will be investigated to understand how these chemicals can disperse into neighborhoods adjacent to heavily traveled roads. Associated with this will be a characterization of the populations that may be most affected.

- Identify, acquire and test tools and data bases (e.g. NATA) that assess risk from air toxics.
- Prepare the USEPA emissions model MOVES for computing air toxic emissions based on VMT and fuel usage.
- Investigate measures that can be used to track progress on reducing air toxic emissions.

| Products | |
|---|-------|
| MOVES model set up to compute air toxic emiss | sions |
| Performance measures | |

| A | tion Item Number/Title | Early FY 2014 | Late FY 2014 | Early FY 2015 | Late FY 2015 |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| ı | Air Quality Performance Measures | | Design metrics, methodologies; Test with existing data/tools | Formalize databases; access new tools | Displays and Reports; web display |
| 2 | State Implementation Plan for Carbon Monoxide | | prepare draft limited maintenance plan; being public involvement and agency review | Submit plan to EPA for approval | |
| 3 | Evaluation of Air Toxics | | | Tools and data bases; MOVES preparation | Measures to track |
| 4 | Ongoing training, assistance, consultation | On-going Control of the control of t | | | |

E. Transportation System Modeling and Data Maintenance

CONTINUED ACTION ITEM(S)

2. Bike Model

 Update the bike utilities in the mode choice model using those provided by the new code. This will incorporate surveyed cyclist route preferences into the software that estimates the mode chosen by travelers.

Products

☐ Updated mode choice model

3. RTP Travel Model Update

- Update population, employment, land use and travel data for a new base year.
- Obtain coordinated population forecasts for 2040 and develop an estimate for the MPO area. Develop an employment forecast by sector.
- Extend the Springfield, Coburg and Eugene future land use scenarios to the forecast year using the land use allocation model.
- Use the project lists of the TSPs in conjunction with the TIP, STIP and CIPs to develop the fiscally constrained future network.
- With LTD, review the 2035 version of the transit system, and update as needed. This includes consideration of operating characteristics of high capacity transit service as well as that of local buses, revenue/service hours and schedules, station locations, and park and rides. Forecast fares and group pass participation by employers.
- Calibrate the base year model using counts and transit ridership.
- Compute performance measures.

Products

| 2040 population and employment, spatially allocated |
|---|
| Fiscally constrained and Illustrative project lists |
| Calibrated base year model |
| 2040 forecast model |
| Performance measures report |
| |

4. UPGRADE TO THE REGIONAL TRAVEL DEMAND MODEL

 Complete UO submodel update. This incorporates the results of the UO survey into the travel model to provide better forecasting of travel by UO students.

| Prod | ucts |
|------|------|
|------|------|

☐ Updated regional travel demand model

ADDITIONAL CORE MPO ACTIVITIES

Coordination

Work with Oregon Modeling Steering Committee (OMSC) / Model Planning Committee and others to oversee the implementation of a bike-pedestrian count archive and web-display to facilitate research into alternative modes. This project is sponsored by National Institute for Transportation and Communities.

SPECIAL MPO PLANNING PROJECTS

I. Regional Transportation Systems Planning

As a part of the travel model development for the Regional Transportation Systems Plan, the MPO and LTD will develop a future transit network that is representative of LTD's future system architecture and operations.

Products

☐ Fiscally constrained transit network

2. Regional Land Use Modeling

URBANSIM development has been slowed by the need for staff to work on other more urgent issues dealing with comprehensive plan and TSP updates by local agencies. This work started up again in December 2013, and will now include URBANCANVAS, a 3-D visualization tool that provides realistic depictions of the patterns forecast, and the ability to sketch changes at neighborhood scales and estimate impacts with very short turnaround time.

Complete development and testing.

Products

Validated, calibrated URBANSIM model

| | Action Item Number/Title | Early FY 2014 | Late FY 2014 | Early FY 2015 | Late FY 2015 |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| I | Data Collection & Analysis A. OHAS | Rewrite and expand survey | Augment; Begin analysis | 2010 model preparation; Complete analysis. | Report |
| | B. UO Travel Survey | Finish cleaning data; | Weight and expand; Complete model update | Integrate into travel demand model; begin preparation of data report | Complete Report |
| | | Continue data collection | Continue data collection | Continue data collection | Continue data collection |
| | C. Traffic Counts Data | Design database, access, display | Upload test set; test, revise, review/test. | Design upload templates; user access through web; review/test. | Development Plan for Expansion and Maintenance |
| | | Continue bike count collection | Continue bike count collection | Continue bike count collection | Continue bike |
| | D. Bike Counts | Design bike and ped count module to traffic counts db; display | Upload regional bike counts; test, revise/review | Design upload templates; user access through web; review/test | Upload full data set |
| | E. Crash Data | | Continue collect and archive ODOT/DMV crash data | | Continue collect and archive ODOT/DMV crash data; local data |
| | E. Crash Data | | Locate local sources; clean/store; | Augment with volumes, all modes; design reports, indicators | Report |
| | | Transit Data | Collect Transit Data | Collect Transit Data | Collect Transit Data |
| | F. Transit Data | | Define metrics, Determine available data and access | Design database; access scripts, products. | Review/revise products; design web site |
| | G. Auto Travel Time Data | | Investigate INRIX and HERE data | Define corridors to process; extract; display/report | |

| | H. Employment Data | Acquire data from OED; begin augmentation | Complete processing | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | I. Social Data | | Define uses, identify attributes; develop download processes | Design database; scripts, displays | Publish |
| | J. Other Data | | Ongoing, as n | eeded | |
| 2 | Bike Model | Continue data collection; clean; test model paths | Review results; | Update mode choice. Review results; compare with counts; assess survey need | Undertake survey if needed. |
| 3 | RTP Travel Model Update | | Population and employment forecasts for 2040; Update land use allocation | Develop project lists, network updates; update transit system; | Validation; Performance measures; update documentation |
| 4 | Upgrade Regional Travel Model | Begin new UO/College sub-model | Begin update with OHAS products | Integrate UO/Collection and validate | Begin update to trip distribution submodel |
| 5 | Functional Classification Upgrade | | | Map, review, transmit to ODOT | |
| 6 | Map-21 Performance Measures | | | Review requirements; Scope and identify tools; | identify data sources |
| | Ongoing: Technical Assistance Data Maintenance Model Maintenance Training/Workshops Coordination | | Ongoing | g | |

F. Transportation Options (TO)

CONTINUED ACTION ITEM(S)

- Conduct, facilitate and support the Project Management Team (PMT) and Technical Advisory Committee (TAC).
- Provide overall project management.
- Maintain project website.
- Participate in Regional Transportation System Plan (RTSP) process
- Monitor the development of the Statewide Transportation Options Plan
- Finalize draft materials, including the Existing Conditions Report, Goals and Policies, Regional TDM/TO Toolkit, Performance Measures, and Strategic Plan.
- Conduct public outreach on draft materials.
- Review materials with decision-makers
- Revise materials based upon public and decision-maker input.
- Adoption process.
- Develop an operational plan for short-term strategies identified in the Strategic Plan.

Products

| An update to the Regional Transportation Plan's TDM Element and associated content |
|--|
| in the RTP |
| A Regional TDM/TO Strategic Plan |

ADDITIONAL POINT2POINT ACTION ITEMS

I. Program Enhancements

- SmartTrips program funding has been secured for central Main Street in Springfield (between 28th and 48th streets). Implement 'SmartTrips' individualized marketing in Springfield along the Main Street corridor.
- Additional program outreach has been scoped for Main Street/McVay and Thurston and Main Street in Springfield (between 49th and 69th streets).

2. Public Outreach Program Enhancements

Products

☐ ETC Resource Web Page

ADDITIONAL POINT2POINT ONGOING OPERATIONS

Other

Implement the Be Seen Be Safe Safety Campaign in coordination with ODOT along with other statewide TO agencies, a share the road campaign to foster a culture of awareness and respect on our roadways and shared paths and to make the streets of our communities safer for motorists, cyclists, and pedestrians. Assist ODOT and statewide stakeholder teams with the development of the Statewide Transportation Options Plan.

CONTINUED CITY OF EUGENE ACTION ITEMS

I. SmartTrips Eugene

SmartTrips is a comprehensive approach to reduce drive-alone trips and increase biking, walking, and public transit in targeted geographic areas of the city. It incorporates an innovative and highly effective individualized marketing methodology, that hand-delivers packets of information to residents who wish to learn more about all of their transportation options including transit, walking, bicycling, carpooling, and combining trips. Key components feature biking and walking maps and organized activities that get people out in their neighborhoods or places of employment to shop, work, and discover how many trips they can easily, conveniently, and safely make without using a car. Success is tracked by evaluating qualitative and quantitative results from surveys and other performance measures. SmartTrips program funding has been secured for east Bethel in Eugene. Additional program outreach has been scoped in west Bethel, and the west Eugene EmX corridor.

Tasks

Conduct individualized outreach and marketing, including staff time and implementation.

2. Eugene Sunday Streets

Products

☐ Two Eugene Sunday Streets events in FY14 and two in FY15

CONTINUED CITY OF EUGENE ONGOING OPERATIONS

Publish the monthly InMotion e-newsletter and distribute to over 1,800 people throughout the Eugene – Springfield area. Include feature articles and updates on walking and biking activities and opportunities for public involvement in the Eugene-Springfield metro area. Provide resources section for information on local and state laws and information on local and national walking and biking organizations.

ADDITIONAL CITY OF EUGENE ONGOING OPERATIONS

 Develop update to the Pedestrian and Bicycle Strategic Plan which was first adopted in 2008.

| Act | tion Item Number/Title | Early FY 2014 | Late FY 2014 | Early FY 2015 | Late FY 2015 |
|-----|--|---|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| ı | Regional Transportation Options Plan (RTOP) | RTOP Strategic Plan | Ongoing implementation | | |
| 2 | Smart <i>Trips</i> Eugene and Springfield | Ongoing implementation, with funding secured for 2013 and 2014 and additional programs planned for the next 10 years as funding allows. | | | |
| 3 | Safe Routes to Schools Regional Plan | Ongoing implementation of Safe Routes to Schools programs over the next 5 years as funding allows. | | | |
| 4 | Regional Bike Parking Study | Implementation of a phased bicycle parking acquisition and installation program according to the recommendations in the plan and as funding allows. | | | |
| 5 | City of Eugene Sunday Streets | Ongoing implementation, with a new program planned for the summer of 2013, 2014, and 2015. | | | |
| 6 | Point2point 5 –Year Strategic Plan | Development once the RTOP is completed using the recommendations with completion in early 2015. | | | |

G. Intergovernmental Coordination

No changes.

Special Projects

NEW PROJECTS

| Eugene Bike S | Eugene Bike Share Feasibility Study | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Project | The purpose of the Eugene Bike Share Feasibility Study is to determine if a | | | |
| Description | bike share system is feasible in Eugene, and if so, an appropriate scale and operational model to implement in the Eugene area. Desired outcomes associated with bike share system implementation include infrastructure compatibility with the University of Oregon (UO) bike share system, integration with the transit network, high visibility, improved mobility options, and attraction of high-skilled workers. | | | |
| Lead Agency | LTD and City of Eugene | | | |
| Partner | NA | | | |
| Agencies | | | | |
| Current | Project underway | | | |
| Status | | | | |
| Est. | Mid 2014 | | | |
| Completion | | | | |
| Est. Project | \$110,000 | | | |
| Cost | | | | |

REVISED PROJECTS

Indicates revised information

| | OTHER PLANNING EFFORTS | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| | Franklin Corridor Project: NEPA Analysis | | |
| | Lead Agency | City of Springfield | |
| | Partner | ODOT and LTD | |
| | Agency | | |
| * | Current | Phase 2 is substantially completed including refined intersection design, | |
| | Status | realignment of the facility to match existing center line, and draft | |
| | | environmental baseline reports. | |
| * | Est. | 2014/2015 depending if NEPA results in a Categorical Exclusion or | |
| | Completion | Environmental Assessment) | |
| | Est. Project | \$1.8 million | |
| | Cost | | |
| | Springfield Do | owntown Demonstration Block | |
| * | Project | As an outcome of the Downtown Circulation Project this small project | |
| | Description | will install several pedestrian scale decorative posts with LED light fixtures | |
| | | and enhance existing crosswalks with brick pattern pavement markings | |
| | | along two blocks of Springfield's downtown. The LED light fixtures have | |
| | | been identified for installation in this key location in Springfield's | |
| | | downtown to improve safety, visibility, and aesthetic in the area. The | |
| | | project is slated to be complete by summer 2015. | |

| | Load Aganay | City of Caningfield |
|----------|---------------|---|
| | Lead Agency | City of Springfield ODOT and SUB |
| | Partner | ODO L and 20R |
| | Agency | |
| | Current | Prioritization and cost estimating in progress |
| | Status | 6 (2015 |
| * | Est. | Summer of 2015 |
| | Completion | |
| | Est. Project | TBD |
| | Cost | |
| | | owntown Parking Implementation |
| | Lead Agency | City of Springfield |
| | Partner | ODOT and Springfield Economic Development Agency |
| | Agency | |
| | Current | Identifying materials and resources, installation of signage infrastructure |
| | Status | |
| * | Est. | TBD |
| | Completion | |
| | Est. Project | \$150,000 |
| | Cost | |
| | Main St/McVe | ay Transit Improvement Feasibility Study |
| * | Project | The purpose of the Transit Improvement Feasibility Study is to analyze |
| | Description | the need, technical viability, and public support for potential Main Street |
| | | transit improvements. The Main Street-McVay Corridor follows Main |
| | | Street from Thurston to Glenwood, and McVay Highway to Lane |
| | | Community College. The City of Springfield and LTD have formed a |
| | | project partnership to advance this Study together. |
| * | Lead Agency | LTD and City of Springfield |
| | Partner | ODOT, City of Eugene, City of Springfield and Lane County |
| | Agency | |
| * | Current | Project Team conducted initial "community conversations" that involved |
| | Status | stakeholder outreach and input. Staff is currently developing overall |
| | | project scope. Project team has developed a multi-tiered project |
| | | governance structure that includes stakeholder, elected and appointed |
| | | officials' input and general public input. |
| | Est. | 2014 |
| | Completion | |
| | Est. Project | \$750,000 |
| | Cost | |
| | Main Street F | Pedestrian Crossing |
| * | Project | In a collaborative effort between the City of Springfield, Oregon |
| | Description | Department of Transportation (ODOT) and LTD, six pedestrian crossing |
| | · | projects recommended under the 2010 Main Street Pedestrian Safety |
| | | Study are being implemented in order to provide safer crossing |
| | | opportunities along the Main Street corridor. |
| | | |

| | | The City of Springfield is the lead in overseeing the public outreach, |
|----|----------------------|---|
| | | construction and installation of the pedestrian crossings. The City of |
| | | Springfield conducts stakeholder outreach in each location before |
| | | construction occurs to perform analysis and determine possible mitigation |
| | | measures related to the crossings. |
| | | These six additional pedestrian crossings were identified in the 2010 Main |
| | | Street Pedestrian Safety Study. The Study recommended a total of eight |
| | | pedestrian crossings. To date two crossings have been installed by ODOT |
| | | with the remaining crossings to be installed by the City of Springfield. |
| | Lead Agency | City of Springfield |
| | Partner | ODOT and LTD |
| | Agency | |
| * | Current | Coordinating with other Main Street projects |
| | Status | |
| * | Est. | Ongoing through 2015 |
| | Completion | |
| | Est. Project | TBD |
| | Cost | |
| | | over/Wilkes Area Study |
| | Lead Agency | Lane County |
| | Partner | City of Eugene, ODOT, LTD, and LCOG |
| | Agency | |
| * | Current | Lane County was recently awarded federal funds to prepare study. Work |
| | Status | plan is under development. |
| * | Est. | 2016-2017 |
| | Completion | |
| * | Est. Project | \$200,000 |
| | Cost | |
| | | CC Transit Corridor Plan |
| | Lead Agency | City of Eugene and LTD |
| | Partner | ODOT, Lane County and LCOG |
| | Agency | |
| * | Current | Scoping |
| | Status | 2015 |
| * | Est. | 2015 |
| * | Completion | \$\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac |
| ** | Est. Project Cost | \$651,730 additional funding may be needed |
| | | t Design Standards |
| | Lead Agency | City of Eugene |
| | Partner | ODOT and Lane County |
| | Agency | CDOT and Lanc County |
| * | Current | Scoping |
| • | Status | |
| | | 1 |

| * | Est. | 2015 | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| | Completion | | |
| | Est. Project | \$100,000 | |
| | Cost | | |
| | TRANSPOR | TATION SYSTEM PLANS | |
| | Regional Tra | nsportation Systems Planning | |
| | City of Spring | field TSP | |
| | Lead Agency | City of Springfield | |
| | Partner | DLCD, City of Eugene, ODOT, Lane County, LTD, and LCOG | |
| | Agency | | |
| * | Current | Complete | |
| | Status | | |
| | | | |
| * | Est. | Adopted 2014 | |
| | Completion | | |
| | Est. Project | \$330,000, plus additional City staff costs | |
| | Cost | | |
| | Lane County | TSP | |
| | Lead Agency | Lane County | |
| | Partner | DLCD, ODOT, Eugene, Springfield, Coburg, LTD, LCOG and the small | |
| | Agency | cities in Lane County outside the MPO | |
| * | Current | Project underway | |
| | Status | | |
| * | Est. | 2016 | |
| | Completion | | |
| * | Est. Project | \$300,000 | |
| | Cost | TCD | |
| | City of Cobur | | |
| | Lead Agency | City of Coburg | |
| | Partner | ODOT, Lane County, LTD, and LCOG | |
| | Agency | | |
| | Current | Project underway | |
| .•. | Status | 2014 | |
| * | Est. | 2014 | |
| | Completion | \$160,000 | |
| | Est. Project Cost | \$160,000 | |
| | | TATION CROWTH MANAGEMENT (TCM) PROCRAM | |
| | TRANSPORTATION GROWTH MANAGEMENT (TGM) PROGRAM TGM Projects Inside the MPO | | |
| | V | District Long-Range Transit Plan | |
| | Lead Agency | LTD | |
| | Partner | City of Eugene, City of Springfield, FTA, and LCOG | |
| | Agency | 2.2, 2. 2202, 2.3, 2. 3,, 8 | |
| * | Current | Complete | |
| - | Status | | |
| | | | |

| * | Est. | Adopted 2014 | |
|---|--------------|--|--|
| | Completion | | |
| | Est. Project | NA | |
| | Cost | | |
| | South Willam | South Willamette Street Improvement Plan | |
| | Lead Agency | City of Eugene | |
| | Partner | LTD and LCOG | |
| | Agency | | |
| | Current | TGM funded | |
| | Status | | |
| * | Est. | December 2014 | |
| | Completion | | |
| | Est. Project | \$180,000 - 300,000 | |
| | Cost | | |

Central Lane MPO
Unified Planning Work Program
FY 2014 Funding

To be determined when funding information is provided by the Oregon Department of Transportation.